

Assignment 4 - Group Theory and Quantum Physics 5093 - Due Mar 2, 2017

Main Reading for problems: In text *QTC*A Unit 3-Ch. 10, 10A-B and Lectures 6-10.

*Euler Can Canonize*

10.A.1 An 2D-oscillator canonical phase state- $(x_1, p_1, x_2, p_2)$  and a spin-state- $|\alpha, \beta, \gamma\rangle$  are both defined by the Euler angles  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  through (10.A.1a-b) as well as by axis angles  $[\varphi, \vartheta, \Theta]$  through (10.A.1c). (First, verify all parts of (10.A.1).) If rotation-axis- $\hat{\Theta}$  polar angles  $[\varphi, \vartheta]$  are fixed while rotation angle  $\Theta = \Omega t$  varies uniformly with time, Euler angles  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  and phase point  $(x_1, p_1, x_2, p_2)$  trace spin and oscillator trajectories, respectively. Verify this for the following cases by discussing plots requested below.

(a)  $[\varphi=0, \vartheta=0]$ , (b)  $[\varphi=0, \vartheta=\pi/2]$ , (c)  $[\varphi=\pi/2, \vartheta=\pi/2]$  (option extra credit: (d)  $[\varphi=0, \vartheta=\pi/4]$ , (e)  $[\varphi=\pi/2, \vartheta=\pi/4]$ ).

For each case sketch 2D-paths  $-p_1$  vs.  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  vs.  $x_1$  and sketch  $\hat{\Theta} \sin\Theta/2$  in a 3D  $(-p_2, x_2, -p_1)$ -space which should also have paths for  $-p_2$  vs.  $x_2$  and  $x_2$  vs.  $-p_1$  etc. Also, indicate the paths followed by the tip of the **S**-spin-vector (10.5.8c) in 3D-spin space  $(S_x, S_y, S_z)$  and characterize as **A**-type, **B**-type, or **C**-type motion, etc., in each case.

*Invariantipodals (Easy)*

10.A.2 When an Euler sphere is rotated from origin  $|1\rangle$  state ( $0=\alpha=\beta=\gamma$ ) to some angles  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , there are always points on the sphere which end up exactly where they were before the rotation. Verify this and express the polar-coordinates  $(\phi, \theta)$  of all such invariant points in terms of  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ .

*Spinor-Vector-Rotor (Deriving 3x3 matrix  $\mathbf{e}_L \cdot \mathbf{e}_L = \langle \mathbf{R}[\varphi, \vartheta, \Theta] \rangle_{3 \times 3}$  is a "rite-of-passage" for group theorists.)*

10.A.3 Prove and develop the result (10.A.15) or *GrpThLect.8 p.47* as described below.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}[\hat{\Theta}] \sigma_L \mathbf{R}[\hat{\Theta}]^\dagger &= \left( \cos \frac{\Theta}{2} \mathbf{1} - i \sin \frac{\Theta}{2} \hat{\Theta}_K \sigma_K \right) \sigma_L \left( \cos \frac{\Theta}{2} \mathbf{1} - i \sin \frac{\Theta}{2} \hat{\Theta}_N \sigma_N \right)^\dagger \\ &= \sigma_L' = \sigma_L \cos \Theta - \varepsilon_{LKM} \hat{\Theta}_K \sigma_M \sin \Theta + (1 - \cos \Theta) \hat{\Theta}_L (\hat{\Theta}_N \sigma_N) \end{aligned}$$

(a) Using the  $\sigma$ -product definitions (p. 34-38 of *GrpThLect.8*) and the Levi-Civita tensor identity

$$\varepsilon_{abc} \varepsilon_{dec} = \delta_{ad} \delta_{be} - \delta_{ae} \delta_{bd} \quad (\text{Prove this, too!})$$

to derive the above result. (In *QTC*A Equation (10.A.15a) yields Eq. (10.A.15b).)

(b) Above result (Eq. (10.A.15)) applies when  $\sigma_L$  are replaced by unit vectors  $\mathbf{e}_L$ . Sketch resulting vectors  $\hat{\Theta}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_L$

(before rotation) and  $\mathbf{e}_L'$  (after rotation) for a rotation of  $\mathbf{e}_z$  by  $\Theta = 120^\circ$  around an axis with polar angle  $\vartheta = 54.7^\circ = \arccos(1/\sqrt{3})$  and azimuthal angle  $\varphi = 45^\circ$ . (As is conventional, we measure polar angles off the **Z** (or **A**) axis and azimuthal angles from the **X** (or **B**) axis counter clockwise in the **XY** (or **BC**) plane. Give axis Cartesian coordinates.) Use the above to write down a general 3-by-3 matrix in terms of axis angles  $[\varphi, \vartheta, \Theta]$ , and test it using angles in (b).

(c) Derive numerical Euler angles  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  in degrees for this rotation matrix.

(d) Compare formulas and numerics of 3-by-3  $R(3)$  matrix with 2-by-2  $U(2)$  matrix for the same rotations.

(e) Find 3-by-3  $R(3)$  and 2-by-2  $U(2)$  matrices for rotation  $\mathbf{R}_y$  by  $90^\circ$  around **Y** (or **C**)-axis.

(f) Do products  $\mathbf{R}_y \mathbf{R}[\varphi, \vartheta, \Theta]$  and  $\mathbf{R}[\varphi, \vartheta, \Theta] \mathbf{R}_y$  numerically.

You may check products with  $U(2)$  product formula (10.A.10) or *Lect.8 p.42*. Compare results to their Hamilton turns.

*Spin erection. Does it phase  $U(2)$ ? (Extra credit)*

10.B.2. The following general problem may certainly become relevant if the mythical quantum computer materializes. It involves erecting an arbitrary state with spin vector **S** to the spin-up **Z** (or **A**) position with a particular overall phase  $\Phi$ . In each case make the description of your solution as simple as possible as though you needed to explain it to engineers.

(a) For a state of 0-phase with spin on the **X** (or **B**), describe a single operator that does the above.

(b) For a state of 0-phase with spin at  $\beta$  in the **XZ** (or **AB**) plane, describe a single operator that does the above.

(c) Of all possible rotations from  $\beta$  in the **XZ** plane to spin-up **Z**, which takes the least energy (that is, least total angle  $\Theta$  of rotation) regardless of final phase  $\Phi$ ?