

Class YouTube Channel

Lecture 6 Mon. 9.16.2019

Geometry of common power-law potentials Geometric (Power) series "Zig-Zag" exponential geometry *Projective or perspective geometry* Parabolic geometry of harmonic oscillator kr²/2 potential and -kr¹ force fields *Coulomb geometry of -1/r-potential and -1/r²-force fields* Compare mks units of Coulomb Electrostatic vs. Gravity Geometry of idealized "Sophomore-physics Earth" Coulomb field <u>outside</u> Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator (IHO) field inside *Contact-geometry of potential curve(s)* "Crushed-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels" Earth matter vs nuclear matter: Introducing the "neutron starlet" and "Black-Hole-Earth" Introducing 2D IHO orbits and phasor geometry Phasor "clock" geometry



Class YouTube Channel

Lecture 6 Mon. 9.16.2019

Geometry of common power-law potentials Geometric (Power) series "Zig-Zag" exponential geometry *Projective or perspective geometry* Parabolic geometry of harmonic oscillator kr²/2 potential and -kr¹ force fields *Coulomb geometry of -1/r-potential and -1/r²-force fields* Compare mks units of Coulomb Electrostatic vs. Gravity Geometry of idealized "Sophomore-physics Earth" Coulomb field <u>outside</u> Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator (IHO) field inside *Contact-geometry of potential curve(s)* "Crushed-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels" Earth matter vs nuclear matter: Introducing the 'neutron starlet" and "Black-Hole-Earth" **MONSTERS!** Introducing 2D IHO orbits and phasor geometry Phasor "clock" geometry



Class YouTube Channel

Lecture 6 Mon. 9.16.2019

Geometry of common power-law potentials Geometric (Power) series "Zig-Zag" exponential geometry *Projective or perspective geometry* Parabolic geometry of harmonic oscillator kr²/2 potential and -kr¹ force fields *Coulomb geometry of -1/r-potential and -1/r²-force fields* Compare mks units of Coulomb Electrostatic vs. Gravity Geometry of idealized "Sophomore-physics Earth" Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator (IHO) field inside Coulomb field <u>outside</u> Contact-geometry of potential curve(s) "Crushed-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels" Earth matter vs nuclear matter: Introducing the 'neutron starlet" and "Black-Hole-Earth" **MONSTERS!** Introducing 2D IHO orbits w geometry Phasor "clock" geometry This year it's Hurricane Dorian? Hurricane Dorian is so strong it's showing up on seismometers - equipment designed to measure earthquakes.

This Lecture's Reference Link Listing

Web Resources - front page UAF Physics UTube channel Quantum Theory for the Computer Age Principles of Symmetry, Dynamics, and Spectroscopy <u>Classical Mechanics with a Bang!</u> Modern Physics and its Classical Foundations 2017 Group Theory for QM 2018 Adv CM 2018 AMOP 2019 Advanced Mechanics

Lecture #6

<u>RelaWavity Web Simulation: Contact Ellipsometry</u> <u>BoxIt Web Simulation: Elliptical Motion (A-Type)</u> <u>CMwBang Course: Site Title Page</u> <u>Pirelli Relativity Challenge: Describing Wave Motion With Complex Phasors</u> <u>UAF Physics UTube channel</u>

These *AIC* hot off the presses. Out in MISC for quick reference. Sorting ultracold atoms in a three-dimensional optical lattice in a realization of Maxwell's Demon - Kumar-n-2018 Synthetic three-dimensional atomic structures assembled atom by atom - Barredo-n-2018 Older ones: Wave-particle_duality_of_C60_molecules - Arndt-Itn-1999

Optical Vortex Knots - One Photon At A Time - Tempone-Wiltshire-Sr-2018

References that did not make Lect 5, but that may have an affinity for the <u>Independent Bounce Model covered there in:</u> <u>Baryon_Deceleration_by_Strong_Chromofields_in_Ultrarelativistic_Nuclear_Collisions - Mishustin-PhysRevC-2007,</u> <u>APS Link & Abstract</u>

They treat collisions between ultrahigh energy nuclei as pair-wise independent collisions between "baryonic slabs"

Some Nuclei Hadronic material may behave or in times of extreme perturbation collapse or react as if it were comprised of clumps, of a size or composition more favorable, say: NP(<u>D</u>euterium), He(Alpha), Carbon, ...

<u>Hadronic Molecules - Guo-x-2017</u> <u>Hidden-charm pentaguark and tetraguark states - Chen-pr-2016</u>

One might want to check spectra or design and observe collisions to look for evidence of compositional rotation and vibration structure, that more complex phenomena that we are just now acquiring the tools and techniques needed to describe and handle, and will be even better covered in our next <u>Atomic, Molecular, Optical (AMO)</u> or <u>Group Theory (GT)</u> offering.

A lot of cool tools for handling such composite Molecular behavior, and internalize as a natural continuation of the Geometric approach that we take here in this course.

Running Reference Link Listing



Velocity Amplification in Collision Experiments Involving Superballs - Harter, 1971 <u>MIT OpenCourseWare: High School/Physics/Impulse and Momentum</u> <u>Hubble Site: Supernova - SN 1987A</u>

BounceIt Web Animation - Scenarios:

1-Ball dropped w/Gravity=0.5 w/Potential Plot: <u>Power=1</u> , <u>Power=4</u> 7:1 - V vs V Plot: Power=1 3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4 3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1 3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4 4-Ball Stack (27:9:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4 4-Newton's Balls (1:1:1:1) w/Newtonian plot (y vs t) - Power=4 w/Gaps 6-Ball Totally Inelastic (1:1:1:1:1) w/Gaps: <u>Newtonian plot (t vs x)</u> , <u>V6 vs V5 plot</u> 5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps 1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps	Generic Scenario: <u>2-Balls dropped no Gravity (7:1) - V vs V Plot (Power=4)</u>
7:1 - V vs V Plot: Power=1 3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4 3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1 3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1 w/Gaps 4-Ball Stack (27:9:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4 4-Newton's Balls (1:1:1:1) w/Newtonian plot (y vs t) - Power=4 w/Gaps 6-Ball Totally Inelastic (1:1:1:1:1) w/Gaps: <u>Newtonian plot (t vs x)</u> , <u>V6 vs V5 plot</u> 5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps 1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps	1-Ball dropped w/Gravity=0.5 w/Potential Plot: <u>Power=1</u> , <u>Power=4</u>
3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4 3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1 3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1 w/Gaps 4-Ball Stack (27:9:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4 4-Newton's Balls (1:1:1:1) w/Newtonian plot (y vs t) - Power=4 w/Gaps 6-Ball Totally Inelastic (1:1:1:1:1) w/Gaps: <u>Newtonian plot (t vs x)</u> , <u>V6 vs V5 plot</u> 5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps 1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps	7:1 - V vs V Plot: Power=1
3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1 3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1 w/Gaps 4-Ball Stack (27:9:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4 4-Newton's Balls (1:1:1:1) w/Newtonian plot (y vs t) - Power=4 w/Gaps 6-Ball Totally Inelastic (1:1:1:1:1) w/Gaps: <u>Newtonian plot (t vs x)</u> , <u>V6 vs V5 plot</u> 5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps 1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps	<u>3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4</u>
3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1 w/Gaps 4-Ball Stack (27:9:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4 4-Newton's Balls (1:1:1) w/Newtonian plot (y vs t) - Power=4 w/Gaps 6-Ball Totally Inelastic (1:1:1:1:1) w/Gaps: <u>Newtonian plot (t vs x)</u> , <u>V6 vs V5 plot</u> 5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps 1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps	<u>3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1</u>
 4-Ball Stack (27:9:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4 4-Newton's Balls (1:1:1:1) w/Newtonian plot (y vs t) - Power=4 w/Gaps 6-Ball Totally Inelastic (1:1:1:1:1) w/Gaps: Newtonian plot (t vs x), V6 vs V5 plot 5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps 1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps 	<u>3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1 w/Gaps</u>
 <u>4-Newton's Balls (1:1:1:1) w/Newtonian plot (y vs t) - Power=4 w/Gaps</u> <u>6-Ball Totally Inelastic (1:1:1:1:1) w/Gaps: Newtonian plot (t vs x), V6 vs V5 plot</u> <u>5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps</u> <u>1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps</u> 	<u>4-Ball Stack (27:9:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4</u>
6-Ball Totally Inelastic (1:1:1:1:1) w/Gaps: <u>Newtonian plot (t vs x)</u> , <u>V6 vs V5 plot</u> <u>5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps</u> <u>1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps</u>	4-Newton's Balls (1:1:1:1) w/Newtonian plot (y vs t) - Power=4 w/Gaps
<u>5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps</u> <u>1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps</u>	6-Ball Totally Inelastic (1:1:1:1:1) w/Gaps: <u>Newtonian plot (t vs x)</u> , <u>V6 vs V5 plot</u>
<u>1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps</u>	5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps
	<u>1-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Vx2 vs Vx1 plot w/Gaps</u>

BounceItIt Web Animation - Scenarios:

49:1 y vs t, 49:1 V2 vs V1, 1:500:1 - 1D Gas Model w/ faux restorative force (Cool),
1:500:1 - 1D Gas (Warm), 1:500:1 - 1D Gas Model (Cool, Zoomed in),
Farey Sequence - Wolfram
Fractions - Ford-AMM-1938
Monstermash BounceItIt Animations:
<u>1000:1 - V2 vs V1, 1000:1 with t vs x - Minkowski Plot</u>
Quantum Revivals of Morse Oscillators and Farey-Ford Geometry - Li-Harter-2013
Quantum Revivals of Morse Oscillators and Farey-Ford Geometry - Li-Harter-cpl-2015
Quant. Revivals of Morse Oscillators and Farey-Ford Geom Harter-Li-CPL-2015 (Publ.)
Velocity_Amplification_in_Collision_Experiments_Involving_Superballs-Harter-1971
WaveIt Web Animation - Scenarios:
Quantum_Carpet, Quantum_Carpet_wMBars,
Quantum Carpet BCar, Quantum Carpet BCar wMBars
Wave Node Dynamics and Revival Symmetry in Quantum Rotors - Harter-JMS-2001

Wave Node Dynamics and Revival Symmetry in Quantum Rotors - Harter-jms-2001 (Publ.)

Bounce	lt L	Dual	l pl	lots
			-	

 $m_1:m_2 = 3:1$ $v_2 v_3 v_1 and V_2 v_3 V_1, (v_1, v_2) = (1, 0.1), (v_1, v_2) = (1, 0)$ $y_2 v_3 y_1 plots: (v_1, v_2) = (1, 0.1), (v_1, v_2) = (1, 0), (v_1, v_2) = (1, -1)$ Estrangian plot $V_2 v_3 V_1$: $(v_1, v_2) = (0, 1), (v_1, v_2) = (1, -1)$

$m_1:m_2 = 4:1$

 $\frac{v2 vs vl}{m_1:m_2 = 100:1, (v_1, v_2) = (1, 0): V2 vs V1 Estrangian plot, v2 vs v1 plot}$

With g=0 and 70:10 mass ratio

With non zero g, velocity dependent damping and mass ratio of 70:35
$M_1=49, M_2=1$ with Newtonian time plot
$M_1=49$, $M_2=1$ with V_2 vs V_1 plot
Example with friction
Low force constant with drag displaying a Pass-thru, Fall-Thru, Bounce-Off
m1:m2=3:1 and $(v1, v2) = (1, 0)$ Comparison with Estrangian

AJP article on superball dynamics
AAPT Summer Reading List
Scitation.org - AIP publications
HarterSoft Youtube Channel

X2 paper: Velocity Amplification in Collision Experiments Involving Superballs - Harter, et. al. 1971 (pdf)
Car Collision Web Simulator: <u>https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/CMMotionWeb.html</u>
Superball Collision Web Simulator: <u>https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/BounceItWeb.html</u> ; with Scenarios: <u>1007</u>
BounceIt web simulation with $g=0$ and 70:10 mass ratio
With non zero g, velocity dependent damping and mass ratio of 70:35
Elastic Collision Dual Panel Space vs Space: Space vs Time (Newton), Time vs. Space(Minkowski)
Inelastic Collision Dual Panel Space vs Space: Space vs Time (Newton), Time vs. Space(Minkowski)
Matrix Collision Simulator: $M_1 = 49$, $M_2 = 1$, V_2 vs V_1 plot $\leq Construction >>$

More <u>Advanced QM and classical references at the end of this Lecture</u>

Geometry of common power-law potentials

 Geometric (Power) series
 "Zig-Zag" exponential geometry Projective or perspective geometry
 Parabolic geometry of harmonic oscillator kr²/2 potential and -kr¹ force fields
 Coulomb geometry of -1/r-potential and -1/r²-force fields
 Compare mks units of Coulomb Electrostatic vs. Gravity





























So far we mostly use Toolbox (a-b)

What follows uses Toolbox (c) ...

...and Toolbox (d)



Geometry of common power-law potentials

Geometric (Power) series
 "Zig-Zag" exponential geometry
 Projective or perspective geometry
 Parabolic geometry of harmonic oscillator kr²/2 potential and -kr¹ force fields
 Coulomb geometry of -1/r-potential and -1/r²-force fields
 Compare mks units of Coulomb Electrostatic vs. Gravity





Geometry of common power-law potentials

Geometric (Power) series
 "Zig-Zag" exponential geometry
 Projective or perspective geometry
 → Parabolic geometry of harmonic oscillator kr²/2 potential and -kr¹ force fields
 Coulomb geometry of -1/r-potential and -1/r²-force fields
 Compare mks units of Coulomb Electrostatic vs. Gravity

Each $y=x^2$ parabola point found by just one "Zig-Zag"1. Pick an (x=?)-line2. "Zig" from its y=x3. "Zag" from originintersection to x=1 lineback to (x=?)-line







"Zag" line is $y=(?) \cdot x$ and hits (x=?)-line at $y=(?) \cdot (?)=(?)^2$

















A more conventional parabolic geometry...(uses focal point)



Unit 1 Fig. 9.3

A more conventional parabolic geometry...



[†]Old term *latus rectum* is exclusive copyright of *X-Treme Roidrage Gyms* Venice Beach, CA 90017

Unit 1 Fig. 9.3
...conventional parabolic geometry...carried to extremes...



Unit 1 Fig. 9.4

Geometry of common power-law potentials

Geometric (Power) series
"Zig-Zag" exponential geometry
Projective or perspective geometry
Parabolic geometry of harmonic oscillator kr²/2 potential and -kr¹ force fields
Coulomb geometry of -1/r-potential and -1/r²-force fields
Compare mks units of Coulomb Electrostatic vs. Gravity



Step2 : Follow line from origin (0,0) through (x,-1/x) point \oplus to $(+1,-1/x^2)$ intercept. Transfer laterally to draw $(x,-1/x^2)$ point.

Unit 1 Fig. 9.4

Coulomb geometry Force and Potential $F(x)=-1/r^2$ U(x)=-1/r







Geometry of common power-law potentials

Geometric (Power) series "Zig-Zag" exponential geometry Projective or perspective geometry Parabolic geometry of harmonic oscillator kr²/2 potential and -kr¹ force fields Coulomb geometry of -1/r-potential and -1/r²-force fields Compare mks units of Coulomb Electrostatic vs. Gravity

$$F^{elec.}(r) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \quad where: \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong \underbrace{?.?\cdot 10^?}_{per \ square \ Coulomb} \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per \ square \ Coulomb}$$



 $\frac{Compare \ mks \ units \ for \ Coulomb \ fields}{1. \ Electrostatic \ force \ between \ q(Coulombs) \ and \ Q(C.) \ ||||}$ $F^{elec.}(r) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \ where: \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per \ square \ Coulomb}$ $More \ precise \ value \ for \ electrostatic \ constant: 1/4\pi\varepsilon_0 = 8.987,551 \cdot 10^9 \text{Nm}^2/\text{C}^2 \sim 9 \cdot 10^9 \sim 10^{10}$ $quantum \ of \ charge: \ |e|=1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19} \ Coulomb$ $more \ precise \ (+)(+) \ or \ (-)(-)$

Attractive (+)(-) or (-)(+)

Compare mks units for Coulomb fields1. Electrostatic force between q(Coulombs) and Q(C.) !!!!! $F^{elec.}(r) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \quad where: \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per square Coulomb}$ More precise value for electrostatic constant : $1/4\pi\varepsilon_0 = 8.987,551 \cdot 10^9 \text{Nm}^2/\text{C}^2 \sim 9 \cdot 10^9 \sim 10^{10}$ $(+) \quad (+) \quad (-) \quad \dots \quad (-) \quad (-) \quad \dots \quad (-) \quad \dots \quad (-) \quad (-) \quad \dots \quad (-) \quad \dots \quad (-) \quad (-) \quad (-) \quad (-) \quad \dots \quad (-) \quad (-$



 $F^{elec.}(r) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \quad where: \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per square Coulomb}$ $More \ precise \ value \ for \ electrostatic \ constant: \ 1/4\pi\varepsilon_0 = 8.987,551 \cdot 10^9 Nm^2/C^2 \sim 9 \cdot 10^9 \sim 10^{10}$ $quantum \ of \ charge: \ |e| = 1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19} \ Coulomb$ $Repulsive \ (+)(+) \ or \ (-)(+)$ $\dots but \ 1 \ Ampere = 1 \ Coulomb/sec.$

"Fingertip Physics" of Ch. 9 notes that 1 (cm)³ = 1gm of water (1/18 Mole) has (1/18) $6 \cdot 10^{23}$ molecules or ~ $3 \cdot 10^{23}$ electrons ~ $0.3 \cdot 10^{23}$ and ~ $3 \cdot 10^{23}$ protrons.



 $F^{elec.}(r) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \quad where : \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per square Coulomb}$ $More \ precise \ value \ for \ electrostatic \ constant : 1/4\pi\varepsilon_0 = 8.987,551 \cdot 10^9 Nm^2/C^2 \sim 9 \cdot 10^9 \sim 10^{10}$ $quantum \ of \ charge: \ |e| = 1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19} \ Coulomb$ $mutum \ of \ charge: \ |e| = 1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19} \ Coulomb$ $mutum \ of \ charge: \ |e| = 1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19} \ Coulomb$

"Fingertip Physics" of Ch. 9 notes that 1 (cm)³ =1gm of water (1/18 Mole) has (1/18) $6 \cdot 10^{23}$ molecules or ~ $3 \cdot 10^{23}$ electrons ~ $0.3 \cdot 10^{23}$ and ~ $3 \cdot 10^{23}$ protrons.

 H_2O Molecular weight~18 Atomic number = 10

10 electronsThat is $\sim -3 \cdot 10^{23} 1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19}$ Coulomb or about $-0.5 \cdot 10^{+5}$ C or -50,000 Coulomb10 protonsplus $\sim +3 \cdot 10^{23} 1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19}$ Coulomb or about $+0.5 \cdot 10^{+5}$ C or +50,000 CoulombEquals zero total charge



2. Gravitational force between m(kilograms) and M(kg.)

 $F^{grav.}(r) = -G\frac{mM}{r^2} \quad where: G = \underbrace{?.? \cdot 10?}_{per \ square \ Coulomb} \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per \ square \ Coulomb}$



2. Gravitational force between m(kilograms) and M(kg.) !!!! $\sim \frac{2}{310} - 10 \sim 10^{-10}$ $F^{grav.}(r) = -G \frac{mM}{r^2}$ where : $G = 0.000,000,000,000,067 \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per square Coulomb}$

More precise value for gravitational constant : $G = 6.67384(80) \cdot 10^{-11} \text{Nm}^2/\text{C}^2 \sim (2/3)10^{-10}$

Repulsive (+)(+) or (-)(-)

Attractive (+)(-) or (-)(+)

$$F^{elec.}(r) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \quad where: \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per \ square \ Coulomb}$$

quantum of charge: $|e|=1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19}$ Coulomb

Discussion of repulsive force and PE in Ch. 9...

1(a). Electrostatic potential energy between q(Coulombs) and Q(C.) $U(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r} \quad \text{where} : \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Joule}{per square Coulomb}$

$$F^{elec.}(r) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \quad where: \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per \ square \ Coulomb}$$

quantum of charge: $|e|=1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19}$ Coulomb

Repulsive (+)(+) or (-)(-) Attractive (+)(-) or (-)(+)

Discussion of repulsive force and PE in Ch. 9...

1(a). Electrostatic potential energy between q(Coulombs) and Q(C.) $U(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r} \quad where : \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Joule}{per square Coulomb}$

Nuclear size ~ 10^{-15} m = 1 femtometer = 1 fm

Atomic size ~ 1 Angstrom = 10^{-10} m



$$F^{elec.}(r) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \quad where: \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per \ square \ Coulomb}$$

quantum of charge: $|e|=1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19}$ Coulomb

Repulsive (+)(+) or (-)(-) Attractive (+)(-) or (-)(+)

Discussion of repulsive force and PE in Ch. 9...

1(a). Electrostatic potential energy between q(Coulombs) and Q(C)

 $U(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r} \quad where : \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Joule}{per \ square \ Coulomb}$ Nuclear size ~ 10⁻¹⁵ m = 1 femtometer = 1fm Big molecule ~ 10 Angstrom = 10⁻¹⁰ m Big molecule ~ 10 Angstrom = 10⁻⁹ m = 1nanometer=1nm



$$F^{elec.}(r) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \quad where: \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per \ square \ Coulomb}$$

quantum of charge: $|e|=1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19}$ Coulomb

Repulsive (+)(+) or (-)(-) Attractive (+)(-) or (-)(+)

Discussion of repulsive force and PE in Ch. 9...

1(a). Electrostatic potential energy between q(Coulombs) and Q(C)



$$F^{elec.}(r) = \pm \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r^2} \quad where: \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Newtons \cdot meter \cdot square}{per \ square \ Coulomb}$$

quantum of charge: $|e|=1.6022 \cdot 10^{-19}$ Coulomb

Repulsive (+)(+) or (-)(-) Attractive (+)(-) or (-)(+)

Discussion of repulsive force and PE in Ch. 9...

1(a). Electrostatic potential energy between q(Coulombs) and Q(C)

 $U(r) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qQ}{r} \quad where : \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \cong 9,000,000,000 \frac{Joule}{per \ square \ Coulomb}$ Nuclear size ~ 10⁻¹⁵ m = 1 femtometer = 1fm $Atomic \ size ~ 1 \ Angstrom = 10^{-10} \ m$ Big molecule ~ 10 Angstrom = 10⁻⁹ m = 1 nanometer = 1nm $also: 1fm = 10^{-13} \ cm = 1Fermi$

nuclear radii are 100,000 to 1,000,000 times smaller than atomic/chemical radii

...so nuclear qQ/r energy 100,000 to 1,000,000 times *larger* than that of atomic/chemical...

Geometry of idealized "Sophomore-physics Earth" Coulomb field <u>outside</u> Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator (IHO) field <u>inside</u> Contact-geometry of potential curve(s) "Crushed-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels" Earth matter vs nuclear matter: Introducing the "neutron starlet" and "Black-Hole-Earth"







Coulomb force vanishes inside-spherical shell (Gauss-law) Unit 1 Fig. 9.6 Shell mass element $m = (solid-angle factor A) d^2$ You are You are *Gravity at \mathbf{\gamma}* Here! Here! due to shell mass elements (...and (-) <u>GM</u> - <u>Gm</u> weightless! $D^{\overline{2}}$ $A = \frac{d\Omega}{\sin\Theta}$ r $(\frac{D^{2}}{D^{2}} - \frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}})A = 0$ Cancellation of 0 DΘ Shell mass element $M = (solid-angle factor A)D^2$ Coulomb force inside-spherical body due to stuff <u>below</u> you, <u>only</u>. Gravitational force at $r_{<}$ is *Note:* just that of planet below r_{-} *Hooke's (linear) force law for r< inside uniform body* $M_{<}$ $r_{<}$ $F^{inside}(r_{<}) = G \frac{mM_{<}}{r_{<}^{2}} = Gm \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{M_{<}}{\frac{4\pi}{3}r_{<}^{3}} r_{<} = Gm \frac{4\pi}{3} \rho_{\oplus} r_{<} = mg \frac{r_{<}}{R_{\oplus}} \equiv mg \cdot x$ Earth surface gravity acceleration: $g = G \frac{M_{\oplus}}{R_{\oplus}^2} = G \frac{M_{\oplus}}{R_{\oplus}^3} R_{\oplus} = G \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{M_{\oplus}}{\frac{4\pi}{3}} R_{\oplus} = G \frac{4\pi}{3} \rho_{\oplus} R_{\oplus} = 9.8 m / s$ $G = 6.67384(80) \cdot 10^{-11} Nm^2/C^2 \sim (2/3)10^{-10}$ Earth radius : $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^6 m \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^6 m$ Solar radius : $R_{\odot} = 6.955 \times 10^8 m. \simeq 7.0 \cdot 10^8 m.$ Earth mass : $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg. \simeq 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg.$ Solar mass: $M_{\odot} = 1.9889 \times 10^{30} kg. \simeq 2.0 \cdot 10^{30} kg.$

Geometry of idealized "Sophomore-physics Earth" Coulomb field <u>outside</u> Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator (IHO) field <u>inside</u> Contact-geometry of potential curve(s) "Crushed-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels" Earth matter vs nuclear matter: Introducing the "neutron starlet" and "Black-Hole-Earth"

The ideal "Sophomore-Physics-Earth" model of geo-gravity



...conventional parabolic geometry...carried to extremes...

(From p.18)



Unit 1 Fig. 9.4

Geometry of idealized "Sophomore-physics Earth" Coulomb field <u>outside</u> Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator (IHO) field <u>inside</u> *Contact-geometry of potential curve(s) Crushed-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels"* Earth matter vs nuclear matter:

Introducing the "neutron starlet" and "Black-Hole-Earth"


































Geometry of idealized "Sophomore-physics Earth" Coulomb field <u>outside</u> Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator (IHO) field <u>inside</u> Contact-geometry of potential curve(s) "Crushed-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels" Earth matter vs nuclear matter:

Introducing the "neutron starlet" and "Black-Hole-Earth"

Earth matter Earth mass: $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg \approx 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg$. Density $\rho_{\oplus} = ??$ Earth radius: $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^6 m \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^6 m$ Earth volume: $(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^3 \approx 4 \cdot 260 \cdot 10^{18} \sim 10^{21} m^3$

 $(6.4)^3 \sim 262$ and $(4\pi/3)^2 = 1098 \sim 10^3$

$$\rho_{\oplus} = \frac{M_{\oplus}}{(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^{3}}$$

Earth matter Earth mass: $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg. \approx 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg.$ Density $\rho_{\oplus} \sim 6.0 \cdot 10^{24-21} \sim 6 \cdot 10^{3} kg/m^{3}$ Earth radius: $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^{6} m \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^{6} m$ Earth volume: $(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^{3} \approx 4 \cdot 260 \cdot 10^{18} \sim 10^{21} m^{3}$

 $(6.4)^3 \sim 262$ and $(4\pi/3)^2 = 1089 \sim 10^3$

Examples of "crushed" matter $\rho_{\oplus} = \frac{M_{\oplus}}{(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^{3}}$ Earth matter Earth mass : $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg$. $\cong 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg$. Density $\rho_{\oplus} \sim 6.0 \cdot 10^{24-21} \sim 6 \cdot 10^{3} kg/m^{3}$ Earth radius : $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^{6} m \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^{6} m$ Earth volume : $(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^{3} \approx 4 \cdot 260 \cdot 10^{18} \sim 10^{21} m^{3}$

 $(6.4)^3 \sim 262$ and $(4\pi/3)^2 = 1089 \sim 10^3$

Density of solid Fe= $7.9 \cdot 10^3$ kg/m³ Density of liquid Fe= $6.9 \cdot 10^3$ kg/m³

$$\rho_{\oplus} = \frac{M_{\oplus}}{(4\pi/3)R_{\odot}}$$

Earth matter Earth mass: $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg. \simeq 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg.$ Density $\rho_{\oplus} \sim 6.0 \cdot 10^{24-21} \sim 6 \cdot 10^{3} kg/m^{3}$ Earth radius: $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^{6} m \simeq 6.4 \cdot 10^{6} m$ Earth volume: $(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^{3} \simeq 4 \cdot 260 \cdot 10^{18} \sim 10^{21} m^{3}$

Nuclear matter Nucleon mass = $1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} kg \sim 2 \cdot 10^{-27} kg$. ("fingertip physics") Say a nucleus of atomic weight 50 has a radius of 3 fm, or 50 nucleons each with a mass $2 \cdot 10^{-27} kg$.

$$\rho_{\oplus} = \frac{M_{\oplus}}{(4\pi/3)R_{e}}$$

Earth matter Earth mass: $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg \approx 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg$. Density $\rho_{\oplus} \sim 6.0 \cdot 10^{24-21} \sim 6 \cdot 10^{3} kg/m^{3}$ Earth radius: $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^{6} m \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^{6} m$ Earth volume: $(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^{3} \approx 4 \cdot 260 \cdot 10^{18} \sim 10^{21} m^{3}$

Nuclear matter Nucleon mass = $1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} kg$. ("fingertip physics") Say a nucleus of atomic weight 50 has a radius of 3 fm, or 50 nucleons each with a mass $2 \cdot 10^{-27} kg$. That's $100 \cdot 10^{-27} = 10^{-25} kg$ packed into a volume of $\frac{4\pi}{3}r^3 = \frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{(3 \cdot 10^{-15})^3}{3} m^3$ or about $10^{-43} m^3$. $\frac{4\pi}{3^2 = 36\pi = 113 \sim 10^2} 10^{-45}$

$$\rho_{\oplus} = \frac{M_{\oplus}}{(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}}$$

Earth matter Earth mass: $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg \approx 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg$. Density $\rho_{\oplus} \sim 6.0 \cdot 10^{24-21} \sim 6 \cdot 10^{3} kg/m^{3}$ Earth radius: $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^{6} m \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^{6} m$ Earth volume: $(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^{3} \approx 4 \cdot 260 \cdot 10^{18} \sim 10^{21} m^{3}$

Nuclear matter Nucleon mass = $1.67 \cdot 10^{-27}$ kg.~ $2 \cdot 10^{-27}$ kg. ("fingertip physics") Say a nucleus of atomic weight 50 has a radius of 3 fm, or 50 nucleons each with a mass $2 \cdot 10^{-27}$ kg. That's $100 \cdot 10^{-27} = 10^{-25}$ kg packed into a volume of $\frac{4\pi}{3}r^3 = \frac{4\pi}{3} (3 \cdot 10^{-15})^3 m^3$ or about $10^{-43} m^3$. Nuclear density is $10^{-25+43} = 10^{18}$ kg /m³ or a trillion (10^{12}) kilograms in a fingertip(1cm)³. $(1cm)^3 = (10^{-2}m)^3 = 10^{-6}m^3$

$$\rho_{\oplus} = \frac{M_{\oplus}}{(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}}$$

Earth matter Earth mass: $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg \approx 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg$. Density $\rho_{\oplus} \sim 6.0 \cdot 10^{24-21} \sim 6 \cdot 10^{3} kg/m^{3}$ Earth radius: $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^{6} m \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^{6} m$ Earth volume: $(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^{3} \approx 4 \cdot 260 \cdot 10^{18} \sim 10^{21} m^{3}$

Nuclear matter Nucleon mass =1.67 · 10 · 27kg. ~ 2 · 10 · 27kg. ("fingertip physics") Say a nucleus of atomic weight 50 has a radius of 3 fm, or 50 nucleons each with a mass 2 · 10 · 27kg. That's $100 \cdot 10^{-27} = 10^{-25}$ kg packed into a volume of $\frac{4\pi}{3}r^3 = \frac{4\pi}{3} (3 \cdot 10^{-15})^3 m^3$ or about $10^{-43} m^3$. Nuclear density is $10^{-25+43} = 10^{18}$ kg /m³ or a trillion (10¹²) kilograms in a fingertip(1cm)³. Earth radius crushed by a factor of $0.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ toR_{crush⊕} ~ 300m would approach neutron-star density.



Geometry and algebra of idealized "Sophomore-physics Earth" fields Coulomb field <u>outside</u> Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator (IHO) field <u>inside</u> *Contact-geometry of potential curve(s) and "kite" geometry* "Ordinary-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels" "Crushed-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels" Earth matter vs nuclear matter:



Introducing the "neutron starlet"

Fantasizing a "Black-Hole-Earth"

$$\rho_{\oplus} = \frac{M_{\oplus}}{(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^3}$$

Earth matter Earth mass: $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg. \approx 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg.$ Density $\rho_{\oplus} \sim 6.0 \cdot 10^{24-21} \sim 6 \cdot 10^{3} kg/m^{3}$ Earth radius: $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^{6} m \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^{6} m$ Earth volume: $(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^{3} \approx 4 \cdot 260 \cdot 10^{18} \sim 10^{21} m^{3}$

Nuclear matter Nucleon mass = $1.67 \cdot 10^{-27} kg \sim 2 \cdot 10^{-27} kg$. Say a nucleus of atomic weight 50 has a radius of 3 fm, or 50 nucleons each with a mass $2 \cdot 10^{-27} kg$. That's $100 \cdot 10^{-27} = 10^{-25} kg$ packed into a volume of $\frac{4\pi}{3}r^3 = \frac{4\pi}{3} (3 \cdot 10^{-15})^3 m^3$ or about $10^{-43} m^3$. Nuclear density is $10^{-25+43} = 10^{18} kg / m^3$ or a trillion (10^{12}) kilograms in the size of a fingertip. Earth radius crushed by a factor of $0.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ to $R_{crush\oplus} \approx 300m$ would approach neutron-star density.

Introducing the "Neutron starlet" 1 cm³ of nuclear matter: mass = 10^{12} kg.

Geometry and algebra of idealized "Sophomore-physics Earth" fields Coulomb field <u>outside</u> Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator (IHO) field <u>inside</u> *Contact-geometry of potential curve(s) and "kite" geometry* "Ordinary-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels" "Crushed-Earth" models: 3 key energy "steps" and 4 key energy "levels" Earth matter vs nuclear matter: Introducing the "neutron starlet"



Fantasizing a "Black-Hole-Earth"

Earth matter Earth mass : $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg$. $\approx 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg$. Density $\rho_{\oplus} \sim 6.0 \cdot 10^{24-21} \sim 6 \cdot 10^{3} kg/m^{3}$ Earth radius: $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^6 m \simeq 6.4 \cdot 10^6 m$ Earth volume: $(4\pi / 3)R_{\oplus}^3 \simeq 4 \cdot 260 \cdot 10^{18} \sim 10^{21} m^3$

Nuclear matter Nucleon mass = $1.67 \cdot 10^{-27}$ kg.~ $2 \cdot 10^{-27}$ kg. Say a nucleus of atomic weight 50 has a radius of 3 fm, or 50 nucleons each with a mass $2 \cdot 10^{-27} kg$. That's $100 \cdot 10^{-27} = 10^{-25} \text{ kg}$ packed into a volume of $\frac{4\pi}{3}r^3 = \frac{4\pi}{3} (3 \cdot 10^{-15})^3 m^3$ or about $10^{-43} m^3$. Nuclear density is $10^{-25+43} = 10^{18} kg / m^3$ or a *trillion (1012)* kilograms in the size of a fingertip. Earth radius crushed by a factor of $0.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ to $R_{crush\oplus} \simeq 300m$ would approach neutron-star density.

Introducing the "Neutron starlet" 1 cm³ of nuclear matter: mass = 10^{12} kg.

Fantasizing the "Black Hole Earth" Suppose Earth is crushed so that its

surface escape velocity is the speed of light $c \cong 3.0.10^8 m/s$. $V_{escape} = \sqrt{(2GM/R_{\otimes})}$ (from p. 67,...,82)

 $G = 6.673 \cdot 10^{-11} Nm^2/C^2$ $\sim (2/3) 10^{-10}$

(from p. 60)

 $c \equiv 299,792,458 m/s$ (EXACTLY)

Uncrushed Earthradius: $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^6 m \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^6 m$ Earth mass : $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg. \simeq 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg.$

$$\rho_{\oplus} = \frac{M_{\oplus}}{(4\pi/3)R_{\oplus}^3}$$

Earth matter Earth mass : $M_{\oplus} = 5.9722 \times 10^{24} kg$. $\approx 6.0 \cdot 10^{24} kg$. Density $\rho_{\oplus} \sim 6.0 \cdot 10^{24-21} \sim 6 \cdot 10^{3} kg/m^{3}$ Earth radius: $R_{\oplus} = 6.371 \cdot 10^6 m \approx 6.4 \cdot 10^6 m$ Earth volume: $(4\pi / 3)R_{\oplus}^3 \approx 4 \cdot 260 \cdot 10^{18} \sim 10^{21} m^3$ -----

Nuclear matter Nucleon mass = $1.67 \cdot 10^{-27}$ kg.~ $2 \cdot 10^{-27}$ kg. ("fingertip physics") Say a nucleus of atomic weight 50 has a radius of 3 fm, or 50 nucleons each with a mass $2 \cdot 10^{-27} kg$. That's $100 \cdot 10^{-27} = 10^{-25} kg$ packed into a volume of $\frac{4\pi}{3}r^3 = \frac{4\pi}{3} (3 \cdot 10^{-15})^3 m^3$ or about $10^{-43} m^3$. Nuclear density is $10^{-25+43} = 10^{18} kg / m^3$ or a *trillion (10¹²)* kilograms in the size of a fingertip. Earth radius crushed by a factor of $0.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ to $R_{crush\oplus} \simeq 300m$ would approach neutron-star density.



Fantasizing the "Black Hole Earth" Suppose Earth is crushed so that its



Introducing 2D IHO orbits and phasor geometry Phasor "clock" geometry











$$\sqrt{\frac{2E}{m}} \cos \theta = v = \frac{dx}{dt}$$
by (1)



$$\sqrt{\frac{2E}{m}}\cos\theta = v = \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{d\theta}{dt}\frac{dx}{d\theta}$$
by (1)



$$\sqrt{\frac{2E}{m}}\cos\theta = v = \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{d\theta}{dt}\frac{dx}{d\theta} = \omega\frac{dx}{d\theta}$$
by (1)
by def. (3)



$$\sqrt{\frac{2E}{m}}\cos\theta = v = \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{d\theta}{dt}\frac{dx}{d\theta} = \omega \frac{dx}{d\theta} = \omega \sqrt{\frac{2E}{k}}\cos\theta$$
by (1)
by def. (3)
by (2)












Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator makes balls in parallel tunnel track each other



Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator makes balls in parallel tunnels track each other...



...even if track length is just $g = 1m \text{ so } d \sim (1/12) \text{ micron}$

They all take about 84 minutes to go from right to left and back, again.

Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator makes balls in parallel tunnels track each other...



...even if track length is just g = 1m so d = (1/12) micron

The all take about 84 minutes to go from right to left and back, again.



Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator phase dynamics in uniform-body





Unit 1 Fig. 9.12

These are more generic examples with radius of x-phasor differing from that of the y-phasor.

<u>RelaWavity web simulation - Contact ellipsometry</u> (User Mouse Input allowed for setting phasor values)

AMOP reference links (Updated list given on 2nd and 3rd pages of each class presentation)

<u>Web Resources - front page</u> UAF Physics UTube channel Quantum Theory for the Computer Age

Principles of Symmetry, Dynamics, and Spectroscopy

2014 AMOP 2017 Group Theory for QM 2018 AMOP

Classical Mechanics with a Bang!

Modern Physics and its Classical Foundations

Representaions Of Multidimensional Symmetries In Networks - harter-jmp-1973

Alternative Basis for the Theory of Complex Spectra

Alternative_Basis_for_the_Theory_of_Complex_Spectra_I - harter-pra-1973

Alternative Basis for the Theory of Complex Spectra II - harter-patterson-pra-1976

Alternative_Basis_for_the_Theory_of_Complex_Spectra_III_-_patterson-harter-pra-1977

Frame Transformation Relations And Multipole Transitions In Symmetric Polyatomic Molecules - RMP-1978

Asymptotic eigensolutions of fourth and sixth rank octahedral tensor operators - Harter-Patterson-JMP-1979

Rotational energy surfaces and high-J eigenvalue structure of polyatomic molecules - Harter - Patterson - 1984

Galloping waves and their relativistic properties - ajp-1985-Harter

Rovibrational Spectral Fine Structure Of Icosahedral Molecules - Cpl 1986 (Alt Scan)

Theory of hyperfine and superfine levels in symmetric polyatomic molecules.

- I) Trigonal and tetrahedral molecules: Elementary spin-1/2 cases in vibronic ground states PRA-1979-Harter-Patterson (Alt scan)
- II) Elementary cases in octahedral hexafluoride molecules Harter-PRA-1981 (Alt scan)

Rotation-vibration spectra of icosahedral molecules.

- I) Icosahedral symmetry analysis and fine structure harter-weeks-jcp-1989 (Alt scan)
- II) Icosahedral symmetry, vibrational eigenfrequencies, and normal modes of buckminsterfullerene weeks-harter-jcp-1989 (Alt scan)
- III) Half-integral angular momentum harter-reimer-jcp-1991

Rotation-vibration scalar coupling zeta coefficients and spectroscopic band shapes of buckminsterfullerene - Weeks-Harter-CPL-1991 (Alt scan) Nuclear spin weights and gas phase spectral structure of 12C60 and 13C60 buckminsterfullerene -Harter-Reimer-Cpl-1992 - (Alt1, Alt2 Erratum) Gas Phase Level Structure of C60 Buckyball and Derivatives Exhibiting Broken Icosahedral Symmetry - reimer-diss-1996

Fullerene symmetry reduction and rotational level fine structure/ the Buckyball isotopomer 12C 13C59 - jcp-Reimer-Harter-1997 (HiRez) Wave Node Dynamics and Revival Symmetry in Quantum Rotors - harter - jms - 2001

Molecular Symmetry and Dynamics - Ch32-Springer Handbooks of Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics - Harter-2006

Resonance and Revivals

- I) QUANTUM ROTOR AND INFINITE-WELL DYNAMICS ISMSLi2012 (Talk) OSU knowledge Bank
- II) <u>Comparing Half-integer Spin and Integer Spin Alva-ISMS-Ohio2013-R777 (Talks)</u>
- III) Quantum Resonant Beats and Revivals in the Morse Oscillators and Rotors (2013-Li-Diss)

Resonance and Revivals in Quantum Rotors - Comparing Half-integer Spin and Integer Spin - Alva-ISMS-Ohio2013-R777 (Talk)

Molecular Eigensolution Symmetry Analysis and Fine Structure - IJMS-harter-mitchell-2013

Quantum Revivals of Morse Oscillators and Farey-Ford Geometry - Li-Harter-cpl-2013

QTCA Unit 10 Ch 30 - 2013

AMOP Ch 0 Space-Time Symmetry - 2019

*Index/Search is disabled - a web based A.M.O.P. oriented reference page, with thumbnail/previews, greater control over the information display. <u>https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/AMOP_References.html</u> AMOP reference links (Updated list given on 2nd and 3rd pages of each class presentation)

(Int.J.Mol.Sci, 14, 714(2013) p.755-774, QTCA Unit 7 Ch. 23-26), (PSDS - Ch. 5, 7)

Int.J.Mol.Sci, 14, 714(2013), QTCA Unit 8 Ch. 23-25, QTCA Unit 9 Ch. 26, PSDS Ch. 5, PSDS Ch. 7

Intro spin ½ coupling <u>Unit 8 Ch. 24 p3</u> H atom hyperfine-B-level crossing <u>Unit 8 Ch. 24 p15</u>

Hyperf. theory <u>Ch. 24 p48.</u>

Hyperf. theory Ch. 24 p48. <u>Deeper theory ends p53</u>

Intro 2p3p coupling <u>Unit 8 Ch. 24 p17</u>. Intro LS-jj coupling <u>Unit 8 Ch. 24 p22</u>. CG coupling derived (start) <u>Unit 8 Ch. 24 p39</u>. CG coupling derived (formula) <u>Unit 8 Ch. 24 p44</u>. Lande' g-factor

<u>Unit 8 Ch. 24 p26</u>.

Irrep Tensor building <u>Unit 8 Ch. 25 p5</u>.

Irrep Tensor Tables Unit 8 Ch. 25 p12.

Wigner-Eckart tensor Theorem. <u>Unit 8 Ch. 25 p17</u>.

Tensors Applied to d,f-levels. <u>Unit 8 Ch. 25 p21</u>.

Tensors Applied to high J levels. <u>Unit 8 Ch. 25 p63</u>. *Intro 3-particle coupling.* <u>Unit 8 Ch. 25 p28</u>.

Intro 3,4-particle Young Tableaus <u>GrpThLect29 p42</u>.

Young Tableau Magic Formulae <u>GrpThLect29 p46-48</u>.

*Index/Search is disabled - a web based A.M.O.P. oriented reference page, with thumbnail/previews, greater control over the information display. <u>https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/AMOP_References.html</u> and eventually full on Apache-SOLR Index and search for nuanced, whole-site content/metadata level searching.

AMOP reference links (Updated list given on 2nd and 3rd and 4th pages of each class presentation)

Predrag Cvitanovic's: Birdtrack Notation, Calculations, and Simplification

Chaos_Classical_and_Quantum_- 2018-Cvitanovic-ChaosBook Group Theory - PUP_Lucy_Day_- Diagrammatic_notation_- Ch4 Simplification_Rules_for_Birdtrack_Operators_- Alcock-Zeilinger-Weigert-zeilinger-jmp-2017 Group Theory - Birdtracks_Lies_and_Exceptional_Groups_- Cvitanovic-2011 Simplification_rules_for_birdtrack_operators-_jmp-alcock-zeilinger-2017 Birdtracks for SU(N) - 2017-Keppeler

Frank Rioux's: <u>UMA</u> method of vibrational induction

Quantum_Mechanics_Group_Theory_and_C60 - Frank_Rioux - Department_of_Chemistry_Saint_Johns_U Symmetry_Analysis_for_H20-_H20GrpTheory-_Rioux Quantum_Mechanics-Group_Theory_and_C60 - JChemEd-Rioux-1994 Group_Theory_Problems-_Rioux-_SymmetryProblemsX Comment_on_the_Vibrational_Analysis_for_C60_and_Other_Fullerenes_Rioux-RSP

Supplemental AMOP Techniques & Experiment

Many Correlation Tables are Molien Sequences - Klee (Draft 2016)

High-resolution_spectroscopy_and_global_analysis_of_CF4_rovibrational_bands_to_model_its_atmospheric_absorption-_carlos-Boudon-jqsrt-2017 Symmetry and Chirality - Continuous_Measures_-_Avnir

Special Topics & Colloquial References

r-process_nucleosynthesis_from_matter_ejected_in_binary_neutron_star_mergers-PhysRevD-Bovard-2017