

Lecture 19 Extra Topics

Tue. 3.22.2016 (Spring Break)

Spinor-Vector relations and 2D-HO polarization dynamics

(Ch. 4 of Unit 2)

Review: 2D harmonic oscillator equations with Lagrangian and matrix forms

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

2D-HO Hamilton equation approach to ABCD Hamilton-Pauli spinor theory (Lect. 16 p.27-33)

Hamilton-Pauli spinor symmetry (σ -expansion in ABCD-Types) $\mathbf{H} = \omega_\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu$

Derive σ -exponential time evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

Spinor arithmetic like complex arithmetic

Spinor vector algebra like complex vector algebra

Spinor exponentials like complex exponentials ("Crazy-Thing"-Theorem)

Geometry of evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

The "mysterious" factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

2D Spinor vs 3D vector rotation

NMR Hamiltonian: 3D Spin Moment \mathbf{m} in \mathbf{B} field

Euler's state definition using rotations $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}(0, \beta, 0)$, and $\mathbf{R}(0, 0, \gamma)$

Spin-1 (3D-real vector) case

Spin-1/2 (2D-complex spinor) case

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states

Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, Balance $S_B = S_X$, and Chirality $S_C = S_Y$

Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics

The "Great Spectral Avoided-Crossing" and A-to-B-to-A symmetry breaking

See also:

QTCA

Lect. 9(2.12)

p.61-103 for
polarization
ellipsometry

2D harmonic oscillator equations





Fig. 3.3.1 Two 1-dimensional coupled oscillators



2D HO kinetic energy $T(v_1, v_2)$

$$T = \frac{1}{2}m_1\dot{x}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_2\dot{x}_2^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\langle \dot{\mathbf{x}} | \mathbf{M} | \dot{\mathbf{x}} \rangle$$

Lagrange-Newton equations for 2D HO

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{x}_1}\right) = m_1\ddot{x}_1 = F_1 = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_1} = -(k_1 + k_{12})x_1 + k_{12}x_2$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{x}_2}\right) = m_2\ddot{x}_2 = F_2 = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_2} = k_{12}x_1 - (k_2 + k_{12})x_2$$




Fig. 3.3.2 Coupled pendulums




Fig. 3.3.3 One 2-dimensional coupled oscillator

(Review of Lect. 23)

2D HO potential energy $V(x_1, x_2)$

$$V = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_{12})x_1^2 - k_{12}x_1x_2 + \frac{1}{2}(k_2 + k_{12})x_2^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{K} | \mathbf{x} \rangle \quad \text{where: } \mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + k_{12} & -k_{12} \\ -k_{12} & k_2 + k_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

2D HO Matrix operator equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} k_1 + k_{12} & -k_{12} \\ -k_{12} & k_2 + k_{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Matrix operator notation:

$$\mathbf{M} \cdot |\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

2D harmonic oscillator equation solutions (Review of Lect. 23)

1. May rewrite equation $\mathbf{M} \cdot |\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$ in *acceleration matrix form*: $|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{x}\rangle$ where: $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{M}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{K}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + k_{12} & -k_{12} \\ -k_{12} & k_2 + k_{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_1 + k_{12}}{m_1} & \frac{-k_{12}}{m_1} \\ \frac{-k_{12}}{m_2} & \frac{k_2 + k_{12}}{m_2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

2. Need to find *eigenvectors* $|\mathbf{e}_1\rangle, |\mathbf{e}_2\rangle, \dots$ of acceleration matrix such that: $\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{e}_n\rangle = \varepsilon_n|\mathbf{e}_n\rangle = \omega_n^2|\mathbf{e}_n\rangle$

Then equations decouple to: $|\ddot{\mathbf{e}}_n\rangle = -\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{e}_n\rangle = -\varepsilon_n|\mathbf{e}_n\rangle = -\omega_n^2|\mathbf{e}_n\rangle$ where ε_n is an *eigenvalue*

and ω_n is an *eigenfrequency*

Note eigenvalue is square of eigenfrequency

To introduce eigensolutions we take a simple case of unit masses ($m_1=1=m_2$)

So equation of motion is simply: $|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K}|\mathbf{x}\rangle$

Eigenvectors $|\mathbf{x}\rangle = |\mathbf{e}_n\rangle$ are in special directions where $|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K}|\mathbf{x}\rangle$ is in same direction as $|\mathbf{x}\rangle$

(Review of Lect. 18)

- ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
2D-HO Hamilton equation approach to ABCD Hamilton-Pauli spinor theory (Lect. 16 p.27)
Hamilton-Pauli spinor symmetry (σ-expansion in ABCD-Types) $\mathbf{H} = \omega_\mu \sigma_\mu$
Derive σ-exponential time evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$
- | | | |
|-----------------------|------|--|
| Spinor arithmetic | like | complex arithmetic |
| Spinor vector algebra | like | complex vector algebra |
| Spinor exponentials | like | complex exponentials ("Crazy-Thing"-Theorem) |
- Geometry of evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$
- The "mysterious" factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space
2D Spinor vs 3D vector rotation
NMR Hamiltonian: 3D Spin Moment \mathbf{m} in \mathbf{B} field

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \bullet |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (**self-conjugate**) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

H_{jk} matrix must
obey: $(H_{jk})^* = H_{kj}$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

H_{jk} matrix must

obey: $(H_{jk})^* = H_{kj}$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Both have 4 parameters

$(2^2 = 2+2)$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

H_{jk} matrix must
obey: $(H_{jk})^* = H_{kj}$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Both have 4 parameters
 $(2^2 = 2+2)$

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes
to convert the complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$
into pairs of real 1st-order differential equations.

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

H_{jk} matrix must
obey: $(H_{jk})^* = H_{kj}$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Both have 4 parameters
($2^2 = 2+2$)

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes
to convert the complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$
into pairs of real 1st-order differential equations.

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H} |\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

H_{jk} matrix must
obey: $(H_{jk})^* = H_{kj}$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Both have 4 parameters
($2^2 = 2+2$)

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes
to convert the complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$
into pairs of real 1st-order differential equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 & \dot{p}_1 &= -Ax_1 - Bx_2 - Cp_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 & \dot{p}_2 &= -Bx_1 - Dx_2 + Cp_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H} |\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} i\dot{x}_1 - \dot{p}_1 \\ i\dot{x}_2 - \dot{p}_2 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2 + iAp_1 + iBp_2 - iCx_2 \\ Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1 + iBp_1 + iDp_2 + iCx_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes
to convert the **complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$**
into pairs of **real 1st-order differential equations.**

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 & \dot{p}_1 &= -Ax_1 - Bx_2 - Cp_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 & \dot{p}_2 &= -Bx_1 - Dx_2 + Cp_1 \end{aligned}$$

Then start with classical Hamiltonian. (Designed to give same result.)

$$H_c = \frac{A}{2}(p_1^2 + x_1^2) + B(x_1x_2 + p_1p_2) + C(x_1p_2 - x_2p_1) + \frac{D}{2}(p_2^2 + x_2^2)$$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes to convert the **complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$** into pairs of **real 1st-order differential equations**.

$$\dot{x}_1 = Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 \quad \dot{p}_1 = -Ax_1 - Bx_2 - Cp_2$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 \quad \dot{p}_2 = -Bx_1 - Dx_2 + Cp_1$$

Then start with classical Hamiltonian. (Designed to give same result.)

$$H_c = \frac{A}{2}(p_1^2 + x_1^2) + B(x_1x_2 + p_1p_2) + C(x_1p_2 - x_2p_1) + \frac{D}{2}(p_2^2 + x_2^2)$$

Then Hamilton's equations of motion are the following.

$$\dot{x}_1 = \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_1} = Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 \quad \dot{p}_1 = -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_1} = -(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_2} = Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 \quad \dot{p}_2 = -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_2} = -(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1)$$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes to convert the **complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$** into pairs of **real 1st-order differential equations.**

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 & \dot{p}_1 &= -Ax_1 - Bx_2 - Cp_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 & \dot{p}_2 &= -Bx_1 - Dx_2 + Cp_1 \end{aligned}$$

*QM vs. Classical
Equations are identical*

Then start with classical Hamiltonian. (Designed to give same result.)

$$H_c = \frac{A}{2}(p_1^2 + x_1^2) + B(x_1x_2 + p_1p_2) + C(x_1p_2 - x_2p_1) + \frac{D}{2}(p_2^2 + x_2^2)$$

Then Hamilton's equations of motion are the following.

$$\dot{x}_1 = \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_1} = Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2$$

$$\dot{p}_1 = -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_1} = -(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_2} = Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1$$

$$\dot{p}_2 = -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_2} = -(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1)$$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes to convert the complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$ into pairs of real 1st-order differential equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 \end{aligned}$$

*QM vs. Classical
Equations are identical*

Finally a 2nd time derivative (Assume constant A, B, D , and let $C=0$) gives 2nd-order classical Newton-Hooke-like equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_1 &= A\dot{p}_1 + B\dot{p}_2 - C\dot{x}_2 \\ &= -A(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) - B(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) - C(Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1) \\ &= -(A^2 + B^2 + C^2)x_1 - (AB + BD)x_2 - C(A + D)p_2 \end{aligned}$$

Then start with classical Hamiltonian. (Designed to give same result.)

$$H_c = \frac{A}{2}(p_1^2 + x_1^2) + B(x_1x_2 + p_1p_2) + C(x_1p_2 - x_2p_1) + \frac{D}{2}(p_2^2 + x_2^2)$$

Then Hamilton's equations of motion are the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_1} = Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 & \dot{p}_1 &= -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_1} = -(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_2} = Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 & \dot{p}_2 &= -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_2} = -(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_1 &= B\dot{p}_1 + D\dot{p}_2 + C\dot{x}_1 \\ &= -B(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) - D(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) + C(Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2) \\ &= -(AB + BD)x_1 - (B^2 + D^2 + C^2)x_2 + C(A + D)p_1 \end{aligned}$$

*For constant
 A, B, C , and D*

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes to convert the complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$ into pairs of real 1st-order differential equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 \end{aligned}$$

*QM vs. Classical
Equations are identical*

Finally a 2nd time derivative (Assume constant A, B, D , and *let C=0*) gives 2nd-order classical Newton-Hooke-like equation: $|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_1 &= A\dot{p}_1 + B\dot{p}_2 - C\dot{x}_2 \\ &= -A(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) - B(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) - C(Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1) \\ &= -(A^2 + B^2 + C^2)x_1 - (AB + BD)x_2 - C(A + D)p_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} A^2 + B^2 & AB + BD \\ AB + BD & B^2 + D^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

*For C=0
Is form of 2D Hooke
harmonic oscillator*

Then start with classical Hamiltonian. (Designed to give same result.)

$$H_c = \frac{A}{2}(p_1^2 + x_1^2) + B(x_1x_2 + p_1p_2) + C(x_1p_2 - x_2p_1) + \frac{D}{2}(p_2^2 + x_2^2)$$

Then Hamilton's equations of motion are the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_1} = Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 & \dot{p}_1 &= -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_1} = -(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_2} = Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 & \dot{p}_2 &= -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_2} = -(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_1 &= B\dot{p}_1 + D\dot{p}_2 + C\dot{x}_1 \\ &= -B(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) - D(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) + C(Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2) \\ &= -(AB + BD)x_1 - (B^2 + D^2 + C^2)x_2 + C(A + D)p_1 \end{aligned}$$

*For constant
A, B, C, and D*

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B - iC \\ B + iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes to convert the complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$ into pairs of real 1st-order differential equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 \end{aligned}$$

*QM vs. Classical
Equations are identical*

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p}_1 &= -Ax_1 - Bx_2 - Cp_2 \\ \dot{p}_2 &= -Bx_1 - Dx_2 + Cp_1 \\ \text{Finally a 2nd time derivative (Assume } &\text{constant } A, B, D, \text{ and let } C=0\text{)} \text{ gives 2nd-order classical Newton-Hooke-like equation: } |\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x} \\ \ddot{x}_1 &= Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 \\ &= -A(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) - B(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) - C(Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1) \\ &= -(A^2 + B^2 + C^2)x_1 - (AB + BD)x_2 - C(A + D)p_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} A^2 + B^2 & AB + BD \\ AB + BD & B^2 + D^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

*For $C=0$
Is form of 2D Hooke
harmonic oscillator*

Then start with classical Hamiltonian. (Designed to give same result.)

$$H_c = \frac{A}{2}(p_1^2 + x_1^2) + B(x_1x_2 + p_1p_2) + C(x_1p_2 - x_2p_1) + \frac{D}{2}(p_2^2 + x_2^2)$$

Then Hamilton's equations of motion are the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_1} = Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 & \dot{p}_1 &= -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_1} = -(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_2} = Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 & \dot{p}_2 &= -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_2} = -(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_1 &= Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 \\ &= -B(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) - D(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) + C(Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2) \\ &= -(AB + BD)x_1 - (B^2 + D^2 + C^2)x_2 + C(A + D)p_1 \end{aligned}$$

*For constant
 $A, B, C,$ and D*

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here is an operator view of the QM-Classical connection: Take Schrodinger operator $i\partial_t = \mathbf{H}$ (with $C=0$) and square it!

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & D \end{pmatrix}^2 \Rightarrow -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} = \begin{pmatrix} A^2 + B^2 & AB + BD \\ AB + BD & B^2 + D^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes to convert the complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$ into pairs of real 1st-order differential equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 \end{aligned}$$

QM vs. Classical
Equations are identical

$$H_c = \frac{A}{2}(p_1^2 + x_1^2) + B(x_1x_2 + p_1p_2) + C(x_1p_2 - x_2p_1) + \frac{D}{2}(p_2^2 + x_2^2)$$

Then Hamilton's equations of motion are the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_1} = Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 & \dot{p}_1 &= -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_1} = -(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_2} = Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 & \dot{p}_2 &= -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_2} = -(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) \end{aligned}$$

Finally a 2nd time derivative (Assume constant A, B, D , and let $C=0$) gives 2nd-order classical Newton-Hooke-like equation: $|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_1 &= Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 \\ &= -A(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) - B(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) - C(Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1) \\ &= -(A^2 + B^2 + C^2)x_1 - (AB + BD)x_2 - C(A + D)p_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_2 &= Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 \\ &= -B(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) - D(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) + C(Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2) \\ &= -(AB + BD)x_1 - (B^2 + D^2 + C^2)x_2 + C(A + D)p_1 \end{aligned}$$

For constant
 A, B, C , and D

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} A^2 + B^2 & AB + BD \\ AB + BD & B^2 + D^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

For $C=0$
Is form of 2D Hooke
harmonic oscillator

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here is an operator view of the QM-Classical connection: Take Schrodinger operator $i\partial_t = \mathbf{H}$ (with $C=0$) and square it!

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & D \end{pmatrix}^2 \Rightarrow -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} = \begin{pmatrix} A^2 + B^2 & AB + BD \\ AB + BD & B^2 + D^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conclusion: 2-state Schrödinger $i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ is like “square-root” of Newton-Hooke. $\sqrt{|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \cdot |\mathbf{x}\rangle}$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2DHO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \bullet \mathbf{x}$

(Review of Lect. 23)

$$i\hbar|\dot{\Psi}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \bullet |\mathbf{x}\rangle$$

First start with 2-by-2 Hermitian (self-conjugate) matrix

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}^\dagger$$

that operates on 2-D complex Dirac ket vector $|\Psi\rangle$.

$$|\Psi\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Separate real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of Ψ_k amplitudes to convert the complex 1st-order equation $i\partial_t\Psi = \mathbf{H}\Psi$ into pairs of real real 1st-order differential equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 \end{aligned}$$

QM vs. Classical Equations are identical

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_1 &= A\dot{p}_1 + B\dot{p}_2 - C\dot{x}_2 \\ &= -A(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) - B(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) - C(Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1) \\ &= -(A^2 + B^2 + C^2)x_1 - (AB + BD)x_2 - C(A + D)p_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} A^2 + B^2 & AB + BD \\ AB + BD & B^2 + D^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

*For $C=0$
Is form of 2D Hooke harmonic oscillator*

Then start with classical Hamiltonian.

$$H_c = \frac{A}{2}(p_1^2 + x_1^2) + B(x_1x_2 + p_1p_2) + C(x_1p_2 - x_2p_1) + \frac{D}{2}(p_2^2 + x_2^2)$$

Then Hamilton's equations of motion are the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_1} = Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2 & \dot{p}_1 &= -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_1} = -(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_2} = Bp_1 + Dp_2 + Cx_1 & \dot{p}_2 &= -\frac{\partial H_c}{\partial x_2} = -(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{x}_1 &= B\dot{p}_1 + D\dot{p}_2 + C\dot{x}_1 \\ &= -B(Ax_1 + Bx_2 + Cp_2) - D(Bx_1 + Dx_2 - Cp_1) + C(Ap_1 + Bp_2 - Cx_2) \\ &= -(AB + BD)x_1 - (B^2 + D^2 + C^2)x_2 + C(A + D)p_1 \end{aligned}$$

*For constant
A, B, C, and D*

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \ddot{x}_1 \\ \ddot{x}_2 \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here is an operator view of the QM-Classical connection: Take Schrodinger operator $i\partial_t = \mathbf{H}$ (with $C \neq 0$) and square it!

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \left(i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}^2 \Rightarrow -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} = \begin{pmatrix} A^2 + B^2 + C^2 & AB + BD - i(AC + CD) \\ AB + BD + i(AC + CD) & B^2 + D^2 + C^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Conclusion: 2-state Schrödinger equation $i\hbar\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ is like “square-root” of Newton-Hooke. $\sqrt{|\ddot{\mathbf{x}}\rangle = -\mathbf{K} \bullet |\mathbf{x}\rangle}$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

→ *Hamilton-Pauli spinor symmetry (σ-expansion in ABCD-Types) $\mathbf{H} = \omega_\mu \sigma_\mu$*

Derive σ-exponential time evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

Spinor arithmetic like complex arithmetic

Spinor vector algebra like complex vector algebra

Spinor exponentials like complex exponentials (“Crazy-Thing”-Theorem)

Geometry of evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

2D Spinor vs 3D vector rotation

NMR Hamiltonian: 3D Spin Moment \mathbf{m} in \mathbf{B} field

ABCD Symmetry operator analysis and U(2) spinors

Decompose the Hamiltonian operator \mathbf{H} into four *ABCD symmetry operators*
 (Labeled to provide dynamic mnemonics as well as colorful analogies)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + D \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = A\mathbf{e}_{11} + B\sigma_B + C\sigma_C + D\mathbf{e}_{22}$$

$$= \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A-D}{2} \sigma_A + B \sigma_B + C \sigma_C + \frac{A+D}{2} \sigma_0 \quad \dots \text{current-carrier...}$$

Symmetry archetypes: *A (Asymmetric-diagonal)* | *B (Bilateral-balanced)* | *C (Chiral-circular-complex-Coriolis-cyclotron-curly...)*




Fig. 3.4.1 Potentials for (a) C_2^A -asymmetric-diagonal, (ab) C_2^{AB} -mixed, (b) C_2^B -bilateral $U(2)$ system.

ABCD Symmetry operator analysis and U(2) spinors

Decompose the Hamiltonian operator \mathbf{H} into four *ABCD symmetry operators*
 (Labeled to provide dynamic mnemonics as well as colorful analogies)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + D \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = A\mathbf{e}_{11} + B\sigma_B + C\sigma_C + D\mathbf{e}_{22}$$

$$= \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A-D}{2} \sigma_A + B \sigma_B + C \sigma_C + \frac{A+D}{2} \sigma_0 \quad \dots \text{current-carrier...}$$

Symmetry archetypes: *A (Asymmetric-diagonal)* | *B (Bilateral-balanced)* | *C (Chiral-circular-complex-Coriolis-cyclotron-curly...)*

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are best known as *Pauli-spin operators* $\{\sigma_I = \sigma_0, \sigma_B = \sigma_X, \sigma_C = \sigma_Y, \sigma_A = \sigma_Z\}$ developed in 1927.

Wolfgang Pauli (1927) Zur Quantenmechanik des magnetischen Elektrons *Zeitschrift für Physik* (43) 601-623




Fig. 3.4.1 Potentials for (a) C_2^A -asymmetric-diagonal, (ab) C_2^{AB} -mixed, (b) C_2^B -bilateral $U(2)$ system.

ABCD Symmetry operator analysis and U(2) spinors

Decompose the Hamiltonian operator \mathbf{H} into four *ABCD symmetry operators*

(Labeled to provide dynamic mnemonics as well as colorful analogies)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + D \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = A\mathbf{e}_{11} + B\sigma_B + C\sigma_C + D\mathbf{e}_{22}$$

$$= \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A-D}{2} \sigma_A + B \sigma_B + C \sigma_C + \frac{A+D}{2} \sigma_0$$

...current-carrier...

Symmetry archetypes: *A (Asymmetric-diagonal)* | *B (Bilateral-balanced)* | *C (Chiral-circular-complex-Coriolis-cyclotron-curly...)*

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are best known as *Pauli-spin operators* $\{\sigma_I = \sigma_0, \sigma_B = \sigma_X, \sigma_C = \sigma_Y, \sigma_A = \sigma_Z\}$ developed in 1927.

Wolfgang Pauli (1927) Zur Quantenmechanik des magnetischen Elektrons *Zeitschrift für Physik* (43) 601-623

In 1843 Hamilton invents *quaternions* $\{1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$. σ_μ related by *i*-factor: $\{\sigma_I = 1 = \sigma_0, i\sigma_B = \mathbf{i} = i\sigma_X, i\sigma_C = \mathbf{j} = i\sigma_Y, i\sigma_A = \mathbf{k} = i\sigma_Z\}$.




Fig. 3.4.1 Potentials for (a) C_2^A -asymmetric-diagonal, (ab) C_2^{AB} -mixed, (b) C_2^B -bilateral $U(2)$ system.

ABCD Symmetry operator analysis and U(2) spinors

Decompose the Hamiltonian operator \mathbf{H} into four $ABCD$ symmetry operators

(Labeled to provide dynamic mnemonics as well as colorful analogies)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + D \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = A\mathbf{e}_{11} + B\sigma_B + C\sigma_C + D\mathbf{e}_{22}$$

$$= \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A-D}{2} \sigma_A + B \sigma_B + C \sigma_C + \frac{A+D}{2} \sigma_0 \quad \dots \text{current-carrier...}$$

Symmetry archetypes: *A (Asymmetric-diagonal)* | *B (Bilateral-balanced)* | *C (Chiral-circular-complex-Coriolis-cyclotron-curly...)*

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are best known as *Pauli-spin operators* $\{\sigma_I = \sigma_0, \sigma_B = \sigma_X, \sigma_C = \sigma_Y, \sigma_A = \sigma_Z\}$ developed in 1927.

Wolfgang Pauli (1927) Zur Quantenmechanik des magnetischen Elektrons *Zeitschrift für Physik* (43) 601-623

In 1843 Hamilton invents *quaternions* $\{1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$. σ_μ related by i -factor: $\{\sigma_I = 1 = \sigma_0, i\sigma_B = \mathbf{i} = i\sigma_X, i\sigma_C = \mathbf{j} = i\sigma_Y, i\sigma_A = \mathbf{k} = i\sigma_Z\}$.

Each Hamilton quaternion squares to *negative-1* ($\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = -1$) like imaginary number $i^2 = -1$. (They make up the Quaternion group.)




Fig. 3.4.1 Potentials for (a) C_2^A -asymmetric-diagonal, (ab) C_2^{AB} -mixed, (b) C_2^B -bilateral $U(2)$ system.

ABCD Symmetry operator analysis and U(2) spinors

Decompose the Hamiltonian operator \mathbf{H} into four $ABCD$ symmetry operators

(Labeled to provide dynamic mnemonics as well as colorful analogies)

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + D \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = A\mathbf{e}_{11} + B\sigma_B + C\sigma_C + D\mathbf{e}_{22}$$

$$= \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{A-D}{2} \sigma_A + B \sigma_B + C \sigma_C + \frac{A+D}{2} \sigma_0 \quad \dots \text{current-carrier...}$$

Symmetry archetypes: *A (Asymmetric-diagonal)* | *B (Bilateral-balanced)* | *C (Chiral-circular-complex-Coriolis-cyclotron-curly...)*

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are best known as *Pauli-spin operators* $\{\sigma_I=\sigma_0, \sigma_B=\sigma_X, \sigma_C=\sigma_Y, \sigma_A=\sigma_Z\}$ developed in 1927.

Wolfgang Pauli (1927) Zur Quantenmechanik des magnetischen Elektrons *Zeitschrift für Physik* (43) 601-623

In 1843 Hamilton invents *quaternions* $\{1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$. σ_μ related by i -factor: $\{\sigma_I=1=\sigma_0, i\sigma_B=\mathbf{i}=i\sigma_X, i\sigma_C=\mathbf{j}=i\sigma_Y, i\sigma_A=\mathbf{k}=i\sigma_Z\}$.

Each Hamilton quaternion squares to *negative-1* ($\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = -1$) like imaginary number $i^2=-1$. (They make up the Quaternion group.)

Each Pauli σ_μ squares to *positive-1* ($\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_Y^2 = \sigma_Z^2 = +1$) (Each makes a cyclic C_2 group $C_2^A=\{1, \sigma_A\}$, $C_2^B=\{1, \sigma_B\}$, or $C_2^C=\{1, \sigma_C\}$.)




Fig. 3.4.1 Potentials for (a) C_2^A -asymmetric-diagonal, (ab) C_2^{AB} -mixed, (b) C_2^B -bilateral $U(2)$ system.

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
Hamilton-Pauli spinor symmetry (σ-expansion in ABCD-Types) $\mathbf{H} = \omega_\mu \sigma_\mu$

→ Derive σ-exponential time evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

→ Spinor arithmetic like complex arithmetic

Spinor vector algebra like complex vector algebra

Spinor exponentials like complex exponentials (“Crazy-Thing”-Theorem)

Geometry of evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

2D Spinor vs 3D vector rotation

NMR Hamiltonian: 3D Spin Moment \mathbf{m} in \mathbf{B} field

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\vec{\varphi}} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\omega}\cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X=\sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y=\sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z=\sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i}=-i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j}=-i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k}=-i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

Each σ_x squares to one (unit matrix $\mathbf{1}=\sigma_x \cdot \sigma_x$) and each quaternion squares to minus-one ($-1=\mathbf{i}\cdot\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{j}$, etc.) just like $i=\sqrt{-1}$.

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\varphi} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\omega}\cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X=\sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y=\sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z=\sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i}=-i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j}=-i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k}=-i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

Each σ_x squares to one (unit matrix $1=\sigma_x \cdot \sigma_x$) and each quaternion squares to minus-one ($-1=\mathbf{i}\cdot\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{j}\cdot\mathbf{j}$, etc.) just like $i=\sqrt{-1}$.

This is true for spinor components based on *any* unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{a}}=(a_x, a_y, a_z)$ for which $\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}} = 1 = a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2$.

To see this just try it out on any $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ -component: $\sigma_a = \sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}} = a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_a^2 &= (\sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}})(\sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}) = (a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z)(a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z) \\ &= a_x \sigma_x a_x \sigma_x + a_x \sigma_x a_y \sigma_y + a_x \sigma_x a_z \sigma_z + a_x a_x \sigma_x \sigma_x + a_x a_y \sigma_x \sigma_y + a_x a_z \sigma_x \sigma_z \\ &\quad + a_y \sigma_y a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y a_y \sigma_y + a_y \sigma_y a_z \sigma_z + a_y a_x \sigma_y \sigma_x + a_y a_y \sigma_y \sigma_y + a_y a_z \sigma_y \sigma_z \\ &\quad + a_z \sigma_z a_x \sigma_x + a_z \sigma_z a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z a_z \sigma_z + a_z a_x \sigma_z \sigma_x + a_z a_y \sigma_z \sigma_y + a_z a_z \sigma_z \sigma_z \end{aligned}$$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} = e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t}$$

$$= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}} e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\omega} \cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X = \sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y = \sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z = \sigma_A$ or quaternions $i = -i\sigma_X$, $j = -i\sigma_Y$, and $k = -i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

Each σ_x squares to one (unit matrix $\mathbf{1} = \sigma_x \cdot \sigma_x$) and each quaternion squares to minus-one ($-1 = i \cdot i = j \cdot j$, etc.) just like $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

This is true for spinor components based on *any* unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = (a_x, a_y, a_z)$ for which $\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}} = 1 = a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2$.

To see this just try it out on any $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ -component: $\sigma_a = \sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}} = a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_a^2 &= (\sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}})(\sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}) = (a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z)(a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z) \\ &= a_x \sigma_x a_x \sigma_x + a_x \sigma_x a_y \sigma_y + a_x \sigma_x a_z \sigma_z + a_x a_x \sigma_x \sigma_x + a_x a_y \sigma_x \sigma_y + a_x a_z \sigma_x \sigma_z \\ &\quad + a_y \sigma_y a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y a_y \sigma_y + a_y \sigma_y a_z \sigma_z + a_y a_x \sigma_y \sigma_x + a_y a_y \sigma_y \sigma_y + a_y a_z \sigma_y \sigma_z \\ &\quad + a_z \sigma_z a_x \sigma_x + a_z \sigma_z a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z a_z \sigma_z + a_z a_x \sigma_z \sigma_x + a_z a_y \sigma_z \sigma_y + a_z a_z \sigma_z \sigma_z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_Z \cdot \sigma_X &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i\sigma_Y \\ \sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Z &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i\sigma_Y \end{aligned}$$

To finish we need another symmetry property called *anti-commutation*: $\sigma_x \sigma_y = -\sigma_y \sigma_x$, $\sigma_x \sigma_z = -\sigma_z \sigma_x$, etc.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_a^2 &= (\sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}})(\sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}) = (a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z)(a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z) \\ &= a_x^2 \mathbf{1} + a_x a_y \sigma_x \sigma_y + a_x a_z \sigma_x \sigma_z \\ &\quad - a_x a_y \sigma_x \sigma_y + a_y^2 \mathbf{1} + a_y a_z \sigma_y \sigma_z \\ &\quad - a_x a_z \sigma_x \sigma_z - a_y a_z \sigma_y \sigma_z + a_z^2 \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

So: $\sigma_a^2 = \mathbf{1}$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} = e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t}$$

$$= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}} e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\omega} \cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X = \sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y = \sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z = \sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i} = -i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j} = -i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k} = -i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

Each σ_x squares to one (unit matrix $\mathbf{1} = \sigma_x \cdot \sigma_x$) and each quaternion squares to minus-one ($-1 = \mathbf{i} \cdot \mathbf{i} = \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{j}$, etc.) just like $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

This is true for spinor components based on *any* unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = (a_x, a_y, a_z)$ for which $\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}} = 1 = a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2$.

To see this just try it out on any $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ -component: $\sigma_a = \sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}} = a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_a^2 &= (\sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}})(\sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}) = (a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z)(a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z) \\ &= a_x \sigma_x a_x \sigma_x + a_x \sigma_x a_y \sigma_y + a_x \sigma_x a_z \sigma_z + a_x a_x \sigma_x \sigma_x + a_x a_y \sigma_x \sigma_y + a_x a_z \sigma_x \sigma_z \\ &\quad + a_y \sigma_y a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y a_y \sigma_y + a_y \sigma_y a_z \sigma_z + a_y a_x \sigma_y \sigma_x + a_y a_y \sigma_y \sigma_y + a_y a_z \sigma_y \sigma_z \\ &\quad + a_z \sigma_z a_x \sigma_x + a_z \sigma_z a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z a_z \sigma_z + a_z a_x \sigma_z \sigma_x + a_z a_y \sigma_z \sigma_y + a_z a_z \sigma_z \sigma_z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_Z \cdot \sigma_X &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i\sigma_Y \\ \sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Z &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i\sigma_Y \end{aligned}$$

To finish we need another symmetry property called *anti-commutation*: $\sigma_x \sigma_y = -\sigma_y \sigma_x$, $\sigma_x \sigma_z = -\sigma_z \sigma_x$, etc.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_a^2 &= (\sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}})(\sigma \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}) = (a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z)(a_x \sigma_x + a_y \sigma_y + a_z \sigma_z) \\ &= a_x^2 \mathbf{1} + a_x a_y \cancel{\sigma_x \sigma_y} + a_x a_z \cancel{\sigma_x \sigma_z} \\ &\quad - a_x a_y \cancel{\sigma_x \sigma_y} + a_y^2 \mathbf{1} + a_y a_z \cancel{\sigma_y \sigma_z} \\ &\quad - a_x a_z \cancel{\sigma_x \sigma_z} - a_y a_z \cancel{\sigma_y \sigma_z} + a_z^2 \mathbf{1} \\ &= (a_x^2 + a_y^2 + a_z^2) \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \end{aligned}$$

So: $\sigma_a^2 = \mathbf{1}$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
Hamilton-Pauli spinor symmetry (σ -expansion in ABCD-Types) $\mathbf{H} = \omega_\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu$

→ Derive σ -exponential time evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

Spinor arithmetic like complex arithmetic

→ *Spinor vector algebra like complex vector algebra*

Spinor exponentials like complex exponentials (“Crazy-Thing”-Theorem)

Geometry of evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

2D Spinor vs 3D vector rotation

NMR Hamiltonian: 3D Spin Moment \mathbf{m} in \mathbf{B} field

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\vec{\varphi}} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\omega}\cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X=\sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y=\sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z=\sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i}=-i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j}=-i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k}=-i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

σ -products do dot \bullet and cross \times products by symmetries: $\sigma_X\sigma_Y = i\sigma_Z = -\sigma_Y\sigma_X$, $\sigma_Z\sigma_X = i\sigma_Y = -\sigma_X\sigma_Z$, $\sigma_Y\sigma_Z = i\sigma_X = -\sigma_Z\sigma_Y$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\varphi} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\omega}\cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X = \sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y = \sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z = \sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i} = -i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j} = -i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k} = -i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

σ -products do dot \bullet and cross \times products by symmetries: $\sigma_X\sigma_Y = i\sigma_Z = -\sigma_Y\sigma_X$, $\sigma_Z\sigma_X = i\sigma_Y = -\sigma_X\sigma_Z$, $\sigma_Y\sigma_Z = i\sigma_X = -\sigma_Z\sigma_Y$

$$\sigma_a\sigma_b = (\sigma \bullet \mathbf{a})(\sigma \bullet \mathbf{b}) = (a_X\sigma_X + a_Y\sigma_Y + a_Z\sigma_Z)(b_X\sigma_X + b_Y\sigma_Y + b_Z\sigma_Z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &a_X b_X \mathbf{1} \quad + a_X b_Y \sigma_X \sigma_Y \quad - a_X b_Z \sigma_Z \sigma_X \quad + i(a_Y b_Z - a_Z b_Y) \sigma_X \\ &= -a_Y b_X \sigma_X \sigma_Y \quad + a_Y b_Y \mathbf{1} \quad + a_Y b_Z \sigma_Y \sigma_Z \quad = (a_X b_X + a_Y b_Y + a_Z b_Z) \mathbf{1} \quad + i(a_Z b_X - a_X b_Z) \sigma_Y \\ &\quad + a_Z b_X \sigma_Z \sigma_X \quad - a_Z b_X \sigma_Y \sigma_Z \quad + a_Z b_Z \mathbf{1} \quad + i(a_X b_Y - a_Y b_X) \sigma_Z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_Z \cdot \sigma_X \\ &\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i\sigma_Y \\ &\sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Z \\ &\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i\sigma_Y \end{aligned}$$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\varphi} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\omega}\cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X = \sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y = \sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z = \sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i} = -i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j} = -i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k} = -i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

σ -products do dot \bullet and cross \times products by symmetries: $\sigma_X\sigma_Y = i\sigma_Z = -\sigma_Y\sigma_X$, $\sigma_Z\sigma_X = i\sigma_Y = -\sigma_X\sigma_Z$, $\sigma_Y\sigma_Z = i\sigma_X = -\sigma_Z\sigma_Y$

$$\sigma_a\sigma_b = (\sigma \bullet \mathbf{a})(\sigma \bullet \mathbf{b}) = (a_X\sigma_X + a_Y\sigma_Y + a_Z\sigma_Z)(b_X\sigma_X + b_Y\sigma_Y + b_Z\sigma_Z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &a_X b_X \mathbf{1} &+ a_X b_Y \sigma_X \sigma_Y &- a_X b_Z \sigma_Z \sigma_X &&+ i(a_Y b_Z - a_Z b_Y) \sigma_X \\ &= -a_Y b_X \sigma_X \sigma_Y &+ a_Y b_Y \mathbf{1} &+ a_Y b_Z \sigma_Y \sigma_Z &= (a_X b_X + a_Y b_Y + a_Z b_Z) \mathbf{1} &+ i(a_Z b_X - a_X b_Z) \sigma_Y \\ &+ a_Z b_X \sigma_Z \sigma_X &- a_Z b_Y \sigma_Y \sigma_Z &+ a_Z b_Z \mathbf{1} &&+ i(a_X b_Y - a_Y b_X) \sigma_Z \end{aligned}$$

Write the product in Gibbs notation. (This is where Gibbs got his $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ notation!)

$$\sigma_a\sigma_b = (\sigma \bullet \mathbf{a})(\sigma \bullet \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a} \bullet \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{1} + i(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \bullet \sigma$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma_Z & \cdot \\ \sigma_X & \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right) = i \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{array} \right) = i\sigma_Y \\ &\left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma_X & \cdot \\ \sigma_Z & \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) = -i \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{array} \right) = -i\sigma_Y \end{aligned}$$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} = e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t}$$

$$= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\varphi} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\omega}\cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X = \sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y = \sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z = \sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i} = -i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j} = -i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k} = -i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

σ -products do dot \bullet and cross \times products by symmetries: $\sigma_X \sigma_Y = i\sigma_Z = -\sigma_Y \sigma_X$, $\sigma_Z \sigma_X = i\sigma_Y = -\sigma_X \sigma_Z$, $\sigma_Y \sigma_Z = i\sigma_X = -\sigma_Z \sigma_Y$

$$\sigma_a \sigma_b = (\sigma \bullet \mathbf{a})(\sigma \bullet \mathbf{b}) = (a_X \sigma_X + a_Y \sigma_Y + a_Z \sigma_Z)(b_X \sigma_X + b_Y \sigma_Y + b_Z \sigma_Z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & a_X b_X \mathbf{1} & + a_X b_Y \sigma_X \sigma_Y & - a_X b_Z \sigma_Z \sigma_X & + i(a_Y b_Z - a_Z b_Y) \sigma_X \\ & - a_Y b_X \sigma_X \sigma_Y & + a_Y b_Y \mathbf{1} & + a_Y b_Z \sigma_Y \sigma_Z & = (a_X b_X + a_Y b_Y + a_Z b_Z) \mathbf{1} & + i(a_Z b_X - a_X b_Z) \sigma_Y \\ & + a_Z b_X \sigma_Z \sigma_X & - a_Z b_Y \sigma_Y \sigma_Z & + a_Z b_Z \mathbf{1} & + i(a_X b_Y - a_Y b_X) \sigma_Z \end{aligned}$$

Write the product in Gibbs notation. (This is where Gibbs got his $\{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}$ notation!)

$$\sigma_a \sigma_b = (\sigma \bullet \mathbf{a})(\sigma \bullet \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{a} \bullet \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{1} + i(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \bullet \sigma$$

(Recall (1.10.29). in complex variable unit.)

$$\begin{aligned} A^* B &= (A_X + iA_Y)^*(B_X + iB_Y) = (A_X - iA_Y)(B_X + iB_Y) \\ &= (A_X B_X + A_Y B_Y) + i(A_X B_Y - A_Y B_X) = (\mathbf{A} \bullet \mathbf{B}) + i(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})_Z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_Z \cdot \sigma_X \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = i\sigma_Y \\ & \sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Z \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -i\sigma_Y \end{aligned}$$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
Hamilton-Pauli spinor symmetry (σ-expansion in ABCD-Types) $\mathbf{H} = \omega_\mu \sigma_\mu$

→ Derive σ-exponential time evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

Spinor arithmetic like complex arithmetic

Spinor vector algebra like complex vector algebra

→ Spinor exponentials like complex exponentials (“Crazy-Thing”-Theorem)

Geometry of evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

2D Spinor vs 3D vector rotation

NMR Hamiltonian: 3D Spin Moment \mathbf{m} in \mathbf{B} field

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\vec{\varphi}} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\omega}\cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X=\sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y=\sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z=\sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i}=-i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j}=-i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k}=-i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

Hamilton is able to generalize Euler's complex rotation operators $e^{+i\varphi}$ and $e^{-i\varphi}$. (Recall (1.10.17).)

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\varphi} &= 1 + (-i\varphi) + \frac{1}{2!}(-i\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}(-i\varphi)^3 + \frac{1}{4!}(-i\varphi)^4 \dots = [1 & -\frac{1}{2!}\varphi^2 & +\frac{1}{4!}\varphi^4 \dots] = [\cos \varphi] \\ &\quad - i(\varphi & +\frac{1}{3!}\varphi^3 & \dots) & - i(\sin \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\vec{\varphi}} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\omega}\cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X=\sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y=\sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z=\sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i}=-i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j}=-i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k}=-i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

Hamilton is able to generalize Euler's complex rotation operators $e^{+i\varphi}$ and $e^{-i\varphi}$. (Recall (1.10.17).)

$$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 + (-i\varphi) + \frac{1}{2!}(-i\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}(-i\varphi)^3 + \frac{1}{4!}(-i\varphi)^4 \dots = [1 \quad -\frac{1}{2!}\varphi^2 \quad +\frac{1}{4!}\varphi^4 \dots] = [\cos \varphi]$$

$$-\varphi \quad +\frac{1}{3!}\varphi^3 \quad \dots) \quad -i(\sin \varphi)$$

Note even powers of $(-i)$ are $\pm I$

and odd powers of $(-i)$ are $\pm i$:

$$(-i)^0 = +1, \quad (-i)^1 = -i, \quad (-i)^2 = -1, \quad (-i)^3 = +i, \quad (-i)^4 = +1, \quad (-i)^5 = -i, \quad etc.$$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\vec{\varphi}} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\omega}\cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X=\sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y=\sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z=\sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i}=-i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j}=-i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k}=-i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

Hamilton is able to generalize Euler's complex rotation operators $e^{+i\varphi}$ and $e^{-i\varphi}$. (Recall (1.10.17).)

$$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 + (-i\varphi) + \frac{1}{2!}(-i\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}(-i\varphi)^3 + \frac{1}{4!}(-i\varphi)^4 \dots = [1 \quad -\frac{1}{2!}\varphi^2 \quad +\frac{1}{4!}\varphi^4 \dots] = [\cos \varphi]$$

$$-i(\varphi \quad +\frac{1}{3!}\varphi^3 \quad \dots) \quad -i(\sin \varphi)$$

$$(-i)^0 = +1, \quad (-i)^1 = -i, \quad (-i)^2 = -1, \quad (-i)^3 = +i, \quad (-i)^4 = +1, \quad (-i)^5 = -i, \quad etc.$$

Hamilton replaces $(-i)$ with $-i\sigma_{\varphi}$ in the $e^{-i\varphi}$ power series above to get a sequence of terms just like it.

$$(-i\sigma_{\varphi})^0 = +1, \quad (-i\sigma_{\varphi})^1 = -i\sigma_{\varphi}, \quad (-i\sigma_{\varphi})^2 = -1, \quad (-i\sigma_{\varphi})^3 = +i\sigma_{\varphi}, \quad (-i\sigma_{\varphi})^4 = +1, \quad (-i\sigma_{\varphi})^5 = -i\sigma_{\varphi}, \quad etc.$$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\varphi} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{\omega}\cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X=\sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y=\sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z=\sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i}=-i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j}=-i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k}=-i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

Hamilton is able to generalize Euler's complex rotation operators $e^{+i\varphi}$ and $e^{-i\varphi}$. (Recall (1.10.17).)

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\varphi} &= 1 + (-i\varphi) + \frac{1}{2!}(-i\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}(-i\varphi)^3 + \frac{1}{4!}(-i\varphi)^4 \dots = [1 \quad -\frac{1}{2!}\varphi^2 \quad +\frac{1}{4!}\varphi^4 \dots] = [\cos \varphi] \\ &\quad - i(\varphi \quad +\frac{1}{3!}\varphi^3 \quad \dots) \quad - i(\sin \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Note even powers of $(-i)$ are ± 1 and odd powers of $(-i)$ are $\pm i$: $(-i)^0 = +1$, $(-i)^1 = -i$, $(-i)^2 = -1$, $(-i)^3 = +i$, $(-i)^4 = +1$, $(-i)^5 = -i$, etc.

Hamilton replaces $(-i)$ with $-i\sigma_{\varphi}$ in the $e^{-i\varphi}$ power series above to get a sequence of terms just like it.

$$(-i\sigma_{\varphi})^0 = +1, \quad (-i\sigma_{\varphi})^1 = -i\sigma_{\varphi}, \quad (-i\sigma_{\varphi})^2 = -1, \quad (-i\sigma_{\varphi})^3 = +i\sigma_{\varphi}, \quad (-i\sigma_{\varphi})^4 = +1, \quad (-i\sigma_{\varphi})^5 = -i\sigma_{\varphi}, \text{ etc.}$$

This allows Hamilton to generalize Euler's rotation $e^{-i\varphi}$ to $e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\varphi}$ for any $\sigma_{\varphi}\varphi = (\sigma \bullet \vec{\varphi}) = \varphi_A\sigma_A + \varphi_B\sigma_B + \varphi_Z\sigma_Z \equiv (\sigma \bullet \hat{\varphi})\varphi$

$$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sin \varphi$$

generalizes to:

$$e^{-i\sigma_{\varphi}\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_{\varphi} \sin \varphi$$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_\varphi \varphi} e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\omega} \cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \\ &= (\mathbf{1} \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi) e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X = \sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y = \sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z = \sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i} = -i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j} = -i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k} = -i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

Hamilton is able to generalize Euler's complex rotation operators $e^{+i\varphi}$ and $e^{-i\varphi}$. (Recall (1.10.17).)

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\varphi} &= 1 + (-i\varphi) + \frac{1}{2!}(-i\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}(-i\varphi)^3 + \frac{1}{4!}(-i\varphi)^4 \dots = [1 \quad -\frac{1}{2!}\varphi^2 \quad +\frac{1}{4!}\varphi^4 \dots] = [\cos \varphi \\ &\quad -i(\varphi \quad +\frac{1}{3!}\varphi^3 \quad \dots) \quad -i(\sin \varphi)] \end{aligned}$$

Note even powers of $(-i)$ are ± 1 and odd powers of $(-i)$ are $\pm i$: $(-i)^0 = +1$, $(-i)^1 = -i$, $(-i)^2 = -1$, $(-i)^3 = +i$, $(-i)^4 = +1$, $(-i)^5 = -i$, etc.

Hamilton replaces $(-i)$ with $-i\sigma_\varphi$ in the $e^{-i\varphi}$ power series above to get a sequence of terms just like it.

$$(-i\sigma_\varphi)^0 = +1, \quad (-i\sigma_\varphi)^1 = -i\sigma_\varphi, \quad (-i\sigma_\varphi)^2 = -1, \quad (-i\sigma_\varphi)^3 = +i\sigma_\varphi, \quad (-i\sigma_\varphi)^4 = +1, \quad (-i\sigma_\varphi)^5 = -i\sigma_\varphi, \text{ etc.}$$

This allows Hamilton to generalize Euler's rotation $e^{-i\varphi}$ to $e^{-i\sigma_\varphi \varphi}$ for any $\sigma_\varphi \varphi = (\sigma \bullet \vec{\varphi}) = \varphi_A \sigma_A + \varphi_B \sigma_B + \varphi_Z \sigma_Z \equiv (\sigma \bullet \hat{\varphi}) \varphi$

$$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sin \varphi$$

generalizes to:

$$e^{-i\sigma_\varphi \varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi$$

The Crazy Thing Theorem:

If $(\mathbf{i})^2 = -1$

Then:

$$e^{i\mathbf{i}\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi + i \mathbf{i} \sin \varphi$$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} |\Psi(0)\rangle$$

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\omega t} = \cos \omega t - i \sin \omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} \\ &= e^{-i\sigma_\varphi \varphi} e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} = e^{-i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\omega} \cdot t} e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} \text{ where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2} \\ &= (\mathbf{1} \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi) e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} \end{aligned}$$

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant A,B,C, and D

Symmetry relations make spinors $\sigma_X = \sigma_B$, $\sigma_Y = \sigma_C$, and $\sigma_Z = \sigma_A$ or quaternions $\mathbf{i} = -i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j} = -i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k} = -i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

Hamilton is able to generalize Euler's complex rotation operators $e^{+i\varphi}$ and $e^{-i\varphi}$. (Recall (1.10.17).)

$$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 + (-i\varphi) + \frac{1}{2!}(-i\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}(-i\varphi)^3 + \frac{1}{4!}(-i\varphi)^4 \dots = [1 \quad -\frac{1}{2!}\varphi^2 \quad +\frac{1}{4!}\varphi^4 \dots] = [\cos \varphi \quad -i(\varphi \quad +\frac{1}{3!}\varphi^3 \quad \dots) \quad -i(\sin \varphi)]$$

Note even powers of $(-i)$ are ± 1 and odd powers of $(-i)$ are $\pm i$: $(-i)^0 = +1$, $(-i)^1 = -i$, $(-i)^2 = -1$, $(-i)^3 = +i$, $(-i)^4 = +1$, $(-i)^5 = -i$, etc.

Hamilton replaces $(-i)$ with $-i\sigma_\varphi$ in the $e^{-i\varphi}$ power series above to get a sequence of terms just like it.

$$(-i\sigma_\varphi)^0 = +1, \quad (-i\sigma_\varphi)^1 = -i\sigma_\varphi, \quad (-i\sigma_\varphi)^2 = -1, \quad (-i\sigma_\varphi)^3 = +i\sigma_\varphi, \quad (-i\sigma_\varphi)^4 = +1, \quad (-i\sigma_\varphi)^5 = -i\sigma_\varphi, \text{ etc.}$$

This allows Hamilton to generalize Euler's rotation $e^{-i\varphi}$ to $e^{-i\sigma_\varphi \varphi}$ for any $\sigma_\varphi \varphi = (\sigma \cdot \vec{\varphi}) = \varphi_A \sigma_A + \varphi_B \sigma_B + \varphi_Z \sigma_Z \equiv (\sigma \cdot \hat{\varphi}) \varphi$

$$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sin \varphi$$

generalizes to:


$$e^{-i\sigma_\varphi \varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi$$

The Crazy Thing Theorem:


If $(\text{crazy face})^2 = -1$

Then:

$$e^{(\text{crazy face})\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi + (\text{crazy face}) \sin \varphi$$

Here:  = $-i$

Crazy thing is just $-\sqrt{-1}$

Here:  = $-i\sigma_\varphi = -i(\sigma \cdot \hat{\varphi}) = -i \frac{(\sigma \cdot \hat{\varphi})}{\varphi}$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
Hamilton-Pauli spinor symmetry (σ -expansion in ABCD-Types) $\mathbf{H} = \omega_\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu$

Derive σ -exponential time evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

Spinor arithmetic like complex arithmetic

Spinor vector algebra like complex vector algebra

Spinor exponentials like complex exponentials (“Crazy-Thing”-Theorem)

→ *Geometry of evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu \omega_\mu t}$*

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

2D Spinor vs 3D vector rotation

NMR Hamiltonian: 3D Spin Moment \mathbf{m} in \mathbf{B} field

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

*ABCD Time
evolution
operator*

For constant
A,B,C, and D

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\Omega t} = \cos \Omega t - i \sin \Omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} = e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i(\omega_0\sigma_0 + \vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} (\mathbf{1} \cos \omega t - i\sigma_\varphi \sin \omega \cdot t)$$

$\sigma_A = \sigma_Z \quad \sigma_B = \sigma_X \quad \sigma_C = \sigma_Y$

where: $\vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$ and: $\omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$

$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sin \varphi$

generalizes to:

$e^{-i\sigma_\varphi\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\varphi_A} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sin \varphi_A \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\varphi_A} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi_A} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

*Example 1:
A or Z
rotation*

The
Crazy Thing
Theorem:
If $(\text{crazy face})^2 = -1$

Then:

$e^{(\text{crazy face})\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi + (\text{crazy face}) \sin \varphi$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

*ABCD Time
evolution
operator*

For constant
A,B,C, and D

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\Omega t} = \cos \Omega t - i \sin \Omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} = e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i(\omega_0\sigma_0 + \vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} (\mathbf{1} \cos \omega t - i\sigma_\varphi \sin \omega \cdot t)$$

where: $\vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$ and: $\omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$

$$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sin \varphi$$

generalizes to:

$$e^{-i\sigma_\varphi\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi$$

$$e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\varphi_A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sin \varphi_A$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\varphi_A} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi_A} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 1:
A or Z
rotation

Example 2:
C or Y
rotation

$$e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\varphi_C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sin \varphi_C$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C & -\sin \varphi_C \\ \sin \varphi_C & \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix}$$

The
Crazy Thing
Theorem:
If $(\text{crazy face})^2 = -1$
Then:
 $e^{(\text{crazy face})\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi + (\text{crazy face}) \sin \varphi$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) visualize matrix-exponent solutions.

**ABCD Time
evolution
operator**

For constant
 A, B, C , and D

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\Omega t} = \cos \Omega t - i \sin \Omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} = e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i(\omega_0\sigma_0 + \vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} (\mathbf{1} \cos \omega t - i\sigma_\varphi \sin \omega t)$$

where: $\vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$ and: $\omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$

$$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sin \varphi$$

generalizes to:

$$e^{-i\sigma_\varphi\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi$$

$$e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\varphi_A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sin \varphi_A$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\varphi_A} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi_A} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 1:
 A or Z
rotation

Example 2:
 C or Y
rotation

The
Crazy Thing
Theorem:

If $(\text{crazy face})^2 = -1$

Then:

$$e^{(\text{crazy face})\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi + (\text{crazy face}) \sin \varphi$$

Let: $\vec{\varphi} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t$

$$e^{-i(\sigma \cdot \vec{\varphi})t} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi = 1 \cos \varphi - i (\sigma \cdot \hat{\varphi}) \sin \varphi$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi - i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \hat{\varphi}_A \sin \varphi - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{\varphi}_B \sin \varphi - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{\varphi}_C \sin \varphi$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi - i \hat{\varphi}_A \sin \varphi & (-i \hat{\varphi}_B - \hat{\varphi}_C) \sin \varphi \\ (-i \hat{\varphi}_B + \hat{\varphi}_C) \sin \varphi & \cos \varphi + i \hat{\varphi}_A \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 3:

Any $\varphi = \omega t$ -axial
rotation

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) *visualize* matrix-exponent solutions.

*ABCD Time
evolution
operator*

For constant
A,B,C, and D

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\Omega t} = \cos \Omega t - i \sin \Omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} = e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i(\omega_0\sigma_0 + \vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} \left(\mathbf{1} \cos \omega t - i\sigma_\varphi \sin \omega t \right)$$

$$\text{where: } \vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$$

$$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sin \varphi$$

generalizes to:

$$e^{-i\sigma_\varphi \varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi$$

$$e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\varphi_A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sin \varphi_A$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\varphi_A} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi_A} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 1:
A or Z
rotation

$$e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\varphi_C} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sin \varphi_C$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C & -\sin \varphi_C \\ \sin \varphi_C & \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 2:
C or Y
rotation

We test these operators by making them rotate each other....

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) visualize matrix-exponent solutions.

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant
 A, B, C , and D

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\Omega t} = \cos \Omega t - i \sin \Omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} = e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i(\omega_0\sigma_0 + \vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} (1 \cos \omega t - i\sigma_\varphi \sin \omega \cdot t)$$

where: $\vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$ and: $\omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$

$$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sin \varphi$$

generalizes to:

$$e^{-i\sigma_\varphi\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\varphi_A} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sin \varphi_A \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\varphi_A} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi_A} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Example 1:
A or Z
rotation


$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\varphi_C} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sin \varphi_C \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C & -\sin \varphi_C \\ \sin \varphi_C & \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Example 2:
C or Y
rotation

3D axis vector $\vec{\varphi} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t$ corresponds to generator $\sigma_\varphi = \sigma_A \hat{\varphi}_A + \sigma_B \hat{\varphi}_B + \sigma_C \hat{\varphi}_C$ of rotation $e^{-i\sigma_\varphi\varphi} = R(\vec{\varphi}) = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi$ about axis $\vec{\varphi}$.

Any 2-by-2 σ_μ -matrix may be rotated by any $R(\vec{\varphi})$ matrix acting twice (fore-and-aft⁻¹) to give: $\sigma_\mu^{(\vec{\varphi}\text{-rotated})} = R(\vec{\varphi})\sigma_\mu R^{-1}(\vec{\varphi}) = R(\vec{\varphi})\sigma_\mu R^\dagger(\vec{\varphi})$

$$\begin{aligned} &R(\varphi_C) \cdot \sigma_A \cdot R^{-1}(\varphi_C) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C & -\sin \varphi_C \\ \sin \varphi_C & \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C & \sin \varphi_C \\ -\sin \varphi_C & \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \varphi_C - \sin^2 \varphi_C & 2\sin \varphi_C \cos \varphi_C \\ 2\sin \varphi_C \cos \varphi_C & \sin^2 \varphi_C - \cos^2 \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cos 2\varphi_C + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sin 2\varphi_C \\ &= \sigma_A \cos 2\varphi_C + \sigma_B \sin 2\varphi_C \end{aligned}$$



The 3D-rotation is by 2φ , twice the 2D angle φ .

$$\hat{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\varphi}_A \\ \hat{\varphi}_B \\ \hat{\varphi}_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\hat{\varphi}_A^2 + \hat{\varphi}_B^2 + \hat{\varphi}_C^2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_A^2 + \omega_B^2 + \omega_C^2}}$$

OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) visualize matrix-exponent solutions.

ABCD Time evolution operator

For constant
A,B,C, and D

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\Omega t} = \cos \Omega t - i \sin \Omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} = e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i(\omega_0\sigma_0 + \vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t} (1 \cos \omega t - i\sigma_\varphi \sin \omega \cdot t)$$

where: $\vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$ and: $\omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$

$e^{-i\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sin \varphi$

generalizes to:

$e^{-i\sigma_\varphi\varphi} = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\varphi_A} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sin \varphi_A \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \varphi_A - i \sin \varphi_A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\varphi_A} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi_A} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Example 1:
A or Z
rotation


$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\varphi_C} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sin \varphi_C \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C & -\sin \varphi_C \\ \sin \varphi_C & \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Example 2:
C or Y
rotation

3D axis vector $\vec{\varphi} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t$ corresponds to generator $\sigma_\varphi = \sigma_A \hat{\varphi}_A + \sigma_B \hat{\varphi}_B + \sigma_C \hat{\varphi}_C$ of rotation $e^{-i\sigma_\varphi\varphi} = R(\vec{\varphi}) = 1 \cos \varphi - i \sigma_\varphi \sin \varphi$ about axis $\vec{\varphi}$.

Any 2-by-2 σ_μ -matrix may be rotated by any $R(\vec{\varphi})$ matrix acting twice (fore-and-aft⁻¹) to give: $\sigma_\mu^{(\vec{\varphi}\text{-rotated})} = R(\vec{\varphi})\sigma_\mu R^{-1}(\vec{\varphi}) = R(\vec{\varphi})\sigma_\mu R^\dagger(\vec{\varphi})$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{R}(\varphi_C) \cdot \sigma_A \cdot \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\varphi_C) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C & -\sin \varphi_C \\ \sin \varphi_C & \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C & \sin \varphi_C \\ -\sin \varphi_C & \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos^2 \varphi_C - \sin^2 \varphi_C & 2\sin \varphi_C \cos \varphi_C \\ 2\sin \varphi_C \cos \varphi_C & \sin^2 \varphi_C - \cos^2 \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \cos 2\varphi_C + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sin 2\varphi_C \\ &= \sigma_A \cos 2\varphi_C + \sigma_B \sin 2\varphi_C \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{R}(\varphi_C) \cdot \sigma_B \cdot \mathbf{R}^{-1}(\varphi_C) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C & -\sin \varphi_C \\ \sin \varphi_C & \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \varphi_C & \sin \varphi_C \\ -\sin \varphi_C & \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -2\sin \varphi_C \cos \varphi_C & \cos^2 \varphi_C - \sin^2 \varphi_C \\ \cos^2 \varphi_C - \sin^2 \varphi_C & 2\sin \varphi_C \cos \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sin 2\varphi_C + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cos 2\varphi_C \\ &= -\sigma_A \sin 2\varphi_C + \sigma_B \cos 2\varphi_C \end{aligned}$$

The 3D-rotation is by 2φ , twice the 2D angle φ .

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
Hamilton-Pauli spinor symmetry (σ -expansion in ABCD-Types) $\mathbf{H} = \omega_\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu$

Derive σ -exponential time evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

Spinor arithmetic like complex arithmetic

Spinor vector algebra like complex vector algebra

Spinor exponentials like complex exponentials

Geometry of evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$


→ *The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space*

2D Spinor vs 3D vector rotation

NMR Hamiltonian: 3D Spin Moment \mathbf{m} in \mathbf{B} field

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{H} = & \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 = & \underbrace{\omega_0 \sigma_0}_{\text{Notation for}} + \underbrace{\omega_A \sigma_A}_{2D \text{ Spinor space}} + \underbrace{\omega_B \sigma_B}_{2D \text{ Spinor space}} + \underbrace{\omega_C \sigma_C}_{2D \text{ Spinor space}} = \omega_0 \sigma_0 + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma} = \omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \omega \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\omega
 \end{aligned}$$



Symmetry archetypes: *A (Asymmetric-diagonal)* | *B (Bilateral-balanced)* | *C (Chiral-circular-complex...)*

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are the well known *Pauli-spin operators* $\{\sigma_I = \sigma_0, \sigma_B = \sigma_X, \sigma_C = \sigma_Y, \sigma_A = \sigma_Z\}$

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{H} = & \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Notation for} \\
 & = \frac{\omega_0 \sigma_0}{\Omega_0 \mathbf{1}} + \frac{\omega_A \sigma_A}{\Omega_A \mathbf{S}_A} + \frac{\omega_B \sigma_B}{\Omega_B \mathbf{S}_B} + \frac{\omega_C \sigma_C}{\Omega_C \mathbf{S}_C} = \omega_0 \sigma_0 + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma} = \omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\omega} \sigma_{\omega} & 2D \text{ Spinor space} \\
 & = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (A-D) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + 2B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Notation for} \\
 & \quad \text{0th component unchanged} \quad \text{components } A, B, C \text{ switch 1/2-factor from } \omega\text{-velocity to } S\text{-momentum} & 3D \text{ Vector space}
 \end{aligned}$$

Symmetry archetypes: *A* (Asymmetric \uparrow -diagonal) | *B* (Bilateral \uparrow -balanced) | *C* (Chiral \uparrow -circular-complex...)

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are the well known *Pauli-spin operators* $\{\sigma_I = \sigma_0, \sigma_B = \sigma_X, \sigma_C = \sigma_Y, \sigma_A = \sigma_Z\}$

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{H} = & \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Notation for} \\
 & = \frac{\omega_0}{\Omega_0} \mathbf{1} + \frac{\omega_A}{\Omega_A} \mathbf{S}_A + \frac{\omega_B}{\Omega_B} \mathbf{S}_B + \frac{\omega_C}{\Omega_C} \mathbf{S}_C = \omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}} & \text{2D Spinor space} \\
 & = \frac{\omega_0}{\Omega_0} \mathbf{1} + \frac{\Omega_A}{\Omega_0} \mathbf{S}_A + \frac{\Omega_B}{\Omega_0} \mathbf{S}_B + \frac{\Omega_C}{\Omega_0} \mathbf{S}_C = \Omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}} \\
 & = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (A-D) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + 2B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Notation for} \\
 & \quad \text{0th component unchanged} \quad \text{components } A, B, C \text{ switch 1/2-factor from } \omega\text{-velocity to } S\text{-momentum} & \text{3D Vector space}
 \end{aligned}$$

Symmetry archetypes: *A* (Asymmetric \uparrow -diagonal) | *B* (Bilateral \uparrow -balanced) | *C* (Chiral \uparrow -circular-complex...)

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are the well known *Pauli-spin operators* $\{\sigma_I = \sigma_0, \sigma_B = \sigma_X, \sigma_C = \sigma_Y, \sigma_A = \sigma_Z\}$

The $\{1, S_A, S_B, S_C\}$ are the *Jordan-Angular-Momentum operators* $\{1 = \sigma_0, S_B = S_X, S_C = S_Y, S_A = S_Z\}$
 (Often labeled $\{J_X, J_Y, J_Z\}$)

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{H} &= \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{Notation for} \\
 &= \frac{\omega_0}{\Omega_0} \mathbf{1} + \frac{\omega_A}{\Omega_A} \mathbf{S}_A + \frac{\omega_B}{\Omega_B} \mathbf{S}_B + \frac{\omega_C}{\Omega_C} \mathbf{S}_C = \omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}} && 2D \text{ Spinor space} \\
 &= \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (A-D) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + 2B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{Notation for} \\
 &\quad \text{0th component unchanged} \quad \text{components } A, B, C \text{ switch 1/2-factor from } \omega\text{-velocity to } S\text{-momentum} && 3D \text{ Vector space}
 \end{aligned}$$

Symmetry archetypes: *A* (Asymmetric diagonal) | *B* (Bilateral balanced) | *C* (Chiral circular-complex...)

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are the well known *Pauli-spin operators* $\{\sigma_I = \sigma_0, \sigma_B = \sigma_X, \sigma_C = \sigma_Y, \sigma_A = \sigma_Z\}$

The $\{1, S_A, S_B, S_C\}$ are the *Jordan-Angular-Momentum operators* $\{1 = \sigma_0, S_B = S_X, S_C = S_Y, S_A = S_Z\}$
(Often labeled $\{J_X, J_Y, J_Z\}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Notation for} \\
 &\text{2D Spinor space} \\
 e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} \cdot t} = e^{-i(\omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}}) \cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} e^{-i \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}} \cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} e^{-i \sigma_\omega \vec{\omega} \cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} (\mathbf{1} \cos \vec{\omega} \cdot t - i \sigma_\omega \sin \vec{\omega} \cdot t)
 \end{aligned}$$

where: $\vec{\phi} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$ and: $\omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{H} &= \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{Notation for} \\
 &= \frac{\omega_0}{\Omega_0} \mathbf{1} + \frac{\omega_A}{\Omega_A} \mathbf{S}_A + \frac{\omega_B}{\Omega_B} \mathbf{S}_B + \frac{\omega_C}{\Omega_C} \mathbf{S}_C = \omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}} = \omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\omega} \sigma_{\omega} && 2D \text{ Spinor space} \\
 &= \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (A-D) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + 2B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} && \text{Notation for} \\
 &\quad \text{0th component unchanged} && 3D \text{ Vector space} \\
 &\quad \text{components } A, B, C \text{ switch 1/2-factor from } \omega\text{-velocity to } S\text{-momentum}
 \end{aligned}$$

Symmetry archetypes: *A* (Asymmetric \uparrow -diagonal) | *B* (Bilateral \uparrow -balanced) | *C* (Chiral \uparrow -circular-complex...)

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are the well known *Pauli-spin operators* $\{\sigma_I = \sigma_0, \sigma_B = \sigma_X, \sigma_C = \sigma_Y, \sigma_A = \sigma_Z\}$

The $\{1, S_A, S_B, S_C\}$ are the *Jordan-Angular-Momentum operators* $\{1 = \sigma_0, S_B = S_X, S_C = S_Y, S_A = S_Z\}$
(Often labeled $\{J_X, J_Y, J_Z\}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Notation for} \\
 &\text{2D Spinor space} \\
 e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} &= e^{-i \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} \cdot t} = e^{-i(\omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}}) \cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} e^{-i \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}} \cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} e^{-i \sigma_{\omega} \vec{\omega} \cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} \left(\mathbf{1} \cos \vec{\omega} \cdot t - i \sigma_{\omega} \sin \vec{\omega} \cdot t \right) \\
 &= e^{-i(\Omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}}) \cdot t} = e^{-i\Omega_0 \cdot t} e^{-i \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}} \cdot t} = e^{-i\Omega_0 \cdot t} \left(\mathbf{1} \cos \frac{\vec{\Omega} \cdot t}{2} - i \sigma_{\omega} \sin \frac{\vec{\Omega} \cdot t}{2} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where: $\vec{\phi} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$ and: $\omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\text{Notation for} \\
 &\text{3D Vector space} \\
 &\text{where: } \vec{\Theta} = \vec{\Omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} A-D \\ 2B \\ 2C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \Omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{H} = & \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Notation for} \\
 & = \underbrace{\omega_0 \sigma_0}_{\Omega_0 \mathbf{1}} + \underbrace{\omega_A \sigma_A}_{\Omega_A \mathbf{S}_A} + \underbrace{\omega_B \sigma_B}_{\Omega_B \mathbf{S}_B} + \underbrace{\omega_C \sigma_C}_{\Omega_C \mathbf{S}_C} = \omega_0 \sigma_0 + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma} = \omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\omega} \sigma_{\omega} & 2D \text{ Spinor space} \\
 & = \Omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \Omega_A \mathbf{S}_A + \Omega_B \mathbf{S}_B + \Omega_C \mathbf{S}_C = \Omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}} \\
 & = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (A-D) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} + 2B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{Notation for} \\
 & \text{0th component unchanged} & 3D \text{ Vector space} \\
 & \text{components } A, B, C \text{ switch 1/2-factor from } \omega\text{-velocity to } S\text{-momentum}
 \end{aligned}$$

Symmetry archetypes: *A* (Asymmetric \uparrow -diagonal) | *B* (Bilateral \uparrow -balanced) | *C* (Chiral \uparrow -circular-complex...)

“Crank”

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are the well known *Pauli-spin operators* $\{\sigma_I = \sigma_0, \sigma_B = \sigma_X, \sigma_C = \sigma_Y, \sigma_A = \sigma_Z\}$

vector

The $\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{S}_A, \mathbf{S}_B, \mathbf{S}_C\}$ are the *Jordan-Angular-Momentum operators* $\{\mathbf{1} = \sigma_0, \mathbf{S}_B = \mathbf{S}_X, \mathbf{S}_C = \mathbf{S}_Y, \mathbf{S}_A = \mathbf{S}_Z\}$

$$\vec{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_A \\ \varphi_B \\ \varphi_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$$

(Often labeled $\{\mathbf{J}_X, \mathbf{J}_Y, \mathbf{J}_Z\}$)

Notation for
2D Spinor space

$$\text{where: } \vec{\varphi} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$$

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H} \cdot t} = e^{-i \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} \cdot t} = e^{-i(\omega_0 \sigma_0 + \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \cdot t}$$

$$= e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} e^{-i \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} e^{-i \sigma_{\omega} \vec{\omega} \cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0 \cdot t} \left(\mathbf{1} \cos \vec{\omega} \cdot t - i \sigma_{\omega} \sin \vec{\omega} \cdot t \right)$$

“Crank”
vector

$$= e^{-i(\Omega_0 \mathbf{1} + \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}}) \cdot t} = e^{-i\Omega_0 \cdot t} e^{-i \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{S}} \cdot t}$$

$$= e^{-i\Omega_0 \cdot t} \left(\mathbf{1} \cos \frac{\vec{\Omega} \cdot t}{2} - i \sigma_{\omega} \sin \frac{\vec{\Omega} \cdot t}{2} \right)$$

$$\vec{\Theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta_A \\ \Theta_B \\ \Theta_C \end{pmatrix} = \vec{\Omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ 2B \\ 2C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$$

Notation for
3D Vector space

$$\text{where: } \vec{\Theta} = \vec{\Omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ 2B \\ 2C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t \text{ and: } \Omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$$

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
Hamilton-Pauli spinor symmetry (σ -expansion in ABCD-Types) $\mathbf{H} = \omega_\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu$

Derive σ -exponential time evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

Spinor arithmetic like complex arithmetic

Spinor vector algebra like complex vector algebra

Spinor exponentials like complex exponentials

Geometry of evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$


The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

→ 2D Spinor vs 3D vector rotation

NMR Hamiltonian: 3D Spin Moment \mathbf{m} in \mathbf{B} field

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

$U(2)$: 2D Spinor $\{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\}$ -space (complex)




State vector $|\Psi\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow| + |\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow|$


$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\uparrow} \\ \Psi_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\uparrow} \\ \Psi_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} & -\sin\frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\beta}{2} & \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\uparrow} \\ \Psi_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$







$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\uparrow} \\ \Psi_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\uparrow} \\ \Psi_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$R(3)$: 3D Spin Vector $\{S_x, S_y, S_z\}$ -space (real)

Spin vector $\mathbf{S} = |X\rangle\langle X| \mathbf{S} + |Y\rangle\langle Y| \mathbf{S} + |Z\rangle\langle Z| \mathbf{S}$



$$\begin{pmatrix} S_x \\ S_y \\ S_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_x \\ S_y \\ S_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & 0 & \sin\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\beta & 0 & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_x \\ S_y \\ S_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\beta \\ 0 \\ \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3}/2 \\ 0 \\ 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$


$$\begin{pmatrix} S_x \\ S_y \\ S_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\beta \\ 0 \\ \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_x \\ S_y \\ S_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin\beta \\ 0 \\ \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Life in 2D Spinor space is “Half-Fast”


The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

$U(2)$: 2D Spinor $\{|\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\rangle\}$ -space (complex)




State vector $|\Psi\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle\langle \uparrow| \Psi \rangle + |\downarrow\rangle\langle \downarrow| \Psi \rangle$


$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\uparrow} \\ \Psi_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\uparrow} \\ \Psi_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$




$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{\uparrow} \\ \Psi_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$




(Only “half-way” home after $2\pi = 360^\circ$ rotation)

$R(3)$: 3D Spin Vector $\{S_x, S_y, S_z\}$ -space (real)




Spin vector $\mathbf{S} = |X\rangle\langle X| \mathbf{S} \rangle + |Y\rangle\langle Y| \mathbf{S} \rangle + |Z\rangle\langle Z| \mathbf{S} \rangle$


$$\begin{pmatrix} S_x \\ S_y \\ S_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \beta \\ 0 \\ \cos \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} S_x \\ S_y \\ S_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \beta \\ 0 \\ \cos \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} S_x \\ S_y \\ S_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \beta \\ 0 \\ \cos \beta \end{pmatrix} =$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} S_x \\ S_y \\ S_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Life in 2D Spinor space is “Half-Fast” and needs $\Theta=4\pi=720^\circ$ to return to original state

ANALOGY: 2-State Schrodinger: $i\hbar\partial_t|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathbf{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$ versus Classical 2D-HO: $\partial^2_t \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{x}$
Hamilton-Pauli spinor symmetry (σ -expansion in ABCD-Types) $\mathbf{H} = \omega_\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma}_\mu$

Derive σ -exponential time evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

Spinor arithmetic like complex arithmetic

Spinor vector algebra like complex vector algebra

Spinor exponentials like complex exponentials

Geometry of evolution (or revolution) operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t} = e^{-i\sigma_\mu \omega_\mu t}$

The “mysterious” factors of 2 (or 1/2): 2D Spinor vs 3D Spin Vector space

2D Spinor vs 3D vector rotation


 *NMR Hamiltonian: 3D Spin Moment \mathbf{m} in \mathbf{B} field*

Hamiltonian for NMR: 3D Spin Moment Vector $\mathbf{m}=(m_x, m_y, m_z)$ in field $\mathbf{B}=(B_x, B_y, B_z)$

$$\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{B}=g \sigma\cdot\mathbf{B}=\begin{pmatrix} gB_Z & gB_X - igB_Y \\ gB_X + igB_Y & -gB_Z \end{pmatrix}=gB_Z\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}+gB_X\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}+gB_Y\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= gB_Z \quad \sigma_A \quad + \quad gB_X \quad \sigma_X \quad + gB_Y \quad \sigma_Y \quad = \vec{\omega} \bullet \vec{\sigma} = \omega \sigma_\omega$$

Notation for
2D Spinor space



Symmetry archetypes: A (Asymmetric-diagonal) | B (Bilateral-balanced) | C (Chiral-circular-complex...)


The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are the well known Pauli-spin operators $\{\sigma_I=\sigma_0, \sigma_B=\sigma_X, \sigma_C=\sigma_Y, \sigma_A=\sigma_Z\}$

Hamiltonian for NMR: 3D Spin Moment Vector $\mathbf{m}=(m_x, m_y, m_z)$ in field $\mathbf{B}=(B_x, B_y, B_z)$

$$\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{B}=g \sigma\cdot\mathbf{B}=\begin{pmatrix} gB_Z & gB_X-igB_Y \\ gB_X+igB_Y & -gB_Z \end{pmatrix}=gB_Z\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}+gB_X\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}+gB_Y\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= gB_Z \quad \sigma_A \quad + \quad gB_X \quad \sigma_X \quad + gB_Y \quad \sigma_Y \quad = \bar{\omega} \bullet \vec{\sigma} = \omega \sigma_\omega$$


Notation for
2D Spinor space



Symmetry archetypes: A (Asymmetric-diagonal) | B (Bilateral-balanced) | C (Chiral-circular-complex...)

The $\{\sigma_I, \sigma_A, \sigma_B, \sigma_C\}$ are the well known Pauli-spin operators $\{\sigma_I=\sigma_0, \sigma_B=\sigma_X, \sigma_C=\sigma_Y, \sigma_A=\sigma_Z\}$

Notation for
3D Vector space



The driving $\Theta=\Omega t$ vector is defined by the ABCD of Hamiltonian \mathbf{H} .

The driven spin vector \mathbf{S} defines the state. But, how?

Fig. 3.4.2 Two views of Hamilton crank vector $\Omega(\varphi, \vartheta)$ whirling Stokes state vector \mathbf{S} in ABC-space.

Euler's state definition using rotations $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}(0, \beta, 0)$, and $\mathbf{R}(0, 0, \gamma)$


- *Spin-1 (3D-real vector) case*
- Spin-1/2 (2D-complex spinor) case*

Euler's state definition using rotations $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}(0, \beta, 0)$, and $\mathbf{R}(0, 0, \gamma)$

Spin-1 (3D-real vector) case

Euler Angle machine
discussed in CMwB Unit 6

See also Lects. 8-9
QTofCA Ch. 10A-B
Grp. Th. in QM 5093




Under Construction!
[Web based U\(2\) Calculator - Euler State](#)

Euler's rotation state definition using rotations $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}(0, \beta, 0)$, and $\mathbf{R}(0, 0, \gamma)$

Spin-1 (3D-real vector) case


Third rotation $\mathbf{R}(\alpha 00)$

*Euler Angle machine
discussed in CMwB Unit 6*




$$\langle R(\alpha\beta\gamma) \rangle = \langle R(\alpha 00) \rangle$$

Second rotation $\mathbf{R}(0\beta 0)$



$$\langle R(0\beta 0) \rangle$$

First rotation $\mathbf{R}(00\gamma)$




$$\langle R(00\gamma) \rangle$$


Euler's rotation state definition using rotations $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}(0, \beta, 0)$, and $\mathbf{R}(0, 0, \gamma)$

Spin-1 (3D-real vector) case


Third rotation $\mathbf{R}(\alpha 00)$



Second rotation $\mathbf{R}(0\beta 0)$



First rotation $\mathbf{R}(00\gamma)$



$$\langle R(\alpha\beta\gamma) \rangle = \langle R(\alpha 00) \rangle$$

$$\langle R(0\beta 0) \rangle$$

$$\langle R(00\gamma) \rangle$$


$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha & 0 \\ \sin\alpha & \cos\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & 0 & \sin\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\beta & 0 & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma & 0 \\ \sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Euler's rotation state definition using rotations $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}(0, \beta, 0)$, and $\mathbf{R}(0, 0, \gamma)$


Spin-1 (3D-real vector) case

Third rotation $\mathbf{R}(\alpha 00)$


Euler Angle machine
discussed in CMwB Unit 6



Second rotation $\mathbf{R}(0\beta 0)$



First rotation $\mathbf{R}(00\gamma)$



$$\langle R(\alpha\beta\gamma) \rangle = \langle R(\alpha 00) \rangle$$

$$\langle R(0\beta 0) \rangle$$

$$\langle R(00\gamma) \rangle$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha & 0 \\ \sin\alpha & \cos\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & 0 & \sin\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\beta & 0 & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma & 0 \\ \sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|\mathbf{e}_{\bar{x}}\rangle = R(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\mathbf{e}_x\rangle$$

$$|\mathbf{e}_{\bar{y}}\rangle = R(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\mathbf{e}_y\rangle$$

$$|\mathbf{e}_{\bar{z}}\rangle = R(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\mathbf{e}_z\rangle$$

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_A | R(\alpha\beta\gamma) | \mathbf{e}_B \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{e}_x | \\ \langle \mathbf{e}_y | \\ \langle \mathbf{e}_z | \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha \cos\beta \cos\gamma - \sin\alpha \sin\gamma & -\cos\alpha \cos\beta \sin\gamma - \sin\alpha \cos\gamma & \cos\alpha \sin\beta \\ \sin\alpha \cos\beta \cos\gamma + \cos\alpha \sin\gamma & -\sin\alpha \cos\beta \sin\gamma + \cos\alpha \cos\gamma & \sin\alpha \sin\beta \\ -\cos\gamma \sin\beta & \sin\gamma \sin\beta & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix}$$


Note lab-frame polar coordinates of Z-body vector $|\mathbf{e}_{\bar{z}}\rangle$

Euler's rotation state definition using rotations $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}(0, \beta, 0)$, and $\mathbf{R}(0, 0, \gamma)$


Spin-1 (3D-real vector) case

Third rotation $\mathbf{R}(\alpha 00)$


Euler Angle machine
discussed in CMwB Unit 6



Second rotation $\mathbf{R}(0\beta 0)$



First rotation $\mathbf{R}(00\gamma)$



$$\langle R(\alpha\beta\gamma) \rangle = \langle R(\alpha 00) \rangle$$

$$\langle R(0\beta 0) \rangle$$

$$\langle R(00\gamma) \rangle$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha & -\sin\alpha & 0 \\ \sin\alpha & \cos\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & 0 & \sin\beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin\beta & 0 & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\gamma & -\sin\gamma & 0 \\ \sin\gamma & \cos\gamma & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|\mathbf{e}_{\bar{x}}\rangle = R(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\mathbf{e}_x\rangle$$

$$|\mathbf{e}_{\bar{y}}\rangle = R(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\mathbf{e}_y\rangle$$

$$|\mathbf{e}_{\bar{z}}\rangle = R(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\mathbf{e}_z\rangle$$



$$\langle \mathbf{e}_A | R(\alpha\beta\gamma) | \mathbf{e}_B \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{e}_x | \\ \langle \mathbf{e}_y | \\ \langle \mathbf{e}_z | \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha\cos\beta\cos\gamma - \sin\alpha\sin\gamma & -\cos\alpha\cos\beta\sin\gamma - \sin\alpha\cos\gamma & \cos\alpha\sin\beta \\ \sin\alpha\cos\beta\cos\gamma + \cos\alpha\sin\gamma & -\sin\alpha\cos\beta\sin\gamma + \cos\alpha\cos\gamma & \sin\alpha\sin\beta \\ -\cos\gamma\sin\beta & \sin\gamma\sin\beta & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

Note lab-frame polar coordinates of Z-body vector $|\mathbf{e}_{\bar{z}}\rangle$

...and body-frame polar coordinates of Z-lab $|\mathbf{e}_z\rangle$

Euler Angle machine discussed in CMwB Unit 6

*See also Lects. 8-9
QTofCA Ch. 10A-B
Grp. Th. in QM 5093*



Euler's state definition using rotations $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}(0, \beta, 0)$, and $\mathbf{R}(0, 0, \gamma)$

Spin-1 (3D-real vector) case


→ *Spin-1/2 (2D-complex spinor) case*

Euler's rotation state definition using rotations $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}(0, \beta, 0)$, and $\mathbf{R}(0, 0, \gamma)$


Spin-1/2 (2D-complex spinor) case

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\alpha\beta\gamma\rangle &= \mathbf{R}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)|\uparrow\rangle \\
 &= \mathbf{R}[\alpha \text{ about } Z] \cdot \mathbf{R}[\beta \text{ about } Y] \cdot \mathbf{R}[\gamma \text{ about } Z]|\uparrow\rangle \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} & -\sin\frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\beta}{2} & \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\gamma}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\gamma}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$


Original Spin State $|\uparrow\rangle$



(2) Rotate by β around Y





(3) Rotate by α around Z



General Spin State
 $|\Psi\rangle = \mathbf{R}(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\uparrow\rangle$

(1) Rotate by γ around Z



Euler's rotation state definition using rotations $\mathbf{R}(\alpha, 0, 0)$, $\mathbf{R}(0, \beta, 0)$, and $\mathbf{R}(0, 0, \gamma)$

Spin-1/2 (2D-complex spinor) case

$$|a\rangle = \mathbf{R}(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\uparrow\rangle$$


$$= \mathbf{R}[\alpha \text{ about } Z] \cdot \mathbf{R}[\beta \text{ about } Y] \cdot \mathbf{R}[\gamma \text{ about } Z] |\uparrow\rangle$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} & -\sin\frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\beta}{2} & \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\gamma}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\gamma}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} & -e^{-i\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2}} \sin\frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2}} \sin\frac{\beta}{2} & e^{i\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$


$$= A \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sin\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\gamma}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Original Spin State $|\downarrow\rangle$




$$= |\downarrow\rangle$$

(2) Rotate by β around Y




(3) Rotate by α around Z



General Spin State
 $|\Psi\rangle = \mathbf{R}(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\uparrow\rangle$

(1) Rotate by γ around Z



$S_X = S \cos\alpha \sin\beta$

$S_Y = S \sin\alpha \sin\beta$

$S_Z = S \cos\beta$

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states

- ➔ *Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, Balance $S_B = S_X$, and Chirality $S_C = S_Y$*
- Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics*
- The “Great Spectral Avoided-Crossing” and A-to-B-to-A symmetry breaking*

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states

Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, **Balance** $S_B = S_X$, and **Chirality** $S_C = S_Y$

Each point $\{E_1, E_2\}$ defines 2D-HO phase space or analogous Ψ -space given by 2D amplitude array:
 This defines real 3D spin vector (S_A, S_B, S_C) “pointing” to a polarization ellipse or state.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Asymmetry } S_A = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_A|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [a_1^* a_1 - a_2^* a_2] = \frac{1}{2} [x_1^2 + p_1^2 - x_2^2 - p_2^2]$$

$$\text{Balance } S_B = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_B|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [a_1^* a_2 + a_2^* a_1] = [p_1 p_2 + x_1 x_2]$$

$$\text{Chirality } S_C = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_C|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{-i}{2} [a_1^* a_2 - a_2^* a_1] = [x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1]$$

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states

Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, **Balance** $S_B = S_X$, and **Chirality** $S_C = S_Y$


Each point $\{E_1, E_2\}$ defines 2D-HO phase space or analogous Ψ -space given by 2D amplitude array: $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\gamma}{2}}$
 This defines real 3D spin vector (S_A, S_B, S_C) “pointing” to a polarization ellipse or state.

$$\text{Asymmetry } S_A = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_A|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [a_1^* a_1 - a_2^* a_2] = \frac{1}{2} [x_1^2 + p_1^2 - x_2^2 - p_2^2] = \frac{I}{2} [\cos^2 \frac{\beta}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\beta}{2}]$$

$$\text{Balance } S_B = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_B|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [a_1^* a_2 + a_2^* a_1] = [p_1 p_2 + x_1 x_2] = I \left[-\sin \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} + \cos \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} \right] \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{I}{2} \cos \alpha \sin \beta$$

$$\text{Chirality } S_C = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_C|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{-i}{2} [a_1^* a_2 - a_2^* a_1] = [x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1] = I \left[\cos \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} - \cos \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \right] \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{I}{2} \sin \alpha \sin \beta$$

General Spin State
 $|\Psi\rangle = R(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\uparrow\rangle$



3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states


Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, **Balance** $S_B = S_X$, and **Chirality** $S_C = S_Y$

Each point $\{E_1, E_2\}$ defines 2D-HO phase space or analogous Ψ -space given by 2D amplitude array: $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\gamma}{2}}$
This defines real 3D spin vector (S_A, S_B, S_C) “pointing” to a polarization ellipse or state.

$$\text{Asymmetry } S_A = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_A|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a^* & a^* \\ a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [a_1^* a_1 - a_2^* a_2] = \frac{1}{2} [x_1^2 + p_1^2 - x_2^2 - p_2^2] = \frac{I}{2} [\cos^2 \frac{\beta}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\beta}{2}]$$

$$\text{Balance } S_B = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_B|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a^* & a^* \\ a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [a_1^* a_2 + a_2^* a_1] = [p_1 p_2 + x_1 x_2] = I \left[-\sin \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} + \cos \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} \right] \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{I}{2} \cos \alpha \sin \beta$$

$$\text{Chirality } S_C = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_C|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a^* & a^* \\ a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{-i}{2} [a_1^* a_2 - a_2^* a_1] = [x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1] = I \left[\cos \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} - \cos \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \right] \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{I}{2} \sin \alpha \sin \beta$$



General Spin State
 $|\Psi\rangle = \mathbf{R}(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\uparrow\rangle$

Note phase
or “gauge”
angle γ is
killed in $R(3)$
 a^*a -squares but
lives on in $U(2)$.

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states


Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, **Balance** $S_B = S_X$, and **Chirality** $S_C = S_Y$

Each point $\{E_1, E_2\}$ defines 2D-HO phase space or analogous Ψ -space given by 2D amplitude array: $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\gamma}{2}}$
This defines real 3D spin vector (S_A, S_B, S_C) “pointing” to a polarization ellipse or state.


$$\text{Asymmetry } S_A = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_A|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [a_1^* a_1 - a_2^* a_2] = \frac{1}{2} [x_1^2 + p_1^2 - x_2^2 - p_2^2] = \frac{I}{2} [\cos^2 \frac{\beta}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\beta}{2}]$$

$$\text{Balance } S_B = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_B|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [a_1^* a_2 + a_2^* a_1] = [p_1 p_2 + x_1 x_2] = I \left[-\sin \frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2} + \cos \frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2} \right] \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{I}{2} \cos \alpha \sin \beta$$

$$\text{Chirality } S_C = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_C|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{-i}{2} [a_1^* a_2 - a_2^* a_1] = [x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1] = I \left[\cos \frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2} - \cos \frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2} \right] \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{I}{2} \sin \alpha \sin \beta$$



General Spin State
 $|\Psi\rangle = R(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\uparrow\rangle$



Note phase or “gauge” angle γ is killed in $R(3)$
 a^*a -squares but lives on in $U(2)$.

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states



Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, **Balance** $S_B = S_X$, and **Chirality** $S_C = S_Y$

Each point $\{E_1, E_2\}$ defines 2D-HO phase space or analogous Ψ -space given by 2D amplitude array: $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\gamma}{2}}$


$$\text{Asymmetry } S_A = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_A|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [a_1^* a_1 - a_2^* a_2] = \frac{1}{2} [x_1^2 + p_1^2 - x_2^2 - p_2^2] = \frac{I}{2} [\cos^2 \frac{\beta}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{\beta}{2}]$$

$$\text{Balance } S_B = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_B|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} [a_1^* a_2 + a_2^* a_1] = [p_1 p_2 + x_1 x_2] = I \left[-\sin \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} + \cos \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \cos \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} \right] \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{I}{2} \cos \alpha \sin \beta$$

$$\text{Chirality } S_C = \frac{1}{2}(a|\sigma_C|a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a_1^* & a_2^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{-i}{2} [a_1^* a_2 - a_2^* a_1] = [x_1 p_2 - x_2 p_1] = I \left[\cos \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} - \cos \frac{\alpha - \gamma}{2} \sin \frac{\alpha + \gamma}{2} \right] \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} = \frac{I}{2} \sin \alpha \sin \beta$$



General Spin State
 $|\Psi\rangle = R(\alpha\beta\gamma)|\uparrow\rangle$



Note phase or “gauge” angle γ is killed in $R(3)$
 a^*a -squares but lives on in $U(2)$.

Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics

Note phase or “gauge” angle γ is killed in $R(3)$ a^*a -squares but lives on in $U(2)$.




Fig. 3.4.5 Polarization variables (a) Stokes real-vector space (ABC) (b) Complex xy -spinor-space (x_1, x_2).

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states

Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, Balance $S_B = S_X$, and Chirality $S_C = S_Y$

→ *Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics*

The “Great Spectral Avoided-Crossing” and A-to-B-to-A symmetry breaking

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states

Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, Balance $S_B = S_X$, and Chirality $S_C = S_Y$

Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics

→ *The “Great Spectral Avoided-Crossing” and A-to-B-to-A symmetry breaking*

Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics (A-Type motion)

Note phase or “gauge” angle γ is killed in $R(3)$ a^*a -squares but lives on in $U(2)$.





Fig. 3.4.5 Polarization variables (a) Stokes real-vector space (ABC) (b) Complex xy -spinor-space (x_1, x_2).

The ABC's of $U(2)$ dynamics (A-Type motion)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1|\mathbf{H}|1\rangle & \langle 1|\mathbf{H}|2\rangle \\ \langle 2|\mathbf{H}|1\rangle & \langle 2|\mathbf{H}|2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From:
QTCA
Lect. 9(2.12)
p.49

$$= \frac{A+D}{2} \mathbf{1} + B \sigma_B + C \sigma_C + \frac{A-D}{2} \sigma_A$$



$$= \frac{A+D}{2} \sigma_0 + \frac{\Omega_B}{2} \sigma_B + \frac{\Omega_C}{2} \sigma_C + \frac{\Omega_A}{2} \sigma_A$$

$$\vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A-D \\ 2B \\ 2C \end{pmatrix}$$



Asymmetric Diagonal A-Type motion

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1|\mathbf{H}^A|1\rangle & \langle 1|\mathbf{H}^A|2\rangle \\ \langle 2|\mathbf{H}^A|1\rangle & \langle 2|\mathbf{H}^A|2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \sigma_0 + \frac{\Omega_A}{2} \sigma_A$$

Crank : $\vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A-D \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ Eigen-Spin : $\vec{S} = \begin{pmatrix} S_A \\ S_B \\ S_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \pm S \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$



Beat dynamics:



[BoxIt \(A-Type\) Web Simulation](#)

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states

Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, Balance $S_B = S_X$ and Chirality $S_C = S_Y$

Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics

→ *The “Great Spectral Avoided-Crossing” and A-to-B-to-A symmetry breaking*

Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics (*B*-Type motion)

Note phase or “gauge” angle γ is killed in $R(3)$ a^*a -squares but lives on in $U(2)$.




Fig. 3.4.5 Polarization variables (a) Stokes real-vector space (ABC) (b) Complex xy -spinor-space (x_1, x_2).




Fig. 3.4.6 Time evolution of a *B*-type beat. S -vector rotates from A to C to $-A$ to $-C$ and back to A .

The ABC's of $U(2)$ dynamics (B-Type motion)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1|\mathbf{H}|1\rangle & \langle 1|\mathbf{H}|2\rangle \\ \langle 2|\mathbf{H}|1\rangle & \langle 2|\mathbf{H}|2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From:
QTCA
Lect. 9(2.12)
p. 54

$$= \frac{A+D}{2} \mathbf{1} + B \sigma_B + C \sigma_C + \frac{A-D}{2} \sigma_A$$


$$= \frac{A+D}{2} \sigma_0 + \frac{\Omega_B}{2} \sigma_B + \frac{\Omega_C}{2} \sigma_C + \frac{\Omega_A}{2} \sigma_A$$

$$\vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A-D \\ 2B \\ 2C \end{pmatrix}$$




Bilateral-Balanced B-Type motion

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1|\mathbf{H}^B|1\rangle & \langle 1|\mathbf{H}^B|2\rangle \\ \langle 2|\mathbf{H}^B|1\rangle & \langle 2|\mathbf{H}^B|2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_0 & B \\ B & \Omega_0 \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_0 \sigma_0 + \frac{\Omega_B}{2} \sigma_B$$

Crank : $\vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2B \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ Eigen-Spin : $\vec{S} = \begin{pmatrix} S_A \\ S_B \\ S_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \pm S \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$



Beat dynamics:



[BoxIt \(B-Type\)
Web Simulation](#)

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states


Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, Balance $S_B = S_X$, and Chirality $S_C = S_Y$

Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics

→ *The “Great Spectral Avoided-Crossing” and A-to-B-to-A symmetry breaking*

Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics (C-Type motion)

Note phase or “gauge” angle γ is killed in $R(3)$ a^*a -squares but lives on in $U(2)$.



C (Chiral-circular-complex-Coriolis-cyclotron-curly...current-carrier...)




Fig. 3.4.7 Time evolution of a C-type beat. S -vector rotates from A to B to $-A$ to $-B$ and back to A .

Fig. 3.4.5 Polarization variables (a) Stokes real-vector space (ABC) (b) Complex xy-spinor-space (x_1, x_2).

The ABC's of $U(2)$ dynamics (C-Type motion)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1|\mathbf{H}|1\rangle & \langle 1|\mathbf{H}|2\rangle \\ \langle 2|\mathbf{H}|1\rangle & \langle 2|\mathbf{H}|2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From:
QTCA
Lect. 9(2.12)
p. 58

$$= \frac{A+D}{2} \mathbf{1} + B \sigma_B + C \sigma_C + \frac{A-D}{2} \sigma_A$$




$$= \frac{A+D}{2} \sigma_0 + \frac{\Omega_B}{2} \sigma_B + \frac{\Omega_C}{2} \sigma_C + \frac{\Omega_A}{2} \sigma_A$$

$$\vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A-D \\ 2B \\ 2C \end{pmatrix}$$



Circular-Coriolis... C-Type motion

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1|\mathbf{H}^C|1\rangle & \langle 1|\mathbf{H}^C|2\rangle \\ \langle 2|\mathbf{H}^C|1\rangle & \langle 2|\mathbf{H}^C|2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_0 & -iC \\ iC & \Omega_0 \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_0 \sigma_0 + \frac{\Omega_C}{2} \sigma_C$$

Crank : $\vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2C \end{pmatrix}$ Eigen-Spin : $\vec{S} = \begin{pmatrix} S_A \\ S_B \\ S_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \pm S \end{pmatrix}$



Beat dynamics:



[BoxIt \(C-Type\)
Web Simulation](#)

3D-real Stokes Vector defines 2D-HO polarization ellipses and spinor states

Asymmetry $S_A = S_Z$, Balance $S_B = S_X$ and Chirality $S_C = S_Y$

Polarization ellipse and spinor state dynamics

 *The “Great Spectral Avoided-Crossing” and A-to-B-to-A symmetry breaking*

The ABC's of $U(2)$ dynamics-Mixed modes (AB -Type motion)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1|\mathbf{H}|1\rangle & \langle 1|\mathbf{H}|2\rangle \\ \langle 2|\mathbf{H}|1\rangle & \langle 2|\mathbf{H}|2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + C \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

From:
QTCA
Lect. 9(2.12)
p.60

$$= \frac{A+D}{2} \mathbf{1} + B \sigma_B + C \sigma_C + \frac{A-D}{2} \sigma_A$$


$$= \frac{A+D}{2} \sigma_0 + \frac{\Omega_B}{2} \sigma_B + \frac{\Omega_C}{2} \sigma_C + \frac{\Omega_A}{2} \sigma_A$$

$$\vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A-D \\ 2B \\ 2C \end{pmatrix}$$


Tilted-plane polarization AB -Type motion

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle 1|\mathbf{H}^{AB}|1\rangle & \langle 1|\mathbf{H}^{AB}|2\rangle \\ \langle 2|\mathbf{H}^{AB}|1\rangle & \langle 2|\mathbf{H}^{AB}|2\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} = \frac{A+D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{A-D}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \Omega_0 \sigma_0 + \frac{\Omega_A}{2} \sigma_A + \frac{\Omega_B}{2} \sigma_B$$

$$\text{Crank : } \vec{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A-D \\ 2B \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Eigen-Spin : } \vec{S} = \pm \vec{S} \cdot \hat{\vec{\Omega}}$$



Beat dynamics:



[BoxIt \(AB-Type Motion\)](#)
[Web Simulation](#)

The Great Spectral “Avoided-Crossing”


A to B to A Symmetry breaking described by hyperbolic eigenvalues of $A\sigma_A + B\sigma_B = \mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} +A & B \\ B & -A \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} +A & B \\ B & -A \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Secular equation: } \varepsilon^2 - 0 \cdot \varepsilon - (A^2 + B^2) \text{ gives hyperbolic energy levels: } \varepsilon = \pm \sqrt{A^2 + B^2}$$


The Great Spectral “Avoided-Crossing”

A to B to A Symmetry breaking described by hyperbolic eigenvalues of $A\sigma_A + B\sigma_B = \mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} +A & B \\ B & -A \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} +A & B \\ B & -A \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Secular equation: } \varepsilon^2 - 0 \cdot \varepsilon - (A^2 + B^2) \text{ gives hyperbolic energy levels: } \varepsilon = \pm \sqrt{A^2 + B^2}$$



See also:
QTCA
Lect. 9(2.12)
p.61-66



Here we display eigenvalues and eigenvectors while holding B constant and varying A . Obviously it can be done vice-versa and with C , as well.

The Great Spectral “Avoided-Crossing”

A to B to A Symmetry breaking described by hyperbolic eigenvalues of $A\sigma_A + B\sigma_B = \mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} +A & B \\ B & -A \end{pmatrix}$

$$\mathbf{H} = \begin{pmatrix} +A & B \\ B & -A \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Secular equation: } \varepsilon^2 - 0 \cdot \varepsilon - (A^2 + B^2) \text{ gives hyperbolic energy levels: } \varepsilon = \pm \sqrt{A^2 + B^2}$$

These on-and-off resonance effects are key to:

Laser QCD

Relativistic QED


Quantum computing

Photosynthesis

and a whole lot of other things...

See also:
QTCA
Lect. 9(2.12)
p.61-66

Here we display eigenvalues and eigenvectors while holding B constant and varying A . Obviously it can be done vice-versa and with C , as well.



OBJECTIVE: Evaluate and (*most* important!) visualize matrix-exponent solutions.

*ABCD Time
evolution
operator*

Hamilton generalized Euler's expansion $e^{-i\Omega t} = \cos \Omega t - i \sin \Omega t$ so matrix exponential becomes powerful.

$$e^{-i\mathbf{H}\cdot t} = e^{-i\begin{pmatrix} A & B-iC \\ B+iC & D \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i\frac{A-D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iB\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - iC\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t - i\frac{A+D}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\cdot t} = e^{-i(\omega_0\sigma_0 + \vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\sigma})\cdot t} = e^{-i\omega_0\cdot t}(1\cos\omega\cdot t - i\sigma_\omega\sin\omega\cdot t)$$

$\sigma_A = \sigma_Z$ $\sigma_B = \sigma_X$ $\sigma_C = \sigma_Y$

where: $\vec{\phi} = \vec{\omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_A \\ \omega_B \\ \omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A-D}{2} \\ \frac{B}{2} \\ C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$ and: $\omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$

and: $\vec{\Theta} = \vec{\Omega} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_A \\ \Omega_B \\ \Omega_C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t = \begin{pmatrix} A-D \\ 2B \\ 2C \end{pmatrix} \cdot t$ and: $\Omega_0 = \frac{A+D}{2}$

Symmetry relations make spinors σ_X , σ_Y , and σ_Z or quaternions $\mathbf{i} = -i\sigma_X$, $\mathbf{j} = -i\sigma_Y$, and $\mathbf{k} = -i\sigma_Z$ powerful.

3D crank vector $\vec{\Theta} = \vec{\Omega} \cdot t$ and spin operator \mathbf{S} defines 3D ABC-rotation with ratio $\frac{1}{2}$ or 2 between Θ_a and $\varphi_a = \frac{1}{2}\Theta_a$ or between \mathbf{S} and $\sigma = 2\mathbf{S}$.


$$e^{-i\sigma\cdot\vec{\phi}} = e^{-i\sigma\cdot\vec{\Theta}/2} = e^{-i\mathbf{S}\cdot\vec{\Theta}} = \mathbf{1} \cos \frac{\Theta}{2} - i(\sigma \cdot \hat{\Theta}) \sin \frac{\Theta}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\Theta}{2} - i\hat{\Theta}_A \sin \frac{\Theta}{2} & (-i\hat{\Theta}_B - \hat{\Theta}_C) \sin \frac{\Theta}{2} \\ (-i\hat{\Theta}_B + \hat{\Theta}_C) \sin \frac{\Theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\Theta}{2} + i\hat{\Theta}_A \sin \frac{\Theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example 3:
Any $\Theta = \Omega t$ -axial
rotation

2D angle: $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}\Theta$

3D Crank vector: $\vec{\Theta} = \Theta\hat{\Theta} = 2\varphi_a\hat{\mathbf{a}} = 2\vec{\phi}$

2D spin matrix: $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2}\sigma$






The driving $\Theta = \Omega t$ vector is defined by the ABCD of Hamiltonian \mathbf{H} .

The driven spin vector \mathbf{S} defines the state. But, how?

Fig. 3.4.2 Two views of Hamilton crank vector $\Theta(\varphi, \vartheta)$ whirling Stokes state vector \mathbf{S} in ABC-space.

Euler $\mathbf{R}(\alpha\beta\gamma)$ versus Darboux $\mathbf{R}[\varphi\theta\Theta]$



$$\mathbf{R}(\alpha\beta\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\alpha}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} & -\sin\frac{\beta}{2} \\ \sin\frac{\beta}{2} & \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\gamma}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\frac{\gamma}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} & -e^{-i\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2}} \sin\frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2}} \sin\frac{\beta}{2} & e^{i\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \cos\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sin\frac{\gamma-\alpha}{2} \sin\frac{\beta}{2} - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\gamma-\alpha}{2} \sin\frac{\beta}{2} - i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sin\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2} \cos\frac{\beta}{2}$$

$\mathbf{R}(\alpha\beta\gamma)$ is simpler to form than Θ -axis Darboux $\mathbf{R}[\varphi\theta\Theta]$.

Euler state definition lets us relate $\mathbf{R}(\alpha\beta\gamma)$ to $\mathbf{R}[\varphi\theta\Theta]$...

$$|\alpha\beta\gamma\rangle = \mathbf{R}(\alpha\beta\gamma)|000\rangle \quad (\alpha\beta\gamma \text{ make better coordinates})$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} & -e^{-i\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2}} \sin\frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2}} \sin\frac{\beta}{2} & e^{i\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix}$$


$$x_1 = \boxed{\cos[(\gamma+\alpha)/2] \cos\beta/2}$$

$$-p_2 = \boxed{\sin[(\gamma-\alpha)/2] \sin\beta/2}$$

$$x_2 = \boxed{\cos[(\gamma-\alpha)/2] \sin\beta/2}$$

$$-p_1 = \boxed{\sin[(\gamma+\alpha)/2] \cos\beta/2}$$

From:
QTCA
Lect. 9(2.12)
(See p.5-23
there)



$$\mathbf{R}[\vec{\Theta}] = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\Theta}{2} - i\hat{\Theta}_Z \sin\frac{\Theta}{2} & -i\sin\frac{\Theta}{2}(\hat{\Theta}_X - i\hat{\Theta}_Y) \\ -i\sin\frac{\Theta}{2}(\hat{\Theta}_X + i\hat{\Theta}_Y) & \cos\frac{\Theta}{2} + i\hat{\Theta}_Z \sin\frac{\Theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{R}[\varphi\theta\Theta] = e^{-i\mathbf{H}t}$$

$$= \cos\frac{\Theta}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - i \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\cos\vartheta \quad \sin\vartheta} \hat{\Theta}_X \sin\frac{\Theta}{2} - i \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}}_{\sin\vartheta \quad \sin\vartheta} \hat{\Theta}_Y \sin\frac{\Theta}{2} - i \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\cos\vartheta} \hat{\Theta}_Z \sin\frac{\Theta}{2}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\Theta}{2} - i\cos\vartheta \sin\frac{\Theta}{2} & -\sin\frac{\Theta}{2}(\sin\vartheta \sin\vartheta + i\cos\vartheta \sin\vartheta) \\ \sin\frac{\Theta}{2}(\sin\vartheta \sin\vartheta - i\cos\vartheta \sin\vartheta) & \cos\frac{\Theta}{2} + i\cos\vartheta \sin\frac{\Theta}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\Theta/2 & \hat{\Theta}_X \sin\Theta/2 \\ \hat{\Theta}_Y \sin\Theta/2 & \hat{\Theta}_Z \sin\Theta/2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\vartheta \quad \sin\vartheta \quad \sin\Theta/2 \\ \sin\vartheta \quad \sin\vartheta \quad \sin\Theta/2 \\ \cos\vartheta \quad \cos\vartheta \quad \sin\Theta/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Ellipsometry using $U(2)$ symmetry coordinates

Conventional amp-phase ellipse coordinates related to Euler Angles ($\alpha\beta\gamma$)

2D elliptic frequency ω orbit has amplitudes A_1 and A_2 , and phase shifts ρ_1 and $\rho_2 = -\rho_1$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 e^{-i(\omega t + \rho_1)} \\ A_2 e^{-i(\omega t - \rho_1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$x_1 = A_1 \cos(\omega t + \rho_1)$
 $-p_1 = A_1 \sin(\omega t + \rho_1)$
 $x_2 = A_2 \cos(\omega t - \rho_1)$
 $-p_2 = A_2 \sin(\omega t - \rho_1)$

Let: $A_1 = A \cos \beta / 2$

 $A_2 = A \sin \beta / 2$

Real x_k and imaginary p_k parts of phasor amplitudes $a_k = x_k + ip_k$ depend on Euler angles ($\alpha\beta\gamma$) and A .

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 = A \cos \beta / 2 \cos[(\gamma + \alpha)/2] \\ -p_1 = A \cos \beta / 2 \sin[(\gamma + \alpha)/2] \\ x_2 = A \sin \beta / 2 \cos[(\gamma - \alpha)/2] \\ -p_2 = A \sin \beta / 2 \sin[(\gamma - \alpha)/2] \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Ae^{-i\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \\ Ae^{i\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2}} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let: $\omega t + \rho_1 = (\gamma + \alpha)/2$

 $\omega t - \rho_1 = (\gamma - \alpha)/2$

$$\tan \beta / 2 = A_2 / A_1 \quad A^2 = A_1^2 + A_2^2$$

$$\alpha = 2\rho_1 \quad \gamma = 2\omega \cdot t$$

Euler parameters (α, β, γ, A) in terms of *amp-phase parameters* ($A_1, A_2, \omega t, \rho_1$)

$$\begin{pmatrix} Ae^{-i\frac{\alpha+\gamma}{2}} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \\ Ae^{i\frac{\alpha-\gamma}{2}} \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 e^{-i(\omega t + \rho_1)} \\ A_2 e^{-i(\omega t - \rho_1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + ip_1 \\ x_2 + ip_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

See also:
 QTCA
 Lect. 9(2.12)
 See pp. 96-104