Lecture 20 Mon. 11.04 thru Wed. 11.06 2019

Introduction to classical oscillation and resonance (Ch. 1 of Unit 4)

 $\begin{array}{l} 1D \ forced-damped-harmonic \ oscillator \ equations \ and \ Green's \ function \ solutions \\ Linear \ harmonic \ oscillator \ equation \ of \ motion. \\ Linear \ damped-harmonic \ oscillator \ equation \ of \ motion. \\ Frequency \ retardation \ and \ amplitude \ damping \\ Figure \ of \ oscillator \ merit \ (the \ 5\% \ solution \ 3/\Gamma and \ other \ numbers) \\ Linear \ forced-damped-harmonic \ oscillator \ equation \ of \ motion. \\ Phase \ lag \ and \ amplitude \ resonance \ amplification \\ Figure \ of \ resonance \ merit: \ (angular) \ Quality \ factor \ q=\omega_0/2\Gamma \end{array}$

Properties of Green's function solutions and their mathematical/physical behavior Transient solutions vs. Steady State solutions

Complete Green's Solution for the FDHO (Forced-Damped-Harmonic Oscillator) Quality factors: Beat, lifetimes, and uncertainty

Approximate Lorentz-Green's Function for high quality FDHO (Quantum propagator) Common Lorentzian (a.k.a. Witch of Agnesi) Smith Charts (Graph paper)

This Lecture's Reference Link Listing

<u>Web Resources - front page</u> UAF Physics UTube channel Quantum Theory for the Computer Age

Principles of Symmetry, Dynamics, and Spectroscopy

Classical Mechanics with a Bang!

Lectures #12 through #20

In reverse order

Modern Physics and its Classical Foundations

2017 Group Theory for QM 2018 Adv CM 2018 AMOP 2019 Advanced Mechanics

Smith Chart, Invented by Phillip H. Smith (1905-1987) Nobelprize.org 2005 Physics Award

Intra-lecture links: <u>Page=18</u>, <u>Page=35</u>, <u>Page=47</u>

OscillIt Web Simulations:

Default/Generic, Weakly Damped #18, Forced : Way below resonance,On resonance Way above resonance,Underdamped Complex Response Plot

Coullt Web Simulations:

Stark-Coulomb : Bound-state motion in parabolic coordinates Molecular Ion : Bound-state motion in hyperbolic coordinates Synchrotron Motion, Synchrotron Motion #2 Mechanical Analog to EM Motion (YouTube video) iBall demo - Quasi-periodicity (YouTube video)

Trebuchet Web Simulations:

<u>Default/Generic URL, Montezuma's Revenge, Seige of Kenilworth,</u> <u>"Flinger",</u> <u>Position Space (Course), Position Space (Fine)</u>

Wacky Waving Solid Metal Arm Flailing Chaos Pendulum - Scooba_Steeve-yt-2015 Triple Double-Pendulum - Cohen-yt-2008 Punkin Chunkin - TheArmchairCritic-2011 Jersey Team Claims Title in Punkin Chunkin - sussexcountyonline-1999 Shooting range for medieval siege weapons. Anybody knows? - twcenter.net/forums The Trebuchet - Chevedden-SciAm-1995 NOVA Builds a Trebuchet

Recent Articles of Interest:

Springer handbook on Molecular Symmetry and Dynamics - Ch_32 - Molecular Symmetry
Synthetic Chiral Light for Efficient Control of Chiral Light-Matter Interaction - Ayuso-np-2019
A_Semi-Classical Approach to the Calculation of Highly Excited Rotational Energies for ...
Asymmetric-Top Molecules - Schmiedt-pccp-2017
Quantum Chaos - An Introduction - Stockmann-cup-2006, Review by E. Heller
Tunable and broadband coherent perfect absorption by ultrathin blk phos metasurfaces - Guo-josab-2019
Quantum Supremacy Using a Programmable Superconducting Processor - Arute-n-2019
Vortex Detection in Vector Fields Using Geometric Algebra - Pollock-aaca-2013.pdf

Pirelli Relativity Challenge (Introduction level) - Visualizing Waves: Using Earth as a clock, Tesla's AC Phasors Phasors using complex numbers. CM wBang Unit 1 - Chapter 10, pdf page=135 Calculus of exponentials, logarithms, and complex fields. RelaWavity Web Simulation - Unit Circle and Hyperbola (Mixed labeling) Select, exciting, and related Research Clifford Algebra And The Projective Model Of Homogeneous Metric Spaces -Foundations - Sokolov-x-2013 Geometric Algebra 3 - Complex Numbers - MacDonald-yt-2015 Biguaternion - Complexified Quaternion- Roots of -1 - Sangwine-x-2015 An Introduction to Clifford Algebras and Spinors - Vaz-Rocha-op-2016 Unified View on Complex Numbers and Quaternions- Bongardt-wcmms-2015 Complex Functions and the Cauchy-Riemann Equations - complex2 - Friedman-columbia-2019 An sp-hybridized Molecular Carbon Allotrope- cyclo-18-carbon - Kaiser-s-2019 An Atomic-Scale View of Cyclocarbon Synthesis - Maier-s-2019 Discovery Of Topological Weyl Fermion Lines And Drumhead Surface States in a Room Temperature Magnet - Belopolski-s-2019 "Weyl"ing away Time-reversal Symmetry - Neto-s-2019 Non-Abelian Band Topology in Noninteracting Metals - Wu-s-2019 What Industry Can Teach Academia - Mao-s-2019 RoVib- quantum state resolution of the C60 fullerene - Changala-Ye-s-2019 (Alt) A Degenerate Fermi Gas of Polar molecules - DeMarco-s-2019

An assist from *Physics Girl* (YouTube Channel):

How to Make VORTEX RINGS in a Pool Crazy pool vortex - pg-yt-2014 Fun with Vortex Rings in the Pool - pg-yt-2014

Excerpts (Page 44-47 in <u>Preliminary Draft</u>) from the Geometric Algebra- A Guided Tour through Space and Time - Reimer-www-2019

Running Reference Link Listing

Lectures #11 through #7

In reverse order

Eric J Heller Gallery:

Main portal, Consonance and Dissonance II, Bessel 21, Chladni

The Semiclassical Way to Molecular Spectroscopy - Heller-acs-1981 Quantum_dynamical_tunneling_in_bound_states_-_Davis-Hellerjcp-1981

Pendulum Web Simulation Cycloidulum Web Simulation

Links to previous lecture: <u>Page=74</u>, <u>Page=75</u>, <u>Page=79</u>

Pendulum Web Sim

Cycloidulum Web Sim

JerkIt Web Simulations: Basic/Generic: Inverted, FVPlot

CMwithBang Lecture 8, page=20

WWW.sciencenewsforstudents.org: Cassini - Saturnian polar vortex

"RelaWavity" Web Simulations:
<u>2-CW laser wave, Lagrangian vs Hamiltonian,</u> <u>Physical Terms Lagrangian L(u) vs Hamiltonian H(p)</u>
<u>Coullt Web Simulation of the Volcanoes of Io</u>
BohrIt Multi-Panel Plot:
Relativistically shifted Time-Space plots of 2 CW light waves

BoxIt Web Simulations:

<u>Generic/Default</u> <u>Most Basic A-Type</u> <u>Basic A-Type w/reference lines</u> <u>Basic A-Type A-Type with Potential energy</u> <u>A-Type with Potential energy and Stokes Plot</u> <u>A-Type w/3 time rates of change</u> <u>A-Type w/3 time rates of change with Stokes Plot</u> <u>B-Type (A=1.0, B=-0.05, C=0.0, D=1.0)</u>

RelaWavity Web Elliptical Motion Simulations:

Orbits with b/a=0.125 Orbits with b/a=0.5 Orbits with b/a=0.7 Exegesis with b/a=0.125 Exegesis with b/a=0.5 Exegesis with b/a=0.7 Contact Ellipsometry

Coullt Web Simulations: Basic/Generic

Exploding Starlet Volcanoes of Io (Color Quantized)

JerkIt Web Simulations:

<u>Basic/Generic</u> Catcher in the Eye - IHO with Linear Hooke perturbation - Force-potential-Velocity Plot

OscillatorPE Web Simulation:

Coulomb-Newton-Inverse_Square, Hooke-Isotropic Harmonic, Pendulum-Circular Constraint

AMOP Ch 0 Space-Time Symmetry - 2019 Seminar at Rochester Institute of Optics, Aux. slides-2018

NASA Astronomy Picture of the Day -<u>Io: The Prometheus Plume (Just Image)</u> <u>NASA Galileo - Io's Alien Volcanoes</u> <u>New Horizons - Volcanic Eruption Plume on Jupiter's moon IO</u> <u>NASA Galileo - A Hawaiian-Style Volcano on Io</u>

<u>Pirelli Site: Phasors animimation</u> <u>CMwithBang Lecture #6, page=70 (9.10.18)</u>

Select, exciting, and related Research & Articles of Interest:

Burning a hole in reality—design for a new laser may be powerful enough to pierce space-time - Sumner-KOS-2019 Trampoline mirror may push laser pulse through fabric of the Universe - Lee-ArsTechnica-2019 Achieving Extreme Light Intensities using Optically Curved Relativistic Plasma Mirrors - Vincenti-prl-2019 <u>A Soft Matter Computer for Soft Robots - Garrad-sr-2019</u> <u>Correlated Insulator Behaviour at Half-Filling in Magic-Angle Graphene Superlattices - cao-n-2018</u> <u>Sorting ultracold atoms in a three-dimensional optical lattice in a</u> realization of Maxwell's Demon - Kumar-n-2018 Synthetic three-dimensional atomic structures assembled atom by atom - Barredo-n-2018 Older ones: Wave-particle duality of C60 molecules - Arndt-Itn-1999 Optical Vortex Knots - One Photon At A Time - Tempone-Wiltshire-Sr-2018 Baryon Deceleration by Strong Chromofields in Ultrarelativistic ,

<u>Baryon_Deceleration_by_Strong_Chromofields_in_Ottrarelativistic_</u>, <u>Nuclear_Collisions - Mishustin-PhysRevC-2007</u>, <u>APS Link & Abstract</u> Hadronic Molecules - Guo-x-2017

Hidden-charm pentaquark and tetraquark states - Chen-pr-2016

Running Reference Link Listing

Lectures #6 through #1

In reverse order

<u>RelaWavity Web Simulation: Contact Ellipsometry</u> <u>BoxIt Web Simulation: Elliptical Motion (A-Type)</u> <u>CMwBang Course: Site Title Page</u> <u>Pirelli Relativity Challenge: Describing Wave Motion With Complex Phasors</u> UAF Physics UTube channel

Velocity Amplification in Collision Experiments Involving Superballs - Harter, 1971 MIT OpenCourseWare: High School/Physics/Impulse and Momentum Hubble Site: Supernova - SN 1987A

BounceItIt Web Animation - Scenarios:

49:1 y vs t, 49:1 V2 vs V1, 1:500:1 - 1D Gas Model w/ faux restorative force (Cool), 1:500:1 - 1D Gas (Warm), 1:500:1 - 1D Gas Model (Cool, Zoomed in),
Farey Sequence - Wolfram Fractions - Ford-AMM-1938
Monstermash BounceItIt Animations: 1000:1 - V2 vs V1, 1000:1 with t vs x - Minkowski Plot
Quantum Revivals of Morse Oscillators and Farey-Ford Geometry - Li-Harter-2013
Quantum Revivals of Morse Oscillators and Farey-Ford Geometry - Li-Harter-cpl-2015 Quant. Revivals of Morse Oscillators and Farey-Ford Geometry - Li-Harter-cpl-2015 (Publ.)
Velocity Amplification in Collision Experiments Involving Superballs-Harter-1971
WaveIt Web Animation - Scenarios: Quantum Carpet, Quantum Carpet wMBars, Quantum Carpet BCar, Quantum Carpet BCar_wMBars
Wave Node Dynamics and Revival Symmetry in Quantum Rotors - Harter-JMS-2001 Wave Node Dynamics and Revival Symmetry in Ouantum Rotors - Harter-jms-2001 (Publ.)

BounceIt Web Animation - Scenarios:

Generic Scenario: <u>2-Balls dropped no Gravity (7:1) - V vs V Plot (Power=4)</u> 1-Ball dropped w/Gravity=0.5 w/Potential Plot: <u>Power=1, Power=4</u> <u>7:1 - V vs V Plot: Power=1</u> <u>3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4</u> <u>3-Ball Stack (10:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=1 w/Gaps</u> <u>4-Ball Stack (27:9:3:1) w/Newton plot (y vs t) - Power=4</u> <u>4-Newton's Balls (1:1:1:1) w/Newtonian plot (y vs t) - Power=4</u> <u>5-Ball Totally Inelastic (1:1:1:1:1) w/Gaps: Newtonian plot (t vs x), V6 vs V5 plot</u> <u>5-Ball Totally Inelastic Pile-up w/ 5-Stationary-Balls - Minkowski plot (t vs x1) w/Gaps</u>

BounceIt Dual plots

 $m_{1}:m_{2} = 3:1$ $v_{2} vs v_{1} and V_{2} vs V_{1}, (v_{1}, v_{2}) = (1, 0.1), (v_{1}, v_{2}) = (1, 0)$ $y_{2} vs v_{1} plots: (v_{1}, v_{2}) = (1, 0.1), (v_{1}, v_{2}) = (1, 0), (v_{1}, v_{2}) = (1, -1)$ Estrangian plot $V_{2} vs V_{1}: (v_{1}, v_{2}) = (0, 1), (v_{1}, v_{2}) = (1, -1)$ $m_{1}:m_{2} = 4:1$ $v_{2} vs v_{1}, v_{2} vs v_{1}$ $m_{1}:m_{2} = 100:1, (v_{1}, v_{2}) = (1, 0): V_{2} vs V_{1} Estrangian plot, v_{2} vs v_{1} plot$ With g=0 and 70:10 mass ratio With non zero g, velocity dependent damping and mass ratio of 70:35 $M_{1}=49, M_{2}=1 with Newtonian time plot$ $M_{1}=49, M_{2}=1 with V_{2} vs V_{1} plot$ Example with friction Low force constant with drag displaying a Pass-thru, Fall-Thru, Bounce-Off $m_{1}:m_{2}= 3:1 and (v_{1}, v_{2}) = (1, 0) Comparison with Estrangian$

| X2 paper: Velocity Amplification in Collision Experiments Involving Superballs - Harter, et. al. 1971 (pdf) |
|---|
| Car Collision Web Simulator: https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/CMMotionWeb.html |
| Superball Collision Web Simulator: <u>https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/BounceItWeb.html</u> ; with Scenarios: <u>1007</u> |
| BounceIt web simulation with $g=0$ and 70:10 mass ratio |
| With non zero g, velocity dependent damping and mass ratio of 70:35 |
| Elastic Collision Dual Panel Space vs Space: Space vs Time (Newton), Time vs. Space(Minkowski) |
| Inelastic Collision Dual Panel Space vs Space: Space vs Time (Newton), Time vs. Space(Minkowski) |
| Matrix Collision Simulator: $M_1 = 49$, $M_2 = 1$ V ₂ vs V ₁ plot << Under Construction>> |

More Advanced QM and classical references will *soon* be available through our: <u>Mechanics References Page</u>

(Now in Development)

<u>AJP article on superball dynamics</u> <u>AAPT Summer Reading List</u> <u>Scitation.org - AIP publications</u> HarterSoft Youtube Channel Without resonance... ...we are all blind, deaf, and dumb.

Anonymous



PAULINIA, BRASIL 1976

THE SPEED OF LIGHT IS 299,792,458 METERS PER SECOND!

-- The Purest Light and a Resonance Hero - Ken Evenson (1932-2002) --

Ken Evenson

When travelers punch up their GPS coordinates they owe a debt of gratitude to an under sung hero who, alongside his colleagues and students, often toiled 18 hour days deep inside a laser laboratory lit only by the purest light in the universe.

Ken was an "Indiana Jones" of modern physics. While he may never have been called "Montana Ken," such a name would describe a real life hero from Bozeman, Montana, whose extraordinary accomplishments in many ways surpass the fictional characters in cinematic thrillers like *Raiders of the Lost Arc*.

Indeed, there were some exciting real life moments shared by his wife Vera, one together with Ken in a canoe literally inches from the hundred-foot drop-off of Brazil's largest waterfall. But, such outdoor exploits, of which Ken had many, pale in the light of an in-the-lab brilliance and courage that profoundly enriched the world.

Ken is one of few researchers and perhaps the only physicist to be twice listed in the *Guinness Book of Records*. The listings are not for jungle exploits but for his lab's highest frequency measurement and for a speed of light determination that made c many times more precise due to his lab's pioneering work with John Hall in laser resonance and metrology[†].

The meter-kilogram-second (mks) system of units underwent a redefinition largely because of these efforts. Thereafter, the speed of light c was set to 299,792,458ms⁻¹. The meter was defined in terms of c, instead of the other way around since his time precision had so far trumped that for distance. Without such resonance precision, the Global Positioning System (GPS), the first large-scale wave space-time coordinate system, would not be possible.

Ken's courage and persistence at the Time and Frequency Division of the Boulder Laboratories in the National Bureau of Standards (now the National Institute of Standards and Technology or NIST) are legendary as are his railings against boneheaded administrators who seemed bent on thwarting his best efforts. Undaunted, Ken's lab painstakingly exploited the resonance properties of metalinsulator diodes, and succeeded in literally counting the waves of near-infrared radiation and eventually visible light itself.

Those who knew Ken miss him terribly. But, his indelible legacy resonates today as ultra-precise atomic and molecular wave and pulse quantum optics continue to advance and provide heretofore unimaginable capability. Our quality of life depends on their metrology through the Quality and Finesse of the resonant oscillators that are the heartbeats of our technology.

Before being taken by Lou Gehrig's disease, Ken began ultra-precise laser spectroscopy of unusual molecules such as HO₂, the radical cousin of the more common H₂O. Like Ken, such radical molecules affect us as much or more than better known ones. But also like Ken, they toil in obscurity, illuminated only by the purest light in the universe.

In 2005 the Nobel Prize in physics was awarded to Glauber, Hall, and Hensch^{††} for laser optics and metrology.

[†] K. M. Evenson, J.S. Wells, F.R. Peterson, B.L. Danielson, G.W. Day, R.L. Barger and J.L. Hall,

Phys. Rev. Letters 29, 1346(1972).

†† The Nobel Prize in Physics, 2005. http://nobelprize.org/







Fig. 3.2.2 Phasor z and corresponding coordinate versus time plot for $\omega_0 = 2\pi$ and $\Gamma = 0$ <u>https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/markup/OscillItWeb.html</u>

















Fig. 4.2.3 Phasor z and corresponding coordinate versus time plot for $\omega_0 = 2\pi$ and $\Gamma = 0.2$



Fig. 4.2.3 Phasor z and corresponding coordinate versus time plot for $\omega_0 = 2\pi$ and $\Gamma = 0.2$







Solving for $z_{stimulus}(t)$ given $a_{stimulus}$:













George Green (14 July 1793 – 31 May 1841)

Green's Function for the F-D-H Oscillator (FDHO)



Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} = \operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) + i\operatorname{Im} G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of G:

Hendrik A. Lorentz



July 18, 1853. - February 4, 1928



Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} = \operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) + i\operatorname{Im} G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of *G*:
$$\frac{1}{x - iy} = \frac{1}{x - iy} \frac{x + iy}{x + iy} = \frac{x + iy}{x^2 + y^2}$$



Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right) = \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\omega}_0^2 - \boldsymbol{\omega}_s^2 - i2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_s} = \operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right) + i\operatorname{Im} G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right)$$
Real and imaginary parts of the rectangular form of G:

$$\frac{1}{x - iy} = \frac{1}{x - iy} \frac{x + iy}{x + iy} = \frac{x + iy}{x^2 + y^2} = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} + i\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_0^2 - \boldsymbol{\omega}_s^2}{\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0^2 - \boldsymbol{\omega}_s^2\right)^2 + \left(2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right)^2}$$

$$\operatorname{Im} G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right) = \frac{2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_s}{\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_0^2 - \boldsymbol{\omega}_s^2\right)^2 + \left(2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_s\right)^2}$$



Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} = \operatorname{Re}G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) + i\operatorname{Im}G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \left|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)\right| e^{i\rho}$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of *G*:

$$\operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_{0}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}^{2} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}^{2}}{\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}^{2} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}^{2}\right)^{2} + \left(2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}\right)^{2}}$$
$$\operatorname{Im} G_{\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}}(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}) = \frac{2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}}{\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_{0}^{2} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}^{2}\right)^{2} + \left(2\Gamma\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}\right)^{2}}$$

Magnitude $|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)|$ and polar angle ρ of the *polar form* of G:

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2)^2 + (2\Gamma\omega_s)^2}}$$
$$\rho = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2\Gamma\omega_s}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2}\right)$$



Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} = \operatorname{Re} G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) + i\operatorname{Im} G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \left|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)\right| e^{i\rho}$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of G:

Magnitude $|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)|$ and *polar angle* ρ of the *polar form* of G:





Fig. 4.2.4 Black-box diagram of oscillator response to monochromatic stimulus

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} = \operatorname{Re}G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) + i\operatorname{Im}G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \left|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)\right| e^{i\rho}$$

Real and imaginary parts of the *rectangular form* of G:

Magnitude $|G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s)|$ and *polar angle* ρ of the *polar form* of *G*:



Lorentz-Green's function for $V_0 = 0.5 Hz$ or $: \omega_0 = \pi \frac{(radian)}{second}$



OscillIt Web Simulation: Lorentz Response Function







Fig. 4.2.7 Comparing Lorentz-Green resonance region for (a) $\Gamma=0.2$ and (b) $\Gamma=0.1$. Maximum and minimum points of ReG(ω) and inflection points of ImG(ω) are near region boundaries $\omega^{FWHM}(\pm)=\omega_0\pm\Gamma$.

Complete Green's Solution for the FDHO (Forced-Damped-Harmonic Oscillator)

$$z(t) = z_{\text{transient}}(t) + z_{\text{response}}(t) \equiv z_{\text{decaying}}(t) + z_{\text{steady state}}(t)$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})a(0)e^{-i\omega_{s} t}$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + \left|G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})\right|a(0)e^{-i(\omega_{s} t-\rho)}$$

Known as "homogeneous" solution (no force) Let's you set initial values or boundary conditions

Known as *"in*homogeneous" solution Not function of initial values. Marches to stimulus only.

Complete Green's Solution for the FDHO (Forced-Damped-Harmonic Oscillator)

$$z(t) = z_{\text{transient}}(t) + z_{\text{response}}(t) \equiv z_{\text{decaying}}(t) + z_{\text{steady state}}(t)$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})a(0)e^{-i\omega_{s} t}$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + \left|G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})\right|a(0)e^{-i(\omega_{s} t-\rho)}$$

Known as "homogeneous" solution (no force) Let's you set initial values or boundary conditions

Known as *Transient* solution since it dies-off as time advances past initial conditions

Known as *"in*homogeneous" solution Not function of initial values. Marches to stimulus only.

Known as *Steady State* solution since it is present as long as stimulus is.

Complete Green's Solution for the FDHO (Forced-Damped-Harmonic Oscillator)

$$z(t) = z_{\text{transient}}(t) + z_{\text{response}}(t) \equiv z_{\text{decaying}}(t) + z_{\text{steady state}}(t)$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})a(0)e^{-i\omega_{s} t}$$
$$= Ae^{-\Gamma t}e^{-i\omega_{\Gamma} t} + \left|G_{\omega_{0}}(\omega_{s})\right|a(0)e^{-i(\omega_{s} t-\rho)}$$

Known as "homogeneous" solution (no force) Let's you set initial values or boundary conditions

Known as *Transient* solution since it dies-off as time advances past initial conditions



Known as *"in*homogeneous" solution Not function of initial values. Marches to stimulus only. Known as *Steady State* solution since it is present as long as stimulus is.

About t = forever

OscillIt (On Resonance) Simulation

Fig. 4.2.8 On Resonance (a)Response z-phasor lags $\rho = 90^{\circ}$ behind stimulus F-phasor. ($\omega_s = \omega_0 = 2\pi$, $\omega_0 = 2\pi$, and $\Gamma = 0.2$). (b) Time plots of Re z(t) and Re F(t)

Fig. 4.2.8 Below Resonance (c)Response z-phasor lags $\rho = 8.05^{\circ}$ behind stimulus F-phasor. ($\omega_s = 5.03, \omega_0 = 2\pi$, and $\Gamma = 0.2$). (d) Time plots of Re z(t) and Re F(t). Beats are barely visible.

OscillIt (Way Below Resonance) Simulation



OscillIt (Way Above Resonance) Simulation

OscillIt (On Resonance) Simulation

OscillIt (Way Below Resonance) Simulation





 $AAF = \frac{\text{Resonant response}}{\text{DC response}} = \frac{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \right) \right|}{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(0 \right) \right|} = \frac{1/(2\Gamma\omega_0)}{1/\omega_0^2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} \equiv q \quad (angular quality factor)$ Amplification factor $q = \omega_0/2\Gamma$

Natural oscillation frequency is approximately $\upsilon_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ (for $\omega_0 >> \Gamma$ we have $\omega_0 \sim \omega_{\Gamma}$).

 $AAF = \frac{\text{Resonant response}}{\text{DC response}} = \frac{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \right) \right|}{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(0 \right) \right|} = \frac{1/(2\Gamma\omega_0)}{1/\omega_0^2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} \equiv q \quad (angular quality factor)$

Amplification factor $q = \omega_0/2\Gamma$

Natural oscillation frequency is approximately $\upsilon_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ (for $\omega_0 >> \Gamma$ we have $\omega_0 \sim \omega_{\Gamma}$).

$$\begin{array}{l} t_{5\%} = 3/\Gamma = Lifetime \\ \text{for decaying oscillator} \\ \text{to lose 95\% of} \\ \text{amplitude} \end{array} \right) times \left(\upsilon_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \right) = \begin{array}{l} \text{number } n_{5\%} \\ \text{of oscillations} \\ \text{in a } t_{5\%} Lifetime \end{array} \right)$$

 $AAF = \frac{\text{Resonant response}}{\text{DC response}} = \frac{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 \right) \right|}{\left| G_{\omega_0} \left(0 \right) \right|} = \frac{1/(2\Gamma\omega_0)}{1/\omega_0^2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} \equiv q \quad (angular quality factor)$

Amplification factor $q = \omega_0/2\Gamma$

Natural oscillation frequency is approximately $\upsilon_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ (for $\omega_0 >> \Gamma$ we have $\omega_0 \sim \omega_{\Gamma}$).

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{5\%} = 3/\Gamma = Lifetime \\ \text{for decaying oscillator} \\ \text{to lose 95\% of} \\ \text{amplitude} \end{pmatrix}_{times} \begin{pmatrix} v_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{array}{c} \text{number } n_{5\%} \\ \text{of oscillations} \\ \text{in a } t_{5\%} Lifetime \\ n_{5\%} = t_{5\%} v_0 = \frac{3}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \cong \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} = q \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{The "Heartbeat Count"} \\ \text{measure of lifetime} \\ \end{array}$$

 $AAF = \frac{\text{Resonant response}}{\text{DC response}} = \frac{\left|G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0\right)\right|}{\left|G_{\omega_0}\left(0\right)\right|} = \frac{1/(2\Gamma\omega_0)}{1/\omega_0^2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} \equiv q \quad (angular quality factor)$

Amplification factor $q = \omega_0/2\Gamma$

Natural oscillation frequency is approximately $\upsilon_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ (for $\omega_0 >> \Gamma$ we have $\omega_0 \sim \omega_{\Gamma}$).

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{5\%} = 3/\Gamma = Lifetime \\ \text{for decaying oscillator} \\ \text{to lose 95\% of} \\ \text{amplitude} \end{pmatrix} times \begin{pmatrix} v_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{array}{l} \text{number } n_{5\%} \\ \text{of oscillations} \\ \text{in a } t_{5\%} Lifetime \\ n_{5\%} = t_{5\%} v_0 = \frac{3}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \cong \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} = q \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{The "Heartbeat Count"} \\ \text{measure of lifetime} \\ \end{array}$$

Energy decay (proportional to the square of oscillator amplitude): $(e^{\Gamma t})^2 = e^{-2\Gamma t}$ $dE = -2\Gamma E$

$$AAF = \frac{\text{Resonant response}}{\text{DC response}} = \frac{\left|G_{\omega_0}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_s = \boldsymbol{\omega}_0\right)\right|}{\left|G_{\omega_0}\left(0\right)\right|} = \frac{1/(2\Gamma\omega_0)}{1/\omega_0^2} = \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} \equiv q \quad (angular quality factor)$$

Amplification factor $q = \omega_0/2\Gamma$

Natural oscillation frequency is approximately $\upsilon_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ (for $\omega_0 >> \Gamma$ we have $\omega_0 \sim \omega_{\Gamma}$).

$$\begin{pmatrix} t_{5\%} = 3/\Gamma = Lifetime \\ \text{for decaying oscillator} \\ \text{to lose 95\% of} \\ \text{amplitude} \end{pmatrix} times \begin{pmatrix} v_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{array}{l} \text{number } n_{5\%} \\ \text{of oscillations} \\ \text{in a } t_{5\%} Lifetime \\ n_{5\%} = t_{5\%} v_0 = \frac{3}{\Gamma} \cdot \frac{\omega_0}{2\pi} \cong \frac{\omega_0}{2\Gamma} = q \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{The "Heartbeat Count"} \\ \text{measure of lifetime} \\ \end{array}$$

Energy decay (proportional to the square of oscillator amplitude): $(e^{\Gamma t})^2 = e^{-2\Gamma t}$ $dE = -2\Gamma E$

Relative amount

of energy lost
each cycle period
$$= \tau_0 \left(\frac{-dE}{E}\right) = \frac{2\Gamma}{v_0} \equiv \frac{1}{Q} = \frac{2\pi}{q}$$

 $\left(\tau_0 = \frac{1}{v_0}\right)$

 $Q = (Standard \ amplitude \ quality \ factor) = \frac{q}{2\pi}$

Click to see p.18.

Click to see p.35.

Oscillator figures of merit: Uncertainty 1/q

To see a beat we need $\tau_{half-beat}$ to be less than $\tau_{5\%}$ or $3/\Gamma$. (Here we approximate $\pi \sim 3.0$, again.)

$$\pi / |\omega_s - \omega_0| < 3 / \Gamma \qquad \qquad |\omega_s - \omega_0| > \Gamma$$

This means ω -detuning error is greater than or equal to the decay rate Γ .

Any detuning less than Γ is virtually undetectable. Total ω uncertainty is $\pm\Gamma$ or twice Γ (that is: FWHM $\Delta\omega = 2\Gamma$). Linear frequency uncertainty is:

The relative frequency uncertainty

$$\frac{2\Gamma}{\omega_0} = \frac{\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} = \frac{1}{q} = \frac{\Delta\upsilon}{\upsilon_0}$$

$$\Delta \upsilon = \Delta \omega / 2\pi = \Gamma / \pi$$

is the *inverse* of the *angular quality factor q*.

$$Q = (Standard amplitude quality factor) = \frac{q}{2\pi}$$

If we think of the 5% or 4.321% lifetime of a musical note as its time uncertainty Δt , then:

$$\Delta t \Delta v = 3 / \pi \approx 1$$

 $\Delta t = t_{5\%} = 3 / \Gamma$ $\Delta t = t_{4.321\%} = \pi / \Gamma$

<u>Very</u> precise measures of imprecision

Approximate Lorentz-Green's Function for high quality FDHO (Quantum propagator)

 $G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} \xrightarrow{\omega_s \to \omega_0} \frac{1}{2\omega_s} \frac{1}{\omega_0 - \omega_s - i\Gamma} \approx \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} L(\Delta - i\Gamma)$

Complex detuning-decay $\delta = \Delta - i\Gamma$ variable δ is defined with the real detuning $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega_s$

Approximate Lorentz-Green's Function for high quality FDHO (Quantum propagator)

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} \xrightarrow{\omega_s \to \omega_0} \frac{1}{2\omega_s} \frac{1}{\omega_0 - \omega_s - i\Gamma} \approx \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} L(\Delta - i\Gamma)$$

Complex detuning-decay $\delta = \Delta - i\Gamma$ variable δ is defined with the real detuning $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega_s$

$$L(\Delta - i\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \operatorname{Re} L + i\operatorname{Im} L = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} + i\frac{\Gamma}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} = |L|^2 \Delta + i|L|^2 \Gamma$$

Approximate Lorentz-Green's Function for high quality FDHO (Quantum propagator)

$$G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} \xrightarrow{\omega_s \to \omega_0} \frac{1}{2\omega_s} \frac{1}{\omega_0 - \omega_s - i\Gamma} \approx \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} L(\Delta - i\Gamma)$$

Complex detuning-decay $\delta = \Delta - i\Gamma$ variable δ is defined with the real detuning $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega_s$

$$L(\Delta - i\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \operatorname{Re} L + i\operatorname{Im} L = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} + i\frac{\Gamma}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} = |L|^2 \Delta + i|L|^2 \Gamma$$
$$= |L|e^{i\rho} = |L|\cos\rho + i|L|\sin\rho = \frac{\cos\rho}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2}} + i\frac{\sin\rho}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2}} \text{ where: } |L| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2}}$$

Approximate Lorentz-Green's Function for high quality FDHO (Quantum propagator) $G_{\omega_0}(\omega_s) = \frac{1}{\omega_s^2 - \omega_s^2 - i2\Gamma\omega_s} \xrightarrow{\omega_s \to \omega_0} \frac{1}{2\omega_s} \frac{1}{\omega_0 - \omega_s - i\Gamma} \approx \frac{1}{2\omega_0} \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \frac{1}{2\omega_0} L(\Delta - i\Gamma)$ Complex detuning-decay $\delta = \Delta - i\Gamma$ variable δ is defined with the real detuning $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega_s$ $L(\Delta - i\Gamma) = \frac{1}{\Delta - i\Gamma} = \operatorname{Re} L + i\operatorname{Im} L = \frac{\Delta}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} + i\frac{1}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} = |L|^2 \Delta + i|L|^2 \Gamma$ $= |L| e^{i\rho} = |L| \cos\rho + i |L| \sin\rho = \frac{\cos\rho}{\sqrt{\Lambda^2 + \Gamma^2}} + i \frac{\sin\rho}{\sqrt{\Lambda^2 + \Gamma^2}} \text{ where: } |L| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Lambda^2 + \Gamma^2}}$ Ideal Lorentz-Green's functions $|L| = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \sin \rho \qquad L = \frac{\Delta + i\Gamma}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2} = |L|e^{i\rho} \qquad Inverse \ decay \ rate \ \frac{1}{\Gamma} \qquad axis \ (Lifetime) \qquad \Gamma$ Smith plots $|L| = \frac{1}{\Gamma} \sin \rho$ $|L| = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \cos \rho$ |L|ρ $-\frac{1}{\Lambda} \rightarrow |L| = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \cos \rho$ Inverse detuning $\frac{1}{2}$ axis Δ (Beat period)



Fig. 4.2.13 Ideal Lorentzian in inverse rate space. (Smith life-time $1/\Gamma$ *vs. beat-period* $1/\Delta$ *coordinates)*

Constant Δ and Γ curves in Fig. 4.2.13 are orthogonal circles of 1/z- dipolar coordinates. Recall Fig. 1.10.11.

SMITH CHART (Invented by Phillip H. Smith 1905-1987)





https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/video/AnalyIt_0-3.webm

https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/video/AnalyIt_4-1.webm

https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/video/AnalyIt_0-2.webm

https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/video/AnalyIt_3-1.webm

https://modphys.hosted.uark.edu/video/AnalyIt_1-1.webm





The Common Lorentzian (a.k.a. The Witch of Agnesi)





| Born | May 16, 1718 |
|-------------|---------------------------|
| Died | January 9, 1799 (aged 80) |
| Residence | Italy |
| Nationality | Italy |
| Fields | Mathematics |

The Common Lorentzian (a.k.a. The Witch of Agnesi)





| Born | May 16, 1718 |
|-------------|---------------------------|
| Died | January 9, 1799 (aged 80) |
| Residence | Italy |
| Nationality | Italy |
| Fields | Mathematics |

The Common Lorentzian (a.k.a. The Witch of Agnesi)



 $b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$



| Born | May 16, 1718 |
|-------------|---------------------------|
| Died | January 9, 1799 (aged 80) |
| Residence | Italy |
| Nationality | Italy |
| Fields | Mathematics |



Maria Gaetana Agnesi



| n n | May 16, 1718 |
|------------|---------------------------|
| ed | January 9, 1799 (aged 80) |
| sidence | Italy |
| tionality | Italy |
| elds | Mathematics |
| | |

Underlined below are links to the OscillIt Web Simulations Compare <u>ideal Lorentzians ($\Gamma=0.2$)</u> with a <u>very non-ideal one ($\Gamma=2$)</u>







| rn | May 16, 1718 |
|-----------|---------------------------|
| ed | January 9, 1799 (aged 80) |
| sidence | Italy |
| tionality | Italy |
| elds | Mathematics |



Fig. 10.11 Dipole F-field $f(z)=1/z^2$ and scalar potential (Φ =const.)-circles orthogonal to (A=const.)-circles.



From: Fig. 1.10.12



From: Fig. 1.10.12



From: Fig. 1.10.12





