Lecture 20 Mon. 10.29 thru Wed. 10.31 2018

Introduction to classical oscillation and resonance (Ch. 1 of Unit 4)

 $\begin{array}{l} 1D \ forced-damped-harmonic \ oscillator \ equations \ and \ Green \ 's \ function \ solutions \ Linear \ harmonic \ oscillator \ equation \ of \ motion. \ Linear \ damped-harmonic \ oscillator \ equation \ of \ motion. \ Frequency \ retardation \ and \ amplitude \ damping \ Figure \ of \ oscillator \ merit \ (the \ 5\% \ solution \ 3/\Gamma and \ other \ numbers) \ Linear \ forced-damped-harmonic \ oscillator \ equation \ of \ motion. \ Phase \ lag \ and \ amplitude \ resonance \ amplification \ Figure \ of \ resonance \ merit: \ (angular) \ Quality \ factor \ q=\omega_0/2\Gamma \ \end{array}$

Properties of Green's function solutions and their mathematical/physical behavior Transient solutions vs. Steady State solutions

Complete Green's Solution for the FDHO (Forced-Damped-Harmonic Oscillator) Quality factors: Beat, lifetimes, and uncertainty

Approximate Lorentz-Green's Function for high quality FDHO (Quantum propagator) Common Lorentzian (a.k.a. Witch of Agnesi) Smith Charts (Graph paper)

A running collection of links to course-relevant sites and articles

Physics Web Resources	"Texts"	Classes	
Comprehensive Harter-Soft Resource Listing	Classical Mechanics with a	a Bang! 2014 AMOP	
UAF Physics YouTube channel	Quantum Theory for the Comp	<u>uputer Age</u> <u>2017 Group Theory for QM</u>	
LearnIt Physics Web Applications	Principles of Symmetry, Dynamics, and	and Spectroscopy 2018 AMOP	
Neat external material to start the class: AIP publications	Modern Physics and its Classical	I Foundations 2018 Adv Mechanics	
AJP article on superball dynamics AAPT summer reading These are hot off the presses:	Aı	Analylt Web Application, posted 10/22/2018 in our <i>testing</i> area: https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/testing/markup/AnalyItBJS.l	<u>.html</u>
Sorting ultracold atoms in a three-dimensional atomic structu	onal optical lattice in a realization of Maxi res assembled atom by atom - Berredo-I	<u>kwell's demon - Kumar-Nature-Letters-2018</u> p-Nature-Letters-2018	
Slightly Older ones: <u>Wave-particle duality of C60 molecules</u> <u>Optical vortex knots – One Photon at a Tin</u> "Relawavity" and quantum basis of Lagrangian <u>2-CW laser wave - Bohrlt Web App</u> <u>Lagrangian vs Hamiltonian - RelaWavity W</u> <u>AMOP Ch 0 Space-Time Symmetry - 2019</u> Seminar at Rochester Institute of Optics.	<u>ne</u> a & <i>Hamiltonian</i> mechanics: <u>/eb App</u> auxiliary slides, June 19, 2018		
Older Links from Lectures 14-17 http://thearmchaircritic.blogspot.com/2011/11/punkin-chunkin.l http://www.sussexcountyonline.com/news/photos/punkinchunk http://www.twcenter.net/forums/showthread.php?358315-Shoo https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/TrebuchetWeb.html https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/TrebuchetWeb.html? https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/TrebuchetWeb.html? https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/pdfs/Journal_Pdfs/Trebuchet 'Simple' Pendulum Sim: https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/ Google search on: "Satelite view of Patricia" (Images) Physics Girl Channel - Eun with Vortex Rings in the Pool: https iBall demo - Quasi-periodicity: https://youtu.be/_intDtULxDc Previous Links to supplement Lecture 18-1 https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/CoulltWeb.html?sce Mechanical Analog to EM Motion (YouTube video) - https://yo Coullt Web Simulation: Bound-state motion in parabolic coord Coullt Web Simulation: Bound-state motion in hyperbolic coord	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Ady-knows Links to supplement Lecture 20 http://nobelprize.org/ https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html?scenario https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html?scenario https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html?scenario https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html?scenario https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html?scenario https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html?scenario https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html?scenario https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html?scenario https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html?scenario	io=18 io=27 io=31 io=38 io=39

Without resonance... ...we are all blind, deaf, and dumb.

Anonymous



PAULINIA, BRASIL 1976

THE SPEED OF LIGHT IS 299,792,458 METERS PER SECOND!

-- The Purest Light and a Resonance Hero - Ken Evenson (1932-2002) --

Ken Evenson

When travelers punch up their GPS coordinates they owe a debt of gratitude to an under sung hero who, alongside his colleagues and students, often toiled 18 hour days deep inside a laser laboratory lit only by the purest light in the universe.

Ken was an "Indiana Jones" of modern physics. While he may never have been called "Montana Ken," such a name would describe a real life hero from Bozeman, Montana, whose extraordinary accomplishments in many ways surpass the fictional characters in cinematic thrillers like *Raiders of the Lost Arc*.

Indeed, there were some exciting real life moments shared by his wife Vera, one together with Ken in a canoe literally inches from the hundred-foot drop-off of Brazil's largest waterfall. But, such outdoor exploits, of which Ken had many, pale in the light of an in-the-lab brilliance and courage that profoundly enriched the world.

Ken is one of few researchers and perhaps the only physicist to be twice listed in the *Guinness Book of Records*. The listings are not for jungle exploits but for his lab's highest frequency measurement and for a speed of light determination that made c many times more precise due to his lab's pioneering work with John Hall in laser resonance and metrology[†].

The meter-kilogram-second (mks) system of units underwent a redefinition largely because of these efforts. Thereafter, the speed of light c was set to 299,792,458ms⁻¹. The meter was defined in terms of c, instead of the other way around since his time precision had so far trumped that for distance. Without such resonance precision, the Global Positioning System (GPS), the first large-scale wave space-time coordinate system, would not be possible.

Ken's courage and persistence at the Time and Frequency Division of the Boulder Laboratories in the National Bureau of Standards (now the National Institute of Standards and Technology or NIST) are legendary as are his railings against boneheaded administrators who seemed bent on thwarting his best efforts. Undaunted, Ken's lab painstakingly exploited the resonance properties of metalinsulator diodes, and succeeded in literally counting the waves of near-infrared radiation and eventually visible light itself.

Those who knew Ken miss him terribly. But, his indelible legacy resonates today as ultra-precise atomic and molecular wave and pulse quantum optics continue to advance and provide heretofore unimaginable capability. Our quality of life depends on their metrology through the Quality and Finesse of the resonant oscillators that are the heartbeats of our technology.

Before being taken by Lou Gehrig's disease, Ken began ultra-precise laser spectroscopy of unusual molecules such as HO₂, the radical cousin of the more common H₂O. Like Ken, such radical molecules affect us as much or more than better known ones. But also like Ken, they toil in obscurity, illuminated only by the purest light in the universe.

In 2005 the Nobel Prize in physics was awarded to Glauber, Hall, and Hensch^{††} for laser optics and metrology.

⁺ K. M. Evenson, J.S. Wells, F.R. Peterson, B.L. Danielson, G.W. Day, R.L. Barger and J.L. Hall,

Phys. Rev. Letters 29, 1346(1972).

†† The Nobel Prize in Physics, 2005. http://nobelprize.org/







Fig. 3.2.2 Phasor z and corresponding coordinate versus time plot for $\omega_0 = 2\pi$ and $\Gamma = 0$ <u>https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html</u>













 $=e^{-\Gamma t}e^{\pm i\omega_{\Gamma}t}$





Fig. 4.2.3 Phasor z and corresponding coordinate versus time plot for $\omega_0=2\pi$ and $\Gamma=0.2$



Fig. 4.2.3 Phasor z and corresponding coordinate versus time plot for $\omega_0 = 2\pi$ and $\Gamma = 0.2$







Solving for $z_{stimulus}(t)$ given $a_{stimulus}$:













George Green (14 July 1793 – 31 May 1841)

Green's Function for the F-D-H Oscillator (FDHO)



Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} = \operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) + i\operatorname{Im} G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of G:

Hendrik A. Lorentz



July 18, 1853. - February 4, 1928



Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} = \operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) + i\operatorname{Im} G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of *G*:
$$\frac{1}{x - iy} = \frac{1}{x - iy} \frac{x + iy}{x + iy} = \frac{x + iy}{x^2 + y^2}$$



Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right) = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\omega}_0^2 - \boldsymbol{\omega}_s^2 - i2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_s} = \operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right) + i\operatorname{Im} G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right)$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of *G*:
$$\frac{1}{x - iy} = \frac{1}{x - iy} \frac{x + iy}{x + iy} = \frac{x + iy}{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} + i\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_0^2 - \boldsymbol{\omega}_s^2}{\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0^2 - \boldsymbol{\omega}_s^2\right)^2 + \left(2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right)^2}$$

$$\operatorname{Im} G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right) = \frac{2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_s}{\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0^2 - \boldsymbol{\omega}_s^2\right)^2 + \left(2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right)^2}$$



Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} = \operatorname{Re}G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) + i\operatorname{Im}G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \left|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)\right| e^{i\rho}$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of *G*:

$$\operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s}) = \frac{\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega_{s}^{2}}{\left(\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega_{s}^{2}\right)^{2} + \left(2\Gamma\omega_{s}\right)^{2}}$$
$$\operatorname{Im} G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s}) = \frac{2\Gamma\omega_{s}}{\left(\omega_{0}^{2} - \omega_{s}^{2}\right)^{2} + \left(2\Gamma\omega_{s}\right)^{2}}$$

Magnitude $|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)|$ and polar angle ρ of the *polar form* of G:

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2)^2 + (2\Gamma\omega_s)^2}}$$
$$\rho = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2\Gamma\omega_s}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2}\right)$$



Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} = \operatorname{Re}G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) + i\operatorname{Im}G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \left|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)\right| e^{i\rho}$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of G:

Magnitude $|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)|$ and *polar angle* ρ of the *polar form* of G:





Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} = \operatorname{Re}G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) + i\operatorname{Im}G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \left|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)\right| e^{i\rho}$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of G:

Magnitude $|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)|$ and *polar angle* ρ of the *polar form* of *G*:



Lorentz-Green's function for $V_0 = 0.5 Hz$ or $: \omega_0 = \pi \frac{(radian)}{second}$



OscillIt Web Simulation: Lorentz Response Function



OscillIt Web Simulation: Lorentz Response Function





Fig. 4.2.7 Comparing Lorentz-Green resonance region for (a) $\Gamma=0.2$ and (b) $\Gamma=0.1$. Maximum and minimum points of ReG(ω) and inflection points of ImG(ω) are near region boundaries $\omega^{FWHM}(\pm)=\omega_0\pm\Gamma$.

Complete Green's Solution for the FDHO (Forced-Damped-Harmonic Oscillator)

$$z(t) = z_{\text{transient}}(t) + z_{\text{response}}(t) \equiv z_{\text{decaying}}(t) + z_{\text{steady state}}(t)$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})a(0)e^{-i\omega_{s} t}$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + \left|G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})\right|a(0)e^{-i(\omega_{s} t - \rho)}$$

Known as "homogeneous" solution (no force) Let's you set initial values or boundary conditions

Known as *"in*homogeneous" solution Not function of initial values. Marches to stimulus only.

Complete Green's Solution for the FDHO (Forced-Damped-Harmonic Oscillator)

$$z(t) = z_{\text{transient}}(t) + z_{\text{response}}(t) \equiv z_{\text{decaying}}(t) + z_{\text{steady state}}(t)$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})a(0)e^{-i\omega_{s} t}$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + \left|G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})\right|a(0)e^{-i(\omega_{s} t-\rho)}$$

Known as "homogeneous" solution (no force) Let's you set initial values or boundary conditions

Known as *Transient* solution since it dies-off as time advances past initial conditions

Known as *"in*homogeneous" solution Not function of initial values. Marches to stimulus only.

Known as *Steady State* solution since it is present as long as stimulus is.

Complete Green's Solution for the FDHO (Forced-Damped-Harmonic Oscillator)

$$z(t) = z_{\text{transient}}(t) + z_{\text{response}}(t) \equiv z_{\text{decaying}}(t) + z_{\text{steady state}}(t)$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})a(0)e^{-i\omega_{s} t}$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + \left|G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})\right|a(0)e^{-i(\omega_{s} t - \rho)}$$

Known as "homogeneous" solution (no force) Let's you set initial values or boundary conditions

Known as *Transient* solution since it dies-off as time advances past initial conditions



Known as *"in*homogeneous" solutionNot function of initial values. Marches to stimulus only.Known as *Steady State* solution since it is present as long as stimulus is.

About t = forever

OscillIt (On Resonance) Simulation

Fig. 4.2.8 On Resonance (a)Response z-phasor lags $\rho = 90^{\circ}$ behind stimulus F-phasor. ($\omega_s = \omega_0 = 2\pi$, $\omega_0 = 2\pi$, and $\Gamma = 0.2$). (b) Time plots of Re z(t) and Re F(t)

Fig. 4.2.8 Below Resonance (c)Response z-phasor lags $\rho = 8.05^{\circ}$ behind stimulus F-phasor. ($\omega_s = 5.03, \omega_0 = 2\pi$, and $\Gamma = 0.2$). (d) Time plots of Re z(t) and Re F(t). Beats are barely visible.

OscillIt (Way Below Resonance) Simulation



OscillIt (Way Above Resonance) Simulation

OscillIt (On Resonance) Simulation

OscillIt (Way Below Resonance) Simulation





 $AAF = \frac{\text{Resonant response}}{\text{DC response}} = \frac{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \right) \right|}{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(0 \right) \right|} = \frac{1/(2\Gamma\omega_0)}{1/\omega_0^2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} \equiv q \quad (angular quality factor)$ Amplification factor $q = \omega_0/2\Gamma$

Natural oscillation frequency is approximately $\upsilon_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ (for $\omega_0 >> \Gamma$ we have $\omega_0 \sim \omega_{\Gamma}$).

 $AAF = \frac{\text{Resonant response}}{\text{DC response}} = \frac{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \right) \right|}{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(0 \right) \right|} = \frac{1/(2\Gamma\omega_0)}{1/\omega_0^2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} \equiv q \quad (angular quality factor)$

Amplification factor $q = \omega_0/2\Gamma$

Natural oscillation frequency is approximately $\upsilon_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ (for $\omega_0 >> \Gamma$ we have $\omega_0 \sim \omega_{\Gamma}$).

$$\begin{array}{l} t_{5\%} = 3/\Gamma = Lifetime \\ \text{for decaying oscillator} \\ \text{to lose 95\% of} \\ \text{amplitude} \end{array} \right) times \left(\upsilon_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \right) = \begin{array}{l} \text{number } n_{5\%} \\ \text{of oscillations} \\ \text{in a } t_{5\%} Lifetime \end{array} \right)$$

 $AAF = \frac{\text{Resonant response}}{\text{DC response}} = \frac{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \right) \right|}{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(0 \right) \right|} = \frac{1/(2\Gamma\omega_0)}{1/\omega_0^2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} \equiv q \quad (angular quality factor)$

Amplification factor $q = \omega_0/2\Gamma$

Natural oscillation frequency is approximately $\upsilon_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ (for $\omega_0 >> \Gamma$ we have $\omega_0 \sim \omega_{\Gamma}$).

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{5\%} = 3/\Gamma = Lifetime \\ \text{for decaying oscillator} \\ \text{to lose 95\% of} \\ \text{amplitude} \end{pmatrix}_{times} \begin{pmatrix} v_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} \text{number } n_{5\%} \\ \text{of oscillations} \\ \text{in a } t_{5\%} Lifetime \\ n_{5\%} = t_{5\%} v_0 = \frac{3}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \cong \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} = q \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{The "Heartbeat Count"} \\ \text{measure of lifetime} \\ \end{array}$$

 $AAF = \frac{\text{Resonant response}}{\text{DC response}} = \frac{\left|G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0\right)\right|}{\left|G_{\omega_0}\left(0\right)\right|} = \frac{1/(2\Gamma\omega_0)}{1/\omega_0^2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} \equiv q \quad (angular quality factor)$

Amplification factor $q = \omega_0/2\Gamma$

Natural oscillation frequency is approximately $\upsilon_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ (for $\omega_0 >> \Gamma$ we have $\omega_0 \sim \omega_{\Gamma}$).

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{5\%} = 3/\Gamma = Lifetime \\ \text{for decaying oscillator} \\ \text{to lose 95\% of} \\ \text{amplitude} \end{pmatrix} times \begin{pmatrix} v_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{array}{l} \text{number } n_{5\%} \\ \text{of oscillations} \\ \text{in a } t_{5\%} Lifetime \\ n_{5\%} = t_{5\%} v_0 = \frac{3}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \cong \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} = q \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{The "Heartbeat Count"} \\ \text{measure of lifetime} \\ \end{array}$$

Energy decay (proportional to the square of oscillator amplitude): $(e^{\Gamma t})^2 = e^{-2\Gamma t}$ $dE = -2\Gamma E$

$$AAF = \frac{\text{Resonant response}}{\text{DC response}} = \frac{\left|G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0\right)\right|}{\left|G_{\omega_0}\left(0\right)\right|} = \frac{1/\left(2\Gamma\omega_0\right)}{1/\omega_0^2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} \equiv q \quad (angular quality factor)$$

Amplification factor $q = \omega_0/2\Gamma$

Natural oscillation frequency is approximately $\upsilon_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ (for $\omega_0 >> \Gamma$ we have $\omega_0 \sim \omega_{\Gamma}$).

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{5\%} = 3/\Gamma = Lifetime \\ \text{for decaying oscillator} \\ \text{to lose 95\% of} \\ \text{amplitude} \end{pmatrix} times \begin{pmatrix} v_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{array}{l} \text{number } n_{5\%} \\ \text{of oscillations} \\ \text{in a } t_{5\%} Lifetime \\ n_{5\%} = t_{5\%} v_0 = \frac{3}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \cong \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} = q \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{The "Heartbeat Count"} \\ \text{measure of lifetime} \\ \end{array}$$

Energy decay (proportional to the square of oscillator amplitude): $(e^{\Gamma t})^2 = e^{-2\Gamma t}$ $dE = -2\Gamma E$

Relative amount

of energy lost
each cycle period
$$= \tau_0 \left(\frac{-dE}{E}\right) = \frac{2\Gamma}{v_0} \equiv \frac{1}{Q} = \frac{2\pi}{q}$$

 $\left(\tau_0 = \frac{1}{v_0}\right)$

 $Q = (Standard angular quality factor) = \frac{q}{2\pi}$

Oscillator figures of merit: Uncertainty 1/q

To see a beat we need $\tau_{half-beat}$ to be less than $\tau_{5\%}$ or $3/\Gamma$. (Here we approximate $\pi \sim 3.0$, again.)

$$\pi / |\omega_s - \omega_0| < 3 / \Gamma \qquad \qquad |\omega_s - \omega_0| > \Gamma$$

This means ω -detuning error is greater than or equal to the decay rate Γ .

Any detuning less than Γ is virtually undetectable. Linear frequency uncertainty is: Total ω uncertainty is $\pm \Gamma$ or twice Γ (that is: FWHM $\Delta \omega = 2\Gamma$).

The relative frequency uncertainty $\frac{2\Gamma}{2} = \frac{\Delta\omega}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\Delta\upsilon}{2}$ $\omega_0 \quad \omega_0 \quad q \quad v_0$

$$\Delta \upsilon = \Delta \omega / 2\pi = \Gamma / \pi$$

is the *inverse* of the *angular quality factor q*.

If we think of the 5% or 4.321% lifetime of a musical note as its time uncertainty Δt , then:

$$\Delta t \Delta v = 3 / \pi \approx 1$$

$$\Delta t = t_{5\%} = 3 / \Gamma$$

$$\Delta t = t_{4.321\%} = \pi / \Gamma$$

Very precise measures of imprecision

Approximate Lorentz-Green's Function for high quality FDHO (Quantum propagator)

 $G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} \xrightarrow{\omega_s \to \omega_0} \frac{1}{2\omega_s} \frac{1}{\omega_0 - \omega_s - i\Gamma} \approx \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} L(\Delta - i\Gamma)$

Complex detuning-decay $\delta = \Delta - i\Gamma$ variable δ is defined with the real detuning $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega_s$

Approximate Lorentz-Green's Function for high quality FDHO (Quantum propagator)

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} \xrightarrow{\omega_s \to \omega_0} \frac{1}{2\omega_s} \frac{1}{\omega_0 - \omega_s - i\Gamma} \approx \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} L(\Delta - i\Gamma)$$

Complex detuning-decay $\delta = \Delta - i\Gamma$ variable δ is defined with the real detuning $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega_s$

$$L(\Delta - i\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \operatorname{Re} L + i\operatorname{Im} L = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} + i\frac{\Gamma}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} = |L|^2 \Delta + i|L|^2 \Gamma$$

Approximate Lorentz-Green's Function for high quality FDHO (Quantum propagator)

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} \xrightarrow{\omega_s \to \omega_0} \frac{1}{2\omega_s} \frac{1}{\omega_0 - \omega_s - i\Gamma} \approx \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} L(\Delta - i\Gamma)$$

Complex detuning-decay $\delta = \Delta - i\Gamma$ variable δ is defined with the real detuning $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega_s$

$$L(\Delta - i\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \operatorname{Re} L + i\operatorname{Im} L = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} + i\frac{\Gamma}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} = |L|^2 \Delta + i|L|^2 \Gamma$$
$$= |L|e^{i\rho} = |L|\cos\rho + i|L|\sin\rho = \frac{\cos\rho}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2}} + i\frac{\sin\rho}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2}} \text{ where: } |L| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2}}$$

Approximate Lorentz-Green's Function for high quality FDHO (Quantum propagator) $G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_s^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} \xrightarrow{\omega_s \to \omega_0} \frac{1}{2\omega_s} \frac{1}{\omega_0 - \omega_s - i\Gamma} \approx \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} L(\Delta - i\Gamma)$ Complex detuning-decay $\delta = \Delta - i\Gamma$ variable δ is defined with the real detuning $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega_s$ $L(\Delta - i\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \operatorname{Re} L + i\operatorname{Im} L = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} + i\frac{1}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} = |L|^2 \Delta + i|L|^2 \Gamma$ $= |L| e^{i\rho} = |L| \cos\rho + i |L| \sin\rho = \frac{\cos\rho}{\sqrt{\Lambda^2 + \Gamma^2}} + i \frac{\sin\rho}{\sqrt{\Lambda^2 + \Gamma^2}} \text{ where: } |L| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda^2 + \Gamma^2}}$ Ideal Lorentz-Green's functions $|L| = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \sin \rho \qquad L = \frac{\Delta + i\Gamma}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} = |L|e^{i\rho} \qquad Inverse \ decay \ rate \ \frac{1}{\Gamma} \qquad axis \qquad (Lifetime) \qquad \Gamma$ Smith plots $|L| = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \sin \rho$ $|L| = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \cos \rho$ |L|ρ Inverse detuning $\frac{1}{2}$ axis $-\frac{1}{\Lambda} \rightarrow |L| = \frac{1}{\Delta} \cos \rho$ Δ (Beat period)



Fig. 4.2.13 Ideal Lorentzian in inverse rate space. (Smith life-time $1/\Gamma$ vs. beat-period $1/\Delta$ coordinates)

Constant Δ and Γ curves in Fig. 4.2.13 are orthogonal circles of 1/z- dipolar coordinates. Recall Fig. 1.10.11.

SMITH CHART (Invented by Phillip H. Smith 1905-1987)





https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/video/AnalyIt_0-3.webm

https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/video/AnalyIt_4-1.webm

https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/video/AnalyIt_0-2.webm

https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/video/AnalyIt_3-1.webm

https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/video/AnalyIt_1-1.webm





Maria Gaetana Agnesi





Born	May 16, 1718
Died	January 9, 1799 (aged 80)
Residence	Italy
Nationality	Italy
ields	Mathematics

Maria Gaetana Agnesi



θ	$y = r \sin \theta$
$y = r \sin \theta$	$x=b\cot\theta = (1/b)\cos\theta\sin\theta$
$\frac{1}{1} \qquad x \neq b \cot \theta \qquad x = (1/b) \sin^2 \theta$	$r = (1/b)\cos\theta$
b \overline{b} $r = (1/b)\sin\theta$ y	$\frac{1}{\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\pi/2 - \theta}$
	$\frac{1}{b}$
$x^{2} = b^{2} \cot^{2}\theta = b^{2} \frac{\cos^{2}\theta}{\sin^{2}\theta} = b^{2} \frac{1 - \sin^{2}\theta}{\sin^{2}\theta} = \frac{b^{2}}{\sin^{2}\theta}b^{2}$	$\frac{x}{y} = \frac{b \cot \theta}{(1/b)\cos\theta \sin \theta} = \frac{b^2 \cos \theta}{\cos\theta \sin^2 \theta} = \frac{b^2}{\sin^2 \theta}$
$x^{2}+b^{2} = \frac{b^{2}}{\sin^{2}\theta} = \frac{b}{y} \begin{bmatrix} y = \frac{b}{x^{2}+b^{2}} \\ Common \ Lorentzian \ function \ I. \\ (imaginary "absorbtive" \ part) \end{bmatrix}$	$x^{2}+b^{2}=\frac{b^{2}}{\sin^{2}\theta}=\frac{x}{y}$ $y=\frac{x}{x^{2}+b^{2}}$ Common Lorentzian function II. (real "refractory" part)

Born May 16, 1718 Died January 9, 1799 (aged 80) Residence Italy Nationality Italy Fields Mathematics

Maria Gaetana Agnesi



Born	May 16, 1718
Died	January 9, 1799 (aged 80)
Residence	Italy
Nationality	Italy
Fields	Mathematics



 $b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

Maria Gaetana Agnesi



Mathematics







Underlined below are links to the OscillIt Web Simulations Compare <u>ideal Lorentzians ($\Gamma=0.2$)</u> with a very non-ideal one ($\Gamma=2$)

 $r \sin \theta$

 b^2

sin²0

Fields

 $=(1/b)\cos\theta\sin\theta$

v =

 $\pi/2-\theta$

 $b^2 \cos\theta$

<u>cosθsin²θ</u>

 $r = (1/b)\cos\theta$

 $x = b \cot \theta$

х

 $b \cot \theta$

 $(1/b)\cos\theta\sin\theta$

 $y = \frac{x}{x^2 + b^2}$

(real "refractory" part)

Tb



Maria Gaetana Agnesi



Born	May 16, 1718
Died	January 9, 1799 (aged 80)
Residence	Italy
Nationality	Italy
Fields	Mathematics





 $r \sin \theta$

 b^2

sin²0

 $=(1/b)\cos\theta\sin\theta$

y =

 $\pi/2-\theta$

Fig. 10.11 Dipole F-field $f(z)=1/z^2$ and scalar potential (Φ =const.)-circles orthogonal to (A=const.)-circles.



From: Fig. 1.10.12



From: Fig. 1.10.12



From: Fig. 1.10.12





